

## Therapeutic Class Overview

### Familial Hypercholesterolemia Agents

#### INTRODUCTION

- Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death worldwide and accounted for 868,662 deaths in the United States (U.S.) in 2017. Key cardiovascular (CV) risk factors include smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, hypercholesterolemia, poor nutrition, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus (*American Heart Association [AHA] 2021*).
- Serum cholesterol is known to be related to atherosclerotic CVD (ASCVD), with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) being the dominant form of atherogenic cholesterol. LDL-C is a primary cause of atherosclerosis, but other major contributing risk factors include cigarette smoking, hypertension, dysglycemia, and other lipoprotein abnormalities (*Grundy et al 2019*).
- Almost 40% of American adults have total cholesterol serum levels of  $\geq 200$  mg/dL, and nearly 30% have elevated levels of LDL-C ( $\geq 130$  mg/dL) (*AHA 2021*).
- Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is a common and serious genetic condition affecting LDL-C metabolism and resulting in severely elevated cholesterol concentrations (*Goldberg et al 2011, Raal et al 2018*). Elevated LDL-C concentrations are present beginning at birth, which increases the risk of premature atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD).
- Patients can have homozygous FH (HoFH) or heterozygous FH (HeFH). HeFH is estimated to occur in 1 in 200 to 250 adults in the U.S. and is associated with 2 to 3 times higher incidence of elevated LDL-C levels and occurrence of CHD before the age of 55 years (*Goldberg et al 2011, Raal et al 2018*). HoFH is much rarer with an estimated prevalence of 1:300,000 to 1:400,000, but LDL-C elevations are more severe, which leads to extremely premature ASCVD (*Raal et al 2018, Rosenson and Durrington 2020*). Treatment of LDL-C levels should begin at the time of diagnosis and continue for life. Despite treatment with statins, patients with FH typically have a persistent elevated risk for ASCVD, indicating that additional lipid lowering therapy may be indicated.
- Alirocumab and evolocumab are fully human monoclonal antibodies that inhibit proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9). PCSK9 is an enzyme that leads to the degradation of hepatocyte LDL receptors (LDLR), which results in increased LDL-C levels; by inhibiting PCSK9, LDLR recycling is preserved, and LDL-C levels are subsequently reduced (*Navarese et al 2015*).
- Additional lipid lowering agents used to treat HoFH include evinacumab and lomitapide. Evinacumab is an intravenous monoclonal antibody that inhibits angiotensin-like 3 (ANGPTL-3), a hepatic protein that is associated with lipoprotein metabolism and increased levels of triglycerides and LDL-C (*Raal et al 2018*). Lomitapide is an oral microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP) inhibitor, which targets a lipid transfer protein in the liver responsible for lipoprotein synthesis and secretion.
- Current guidelines from the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) (*Grundy et al 2019*), American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology (AACE/ACE) (*Handelsman et al 2020*), and the National Lipid Association (NLA) (*Jacobson et al 2015, Orringer et al 2017*) all recommend maximally-tolerated statins as first-line therapy for hypercholesterolemia, including FH, or CVD, with ezetimibe and the PCSK9 inhibitors being potential adjunctive agents for patients not achieving adequate LDL-C lowering; however, there is no consensus on goal LDL-C levels. Lomitapide is an additional treatment option for patients with HoFH not responsive to PCSK9 inhibitors. Evinacumab was approved in 2021, and its role in therapy has not been clearly defined (*Drugs@FDA 2021*).
- Medispan class: Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/Kexin Type 9 Inhibitors, Microsomal Triglyceride Transfer Protein (MTP) Inhibitors, Angiotensin-like Protein Inhibitors

**Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review**

Drug	Generic Availability
<b>PCSK-9 inhibitors</b>	
Praluent (alirocumab)	-
Repatha (evolocumab)	-
<b>Other</b>	
Evkeeza (evinacumab-dgnb)	-
Juxtapid (lomitapide)	-

Data as of April 9, 2021 AJG-U/KS-U/KMR

Page 1 of 13

This information is considered confidential and proprietary to OptumRx. It is intended for internal use only and should be disseminated only to authorized recipients. The contents of the therapeutic class overviews on this website ("Content") are for informational purposes only. The Content is not intended to be a substitute for professional medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Patients should always seek the advice of a physician or other qualified health provider with any questions regarding a medical condition. Clinicians should refer to the full prescribing information and published resources when making medical decisions.

## INDICATIONS

**Table 2. Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications**

Indication	Evkeeza (evinacumab-dgnb)	Juxtapid (lomitapide)	Praluent (alirocumab)	Repatha (evolocumab)
To reduce the risk of myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, and unstable angina (UA) requiring hospitalization in adults with established CVD			✓	
As an adjunct to diet, alone or in combination with other lipid lowering therapies (eg, statins, ezetimibe) for treatment of adults with primary hyperlipidemia (including HeFH) to reduce LDL-C			✓	✓
As an adjunct to other LDL-C-lowering therapies in patients with HoFH to reduce LDL-C			✓	✓
To reduce the risk of MI, stroke, and coronary revascularization in adults with established CVD				✓
As an adjunct to low-fat diet and other lipid-lowering treatments to reduce LDL-C, total cholesterol, non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) in patients with HoFH		✓ *		
As an adjunct to other LDL-C-lowering therapies for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients, aged 12 years and older, with HoFH	✓ *			

\*Limitations of use: safety and efficacy has not been established in patients with hypercholesterolemia who do not have HoFH, including those with HeFH, and the effect on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality has not been determined. (Prescribing information: Evkeeza 2021, Juxtapid 2020, Praluent 2021, Repatha 2021)

- Information on indications, mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, dosing, and safety has been obtained from the prescribing information for the individual products, except where noted otherwise.

## CLINICAL EFFICACY SUMMARY

- The efficacy of alicumab was evaluated in the ODYSSEY program, which consists of various Phase 3, multi-center (MC), double-blind (DB), randomized controlled trials (RCTs).
  - Patients with HeFH and/or high or very high CV risk were enrolled in 10 trials, and patients with HoFH were enrolled in 1 trial evaluated HoFH. The majority of trials evaluated alicumab in patients receiving background statin therapy (typically at maximally-tolerated doses), whereas 2 trials evaluated alicumab as monotherapy, including in statin-intolerant patients (ie, ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE and ODYSSEY MONO). Ezetimibe was the comparator in the 5 active-controlled (AC) trials, whereas the other trials were placebo-controlled (PC).
- The efficacy of evolocumab was evaluated in multiple Phase 3, MC, DB, RCTs.
  - In most of the trials, patients with HeFH, HoFH, or primary hyperlipidemia were randomized to receive evolocumab or placebo, and received background statin therapy in both treatment arms, ranging from moderate-intensity statin therapy (eg, atorvastatin 10 mg) to high-intensity statin therapy (eg, atorvastatin 80 mg). In 3 trials, evolocumab was compared to ezetimibe as monotherapy, including in statin-intolerant patients (ie, GAUSS-2 and -3).
- Evinacumab and lomitapide were each evaluated in a single clinical trial including patients with HoFH.

### Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) Alicumab

Data as of April 9, 2021 AJG-U/KS-U/KMR

Page 2 of 13

This information is considered confidential and proprietary to OptumRx. It is intended for internal use only and should be disseminated only to authorized recipients. The contents of the therapeutic class overviews on this website ("Content") are for informational purposes only. The Content is not intended to be a substitute for professional medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Patients should always seek the advice of a physician or other qualified health provider with any questions regarding a medical condition. Clinicians should refer to the full prescribing information and published resources when making medical decisions.

- ODYSSEY FH I-II and HIGH FH compared the efficacy of alirocumab with placebo in patients with HeFH for a 24-week duration. In FH I-II, patients were initiated on alirocumab 75 mg SC every 2 weeks (Q2W) with an up-titration dosing strategy, whereas patients in HIGH FH were initiated on alirocumab 150 mg SC Q2W with no up-titration (*Kastelein et al 2015*).
  - ODYSSEY FH I-II were 2 identical, PC, RCTs evaluating alirocumab in 735 patients with HeFH and LDL-C > 70 mg/dL with a history of CVD or LDL-C > 100 mg/dL without history of CVD. Patients had a mean baseline LDL-C level of 140 mg/dL while receiving statin therapy; 85% of patients received high-intensity statin therapy, and 60% received ezetimibe. After 24 weeks of treatment, alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 58% and 51% in FH I and FH II, respectively, compared to placebo ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Kastelein et al 2015*).
  - ODYSSEY HIGH FH evaluated alirocumab in 107 patients with HeFH and LDL-C > 160 mg/dL. Patients had a mean baseline LDL-C of approximately 200 mg/dL while receiving statin therapy; about 70% of patients were receiving high-intensity statins (eg, atorvastatin 40 to 80 mg daily or rosuvastatin 20 to 40 mg daily). Compared to placebo, alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 39% at 24 weeks ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Ginsberg et al 2016*).
- ODYSSEY ESCAPE was a DB, PC, RCT that randomized patients with HeFH who were undergoing lipoprotein apheresis to alirocumab 150 mg SC Q2W ( $n = 41$ ) or placebo ( $n = 21$ ) for 18 weeks. Patients were treated in combination with their usual apheresis schedule for 6 weeks. At week 6, the mean percent change from baseline in pre-apheresis LDL-C was -53.7% in alirocumab-treated patients vs 1.6% in placebo-treated patients; subsequently, apheresis was discontinued in 63.4% of alirocumab-treated patients, and the rate was at least halved in 92.7% (*Moriarty et al 2016*).
- ODYSSEY HoFH was a DB, PC, Phase 3 RCT that randomized patients with HoFH in a 2:1 fashion to either alirocumab 150 mg every 2 weeks ( $n = 45$ ) or placebo ( $n = 24$ ) (*Blom et al 2020*). Baseline LDL-C levels were 259.6 mg/dL in the placebo group and 295.0 mg/dL in the alirocumab group. Lipid-lowering therapy (LLT) at baseline included statins (97.1%), ezetimibe (72.5%), lomitapide (14.5%), and apheresis (14.5%). Patients in the alirocumab group had a greater reduction in LDL-C at week 12 compared to patients on placebo (-26.9% vs 8.6%;  $p < 0.0001$ ).

#### **Evinacumab**

- ELIPSE HoFH was a DB, PC, Phase 3, RCT that randomized 65 patients  $\geq 12$  years of age with HoFH in a 2:1 fashion to intravenous (IV) evinacumab 15 mg/kg every 4 weeks or placebo (*Raal et al 2020*). The mean baseline LDL-C level was 255.1 mg/dL. Baseline therapies included statins (94%), PCSK9 inhibitors (77%), ezetimibe (75%), lomitapide (25%), and apheresis (34%). There was a mean reduction of 47.1% in LDL-C levels in the evinacumab group at week 24 compared to baseline, and a 1.9% increase in the placebo group (between group difference, -49.0%; 95% confidence interval (CI), -65.0 to -33.1;  $p < 0.0001$ ).

#### **Evolocumab**

- In RUTHERFORD-2, patients with HeFH were randomized to receive evolocumab 140 mg SC Q2W ( $n = 111$ ), evolocumab 420 mg SC every 4 weeks (Q4W) ( $n = 110$ ), or placebo ( $n = 110$ ) for 12 weeks. Patients had a mean baseline LDL-C level of 155 mg/dL while receiving statin therapy; 87% of patients were receiving high-intensity statin therapy, and 62% of patients were receiving ezetimibe. Compared to placebo, evolocumab 140 mg SC Q2W lowered LDL-C by 59% and evolocumab 420 mg SC Q4W by 61% at 12 weeks ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Raal et al 2015b*).
- The TESLA Part B trial randomized 50 patients with HoFH on stable LLT to evolocumab 420 mg SC Q4W ( $n = 33$ ) or placebo ( $n = 17$ ) for 12 weeks. Patients in the evolocumab group had a mean baseline LDL-C of 356 mg/dL; those in the placebo group had a mean baseline LDL-C of 336 mg/dL. Treatment with evolocumab reduced LDL-C by 23.1%, whereas patients treated with placebo had an increase in LDL-C by 7.9% (treatment difference, -30.9%;  $p < 0.0001$ ); however, the mean on-treatment LDL-C remained significantly elevated at 271 mg/dL (*Raal et al 2015a*).
- In HAUSER-RCT, pediatric patients (10 to 17 years of age) with HeFH who had received stable LLT for at least 4 weeks before screening were randomly assigned to evolocumab 420 mg ( $n = 104$ ) or placebo ( $n = 53$ ) SC once monthly (*Santos et al 2020a*). Results revealed a mean percentage change from baseline in LDL-C levels of -44.5% for evolocumab and -6.2% for placebo at week 24 (difference, -38.3%;  $p < 0.001$ ). Results for all secondary lipid variables were also significantly improved with evolocumab therapy. The incidences of adverse effects (AEs) were similar between groups.
- Evolocumab was also shown to have long-term efficacy and safety in 300 patients with either HoFH or severe HeFH over a median of 4.1 years in the final report from the TAUSSIG trial (*Santos et al 2020b*). The most commonly reported

AEs with therapy were nasopharyngitis, influenza, upper respiratory tract infection, and headache; improvements in LDL-C were sustained over time.

### Lomitapide

- A single-arm, open-label (OL) Phase 3 study evaluated the safety and efficacy of lomitapide for treatment of patients with HoFH (n = 23) as an adjunct to a low-fat diet and other lipid-lowering treatments (*Cuchel et al 2013*). Lomitapide was initiated at a dose of 5 mg daily for 2 weeks and escalated at 4-week intervals based on safety and efficacy parameters to a maximum dose of 60 mg daily. Baseline lipid-lowering medications included statins (93%), ezetimibe (76%), nicotinic acid (10%), bile acid sequestrant (3%), fibrates (3%), and apheresis (62%). At 26 weeks, mean LDL-C levels were reduced by 50% from baseline (336 mg/dL vs 166 mg/dL;  $p < 0.0001$ ). At the 56- and 78-week safety follow-up, mean LDL-C levels remained decreased by 44% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and 38% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) compared to baseline, respectively.

### Patients with hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled on other LLTs

- ODYSSEY COMBO I and II were 2 similarly designed 24-week, DB, RCTs in high CVD risk patients who were inadequately controlled with maximally-tolerated statin therapy. Patients were included if they had a history of CVD with LDL-C  $\geq 70$  mg/dL, or LDL-C  $\geq 100$  mg/dL and CHD risk equivalents. In COMBO I, patients were randomized to alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W (n = 209) or placebo (n = 107), whereas in COMBO II, patients were randomized to alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W (n = 479) or ezetimibe 10 mg daily (QD) (n = 241). Both studies employed the up-titration protocol (*Cannon et al 2015, Kereiakes et al 2015*).
  - In COMBO I, 78.2% of patients had a history of CHD, 43.0% had CHD risk equivalents, and 43.0% had type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). All patients but 1 received statin therapy, with 62.7% receiving high-dose statin therapy. From a baseline of 100.3 mg/dL for patients with alirocumab and 104.6 mg/dL for patients with placebo, alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 45.9% compared with placebo ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Kereiakes et al 2015*).
  - In COMBO II, 75.6% of patients had CHD, 31.0% had CHD risk equivalents, and 30.7% had T2DM. All patients but 1 received statin therapy, with 66.7% receiving high-dose statin therapy. From a mean baseline of 109.0 mg/dL for patients with alirocumab and 105.0 mg/dL for patients with ezetimibe, alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 29.8% compared with ezetimibe ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Cannon et al 2015*).
- ODYSSEY OPTIONS I and II were 24-week, DB, RCTs evaluating alirocumab in combination with atorvastatin or rosuvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia who were inadequately controlled (very high CV risk and LDL-C  $\geq 70$  mg/dL or high CV risk and LDL-C  $\geq 100$  mg/dL). In ODYSSEY OPTIONS I, 355 patients on atorvastatin 20 or 40 mg at baseline were randomized to (1) add alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W with up-titration per ODYSSEY protocol, (2) add ezetimibe 10 mg QD, (3) double their atorvastatin dose, or (4) switch to rosuvastatin. In ODYSSEY OPTIONS II, 305 patients on rosuvastatin 10 or 20 mg were randomized to (1) add alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W with up-titration per ODYSSEY protocol, (2) add ezetimibe 10 mg QD, or (3) double their rosuvastatin dose (*Bays et al 2015, Farnier et al 2016, Robinson et al 2014a*).
  - In OPTIONS I, among patients receiving atorvastatin 20 and 40 mg, greater LDL-C reduction was achieved with add-on alirocumab (44.1%, 54.0%), compared with add-on ezetimibe (20.5%, 22.6%), doubling atorvastatin dose (4.8%, 5.0%), or switching to rosuvastatin (21.4%;  $p < 0.001$  for all comparisons) (*Robinson et al 2014a, Bays et al 2015*).
  - In OPTIONS II, in patients receiving rosuvastatin 10 mg, greater LDL-C reduction was achieved with add-on alirocumab (50.3%) compared with add-on ezetimibe (14.4%), or doubling the rosuvastatin dose (16.3%) ( $p < 0.0001$  for all comparisons). In the rosuvastatin 20 mg group, the addition of alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 36.3%, but the comparisons with the ezetimibe and double rosuvastatin groups did not reach statistical significance (*Farnier et al 2016*).
- LAPLACE-2 was a Phase 3 study evaluating evolocumab in combination with various statin regimens. Patients with different LDL-C levels and different background LLT were first randomized to 1 of 5 OL statin regimens (atorvastatin 80 mg, rosuvastatin 40 mg, atorvastatin 10 mg, rosuvastatin 5 mg, or simvastatin 40 mg) for 4 weeks, and then randomized to evolocumab 140 mg SC Q2W or 420 mg SC Q4W (n = 1117), ezetimibe 10 mg QD (n = 221; patients receiving atorvastatin only), or placebo (n = 558) for 12 weeks. Compared with placebo, evolocumab further reduced LDL-C by at least 60% in all statin groups; compared with ezetimibe, evolocumab further reduced LDL-C by approximately 40% in patients receiving low-dose and high-dose atorvastatin (*Robinson et al 2014b*).
- Alirocumab was evaluated specifically in patients with diabetes in ODYSSEY DM-INSULIN and ODYSSEY DM-DISLIPIDEMIA (*Leiter et al 2017, Ray et al 2018*).



- ODYSSEY DM-INSULIN was a 24-week, DB, PC, RCT in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) (n = 71) or T2DM (n = 441) treated with insulin and not controlled on maximally-tolerated statin therapy. Patients were randomized to receive alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W with an up-titration strategy or placebo. Alirocumab reduced LDL-C from baseline to week 24 by 49% and 47.8% vs placebo in patients with T2DM and T1DM, respectively (both  $p < 0.0001$ ). Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and fasting blood glucose levels remained stable and treatment-emergent AEs were comparable across the groups (*Leiter et al 2017*).
- ODYSSEY DM-DISLIPIDEMIA was a 24-week, OL, RCT in patients with T2DM and mixed dyslipidemia (defined as non-HDL-C  $\geq 100$  mg/dL and triglycerides  $\geq 150$  mg/dL but  $< 500$  mg/dL) not adequately controlled despite maximally-tolerated statin therapy. Patients were randomized to receive alirocumab (n = 276) or usual care (n = 137). Alirocumab reduced non-HDL-C by 37.3% vs 4.7% with usual care ( $p < 0.0001$ ). No clinically meaningful effect was seen on HbA1c or change in number of glucose-lowering agents. The rate of treatment-emergent AEs was similar between the groups (*Ray et al 2018*).

### Monotherapy and patients unable to tolerate statin therapy

- ODYSSEY MONO was a 24-week, DB, AC, RCT comparing alirocumab monotherapy with ezetimibe in patients with hypercholesterolemia. Patients were randomized to receive alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W (n = 52) with the option to titrate to 150 mg Q2W, or ezetimibe 10 mg QD (n = 51). At 24 weeks, alirocumab reduced LDL-C from baseline by 47.2% vs 15.6% for ezetimibe (treatment difference, -31.6%;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Adverse effects were similar between the groups (*Roth and McKenney 2015*).
- MENDEL-2 was a 12-week, DB, AC, PC, RCT comparing evolocumab monotherapy with ezetimibe or placebo in patients with hypercholesterolemia. Patients were randomized to receive evolocumab 140 mg SC Q2W (n = 153) or 420 mg SC Q4W (n = 153), ezetimibe 10 mg QD (n = 154), or placebo (n = 155). Evolocumab reduced LDL-C from baseline by 55% to 57% more than placebo and 38% to 40% more than ezetimibe ( $p < 0.001$  for all comparisons). Treatment-emergent AEs and muscle-related AEs were comparable across the groups (*Koren et al 2014b*).
- ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE was a 24-week, DB, AC, RCT comparing alirocumab with ezetimibe and atorvastatin in statin-intolerant patients. Patients were randomized to receive alirocumab 75 mg SC Q2W (n = 126) with the option to titrate to 150 mg, ezetimibe 10 mg QD (n = 125), or atorvastatin 20 mg QD (n = 63) (validation arm). Alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 45% from baseline vs 14.6% for ezetimibe (treatment difference, -30.4%;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Alirocumab was better-tolerated than atorvastatin in patients in terms of muscle-related treatment-emergent AEs (32.5% vs 46.0%;  $p = 0.042$ ) (*Moriarty et al 2015*).
- GAUSS-2 and -3 both compared evolocumab with ezetimibe in statin-intolerant patients (*Nissen et al 2016, Stroes et al 2014*).
  - GAUSS-2 was a 12-week, DB, PC, active-controlled (AC) trial with patients randomized to evolocumab 140 mg SC Q2W + placebo orally QD (n = 103), evolocumab 420 mg SC Q4W + placebo orally daily (n = 102), or ezetimibe 10 mg orally QD + placebo SC Q2W or Q4W (n = 102). Evolocumab reduced LDL-C from baseline by 53% to 56%, corresponding to treatment differences vs ezetimibe of 37% and 39% ( $p < 0.001$ ). Muscle-related treatment-emergent AEs occurred in 12% of evolocumab-treated patients vs 23% of ezetimibe-treated patients (*Stroes et al 2014*).
  - GAUSS-3 was a 24-week, 2-stage RCT in patients with a history of intolerance to 2 or more statins (N = 511). Phase A used a 24-week crossover protocol with atorvastatin or placebo to identify patients experiencing muscle-related AEs only to atorvastatin. In Phase B, patients experiencing intolerance only to atorvastatin were randomized to ezetimibe 10 mg QD (n = 73) or evolocumab 420 mg SC Q4W (n = 145) for 24 weeks. From baseline, evolocumab reduced LDL-C by 52.8% vs 16.7% for ezetimibe (treatment difference, -36.1%;  $p < 0.001$ ). Muscle-related AEs were reported in 20.7% of evolocumab-treated patients and 28.8% of ezetimibe-treated patients (*Nissen et al 2016*).
- The EVOPACS trial is the first randomized study to evaluate evolocumab in the acute phase of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (*Koskinas et al 2019*). In EVOPACS, 308 patients hospitalized for ACS with elevated LDL-C levels were randomly assigned to SC evolocumab 420 mg (n = 155) or matching placebo (n = 153) administered in-hospital and after 4 weeks, in addition to atorvastatin 40 mg. The majority of enrolled patients (78.2%) had not received prior statin therapy. Results revealed that the difference in mean percentage change from baseline in LDL-C between groups was -40.7%, favoring evolocumab ( $p < 0.001$ ) at week 8. Greater than 95% of evolocumab-treated patients achieved currently recommended target LDL-C levels at week 8 compared to 37.6% of patients administered placebo.
- A meta-analysis of 8 RCTs compared ezetimibe vs PCSK9 inhibitors for LDL-C reduction in patients not on statin therapy (*Benhuri et al 2021*). Results showed that PCSK9 inhibitors were superior to ezetimibe for LDL-C reduction (mean difference [MD], -36.5; 95% CI, -38.3 to -34.7;  $p < 0.00001$ ).

### Longer term efficacy and safety

- ODYSSEY LONG TERM was a 78-week, DB, PC, RCT in which high CVD risk patients who were receiving maximally-tolerated statin therapy and had an LDL-C  $\geq$  70 mg/dL were randomized to receive alirocumab 150 mg SC Q2W (n = 1553) or placebo (n = 788) (*Robinson et al 2015*).
  - Compared with placebo, alirocumab reduced LDL-C by 61.9% at 24 weeks (p < 0.001); LDL-C reduction was sustained through 78 weeks (56.0% vs placebo; p < 0.001).
  - In a post hoc analysis, patients treated with alirocumab had a lower rate of adjudicated composite CVD events (ie, CHD death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, or unstable angina [UA] requiring hospitalization) compared with placebo (1.7% vs 3.3%, respectively; hazard ratio [HR], 0.52; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.90; p = 0.02). However, there was no difference when including all positively adjudicated CVD events (ie, congestive heart failure requiring hospitalization, ischemia-driven coronary revascularization) (4.6% vs 5.1%, respectively; p = 0.68).
  - The frequency of AEs was similar in both groups (81.0% vs 82.5%, respectively), as were discontinuation rates (7.2% vs 5.8%, respectively).
- The OSLER studies enrolled 4465 patients who had completed a Phase 2 or Phase 3 trial with evolocumab, and randomly assigned them to OL evolocumab plus standard of care (SOC) or SOC alone. OSLER-1 enrolled patients from Phase 2 trials to receive evolocumab 420 mg SC Q4W, whereas OSLER-2 enrolled patients from Phase 3 trials to receive evolocumab 140 mg SC Q2W or 420 mg SC Q4W depending on patient choice. The parent trials included patients on statin therapy (70.1%), as well as patients who were statin intolerant or were not on other LLTs (*Koren et al 2014a, Sabatine et al 2015*).
  - Compared with SOC alone, evolocumab reduced LDL-C by 58.8% at 24 weeks (p < 0.001); LDL-C reduction was sustained through 48 weeks (58.4% vs SOC; p < 0.001).
  - In a prespecified exploratory analysis, patients treated with evolocumab had a lower rate of CVD events (ie, death, MI, UA requiring hospitalization, coronary revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack [TIA], heart failure requiring hospitalization) (0.95% vs 2.18% with SOC; HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.28 to 0.78; p = 0.003).
  - The frequency of AEs was similar in both groups (69.2% vs 64.8%, respectively), as were serious AEs (7.5% in each group). Although uncommon overall, neurocognitive AEs were more frequent with evolocumab (0.9% vs 0.3% with SOC).
  - In 5-year results from OSLER-1, evolocumab demonstrated sustained mean LDL-C reductions over time, with patients maintaining a 56% reduction from baseline at year 5. Evolocumab was not associated with an increase in AEs or neutralizing antibodies over time (*Koren et al 2018 [abstract]*).
- DESCARTES was a 52-week RCT comparing evolocumab with placebo in 901 hypercholesterolemic patients with a range of CVD risk. Prior to the treatment phase, patients were assigned to 1 of 4 background LLT groups in a 4- to 12-week OL run-in period: diet alone, diet with atorvastatin 10 mg QD, diet with atorvastatin 80 mg QD, or diet with atorvastatin 80 mg QD and ezetimibe 10 mg QD. Patients were intensified to the next level of background LLT if they did not reach their LDL-C goal per guidelines (Adult Treatment Panel [ATP] III). After the run-in period, patients were then randomized in a 2:1 ratio to evolocumab 420 mg SC Q4W (n = 599) or placebo (n = 302). After 52 weeks, evolocumab reduced LDL-C in all 4 LLT groups compared with placebo (55.7%, 61.6%, 56.8%, 48.5%, respectively; p < 0.001 for all comparisons) (*Blom et al 2014*).

### Cardiovascular outcomes

- FOURIER, a DB, PC, RCT, was the first completed CV outcomes trial for the PCSK9 inhibitors. The trial enrolled 27,564 high-risk patients with CVD and LDL-C levels  $\geq$  70 mg/dL while receiving optimized LLT (99.7% of patients were receiving moderate- or high-intensity statins). Patients were randomized to receive evolocumab (either 140 mg SC Q2W or 420 mg SC Q4W) or placebo, while remaining on their baseline LLT. The primary endpoint was a composite of CV death, MI, stroke, hospitalization for UA, and coronary revascularization (*Sabatine et al 2017*).
  - At 48 weeks, the least-squares mean (LSM) percentage reduction in LDL-C levels with evolocumab, as compared with placebo, was 59%, from a median baseline value of 92 mg/dL to 30 mg/dL (p < 0.001).
  - The composite endpoint occurred in 9.8% of evolocumab-treated patients vs 11.3% of placebo-treated patients (treatment difference, 1.5%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.79 to 0.92; p < 0.001) during a median follow-up period of 26 months. The benefit was driven by reduction of MI, stroke, and coronary revascularization; no benefit was identified in CV death or death from any cause.

- ODYSSEY OUTCOMES was a DB, PC, RCT enrolling 18,924 patients who had experienced an ACS between 1 to 12 months prior and had inadequate control of their lipids (eg, LDL-C  $\geq$  70 mg/dL) despite maximally-tolerated statin therapy. Patients were randomized to receive alirocumab (75 mg or 150 mg SC Q2W) or placebo in addition to their baseline LLT to treat to an LDL-C target of 25 to 50 mg/dL. The primary endpoint was a composite of CHD death, non-fatal MI, ischemic stroke, and UA requiring hospitalization. Median follow-up was 2.8 years (*Schwartz et al 2018*).
  - Compared to placebo, alirocumab reduced the overall risk of the primary composite outcome (alirocumab: 9.5% vs placebo: 11.1%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.93;  $p = 0.0003$ ) and was associated with a lower risk of non-fatal MI (alirocumab: 6.6% vs placebo: 7.6%; HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.96;  $p = 0.006$ ), ischemic stroke (alirocumab: 1.2% vs placebo: 1.6%; HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.93;  $p = 0.01$ ), and UA (alirocumab: 0.4% vs placebo: 0.6%; HR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.92;  $p = 0.02$ ).
    - For the primary composite endpoint, the absolute benefit of alirocumab was greater among patients with a baseline LDL-C level  $\geq$  100 mg/dL (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.87) compared to patients with lower baseline levels; however, the analysis on this subgroup was not prespecified.
  - Alirocumab was associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality (alirocumab: 3.5% vs placebo: 4.1%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.98; nominal  $p = 0.026$ ), and there were also numerically fewer CHD deaths (alirocumab: 2.2% vs placebo: 2.3%; HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.11;  $p = 0.38$ ).
  - In a prespecified analysis of 8242 patients eligible for  $\geq$  3 years follow-up, alirocumab reduced death (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.94;  $p = 0.01$ ). A post hoc analysis found that patients with baseline LDL-C  $\geq$  100 mg/dL had a greater absolute risk of death and a larger mortality benefit from alirocumab (HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.56 to 0.90;  $p$ -interaction = 0.007). Patients who achieved lower LDL-C values at 4 months (down to  $\sim$  30 mg/dL) appeared to be at lower risk of subsequent death (*Steg et al 2019*).
  - In another pre-specified analysis of ODYSSEY OUTCOMES, alirocumab reduced the risk of any stroke (HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.91) and ischemic stroke (HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.93) without increasing hemorrhagic stroke (HR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.42 to 1.65) at a median follow-up of 2.8 years (*Wouter Jukema et al 2019*). Risk of hemorrhagic stroke was not dependent upon achieved LDL-C levels within the alirocumab group, which is significant as concerns have existed that very low LDL-C levels may increase the potential risk of this stroke type.

### Additional meta-analyses

- A Cochrane Review of 24 studies (N = 60,997) comparing PCSK9 inhibitors with placebo or active treatment(s) for primary and secondary prevention of CVD was conducted (*Schmidt et al 2020*). Eighteen trials randomized subjects to alirocumab and 6 to evolocumab. All subjects received background LLT or lifestyle counseling. Six alirocumab studies used an active treatment comparison vs 3 evolocumab studies.
  - Compared with placebo, alirocumab decreased the risk of CVD events, with an absolute risk difference (RD) of -2% (odds ratio [OR], 0.87; 95% CI, 0.80 to 0.94), decreased the risk of mortality (RD -1%; OR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.96), MI (RD -2%; OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79 to 0.94), and for any stroke (RD 0%; OR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.91).
  - Compared with placebo, evolocumab decreased the risk of CVD events, with an absolute RD of -2% (OR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.91), for mortality, the RD was  $<$  1% (OR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.91 to 1.19), MI (RD -1%; OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.82), and for any stroke (RD  $<$  -1%; OR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.94).
  - The evidence base of PCSK9 inhibitors compared with active treatment was much weaker, and it is unclear whether evolocumab or alirocumab might be effectively used as replacement therapies.
- A meta-analysis was conducted on 35 RCTs comparing treatment with a PCSK9 inhibitor to no PCSK9 inhibitor in adults with hypercholesterolemia (N = 45,539). Compared with no PCSK9 inhibitor use, treatment with a PCSK9 inhibitor was associated with a statistically significant reduction in MI (PCSK9 inhibitor: 2.3% vs control: 3.6%; OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.81), stroke (1.0% vs 1.4%; OR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.96), and coronary revascularization (4.2% vs 5.8%; OR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.86). Use of a PCSK9 inhibitor was not significantly associated with a decrease in all-cause mortality (1.9% vs 2.2%; OR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.47 to 1.09) or CV mortality (1.1% vs 1.3%; OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.85 to 1.19) (*Karatasakis et al 2017*).
- In an updated meta-analysis involving 62,281 patients from 28 RCTs, the CV outcomes of PCSK9 inhibitor therapy (N = 33,204) vs placebo (N = 29,077) were assessed (*Casula et al 2019*). Results revealed no significant difference in all-cause mortality between the groups (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.85 to 1.03). However, PCSK9 inhibitor therapy was associated with a significant reduction in CV events as compared to placebo (OR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.87). Additionally, the occurrence of stroke and MI were significantly reduced with the PCSK9 inhibitors. CV mortality was not significantly different between the groups (OR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.07).

## CLINICAL GUIDELINES

- The updated ACC/AHA (2018) treatment guidelines for hypercholesterolemia emphasize reducing the risk of ASCVD through lipid management, including in patients with FH. In patients with clinical ASCVD, LDL-C should be reduced with high-intensity or maximally-tolerated statin therapy. In very high risk ASCVD, an LDL-C threshold of 70 mg/dL should be utilized to consider the addition of non-statins to maximally-tolerated statin therapy. If the addition of ezetimibe does not decrease LDL-C levels < 70 mg/dL, the addition of a PCSK9 inhibitor is reasonable. Similarly, in patients with severe primary hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL), high-intensity statin therapy should be initiated, but if the LDL-C level remains ≥ 100 mg/dL, adding ezetimibe may be reasonable. If the LDL-C level on statin plus ezetimibe remains ≥ 100 mg/dL and the patient has multiple factors that increase subsequent risk of ASCVD events, a PCSK9 inhibitor may be considered. The guideline notes that long-term safety (> 3 years) with the PCSK9 inhibitors is uncertain, and cost-effectiveness for patients with FH without ASCVD on maximally tolerated statin and ezetimibe therapy is uncertain at mid-2018 prices (*Grundy et al 2019*).
- The NLA guideline (2015) recommends that the central focus of pharmacotherapy in hypercholesterolemia be moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy, and acknowledges that RCT evidence is limited in guiding combination drug therapy in patients receiving maximally-tolerated statin therapy whose atherogenic cholesterol remains elevated above treatment goals (*Jacobson et al 2015*).
  - The NLA Expert Panel evidence-based recommendations on treatment with PCSK9 inhibitors are summarized in Table 3. Patients with ASCVD and/or additional risk factors who have not met their LDL-C goals should be considered for adjunct therapy with a PCSK9 inhibitor; it is emphasized that clinicians should reinforce the importance of statin therapy and attention to lifestyle therapy with each patient visit (*Orringer et al 2017*).

**Table 3. 2017 NLA expert panel PCSK9 inhibitor recommendations**

Disorder	LDL-C/Non-HDL-C for threshold for Rx (mg/dL)
ASCVD + additional risk factors	≥ 70/ ≥ 100
Progressive ASCVD	≥ 70/ ≥ 100
LDL-C ≥ 190, age 40 to 79 with no uncontrolled risk factors or key additional risk markers	≥ 100/ ≥ 130
LDL-C ≥ 190, age 40 to 79 with uncontrolled risk factors or key additional risk markers	≥ 70/ ≥ 100
LDL-C ≥ 190, age 18 to 39 with uncontrolled risk factors or key additional risk markers or FH causing mutation	≥ 100/ ≥ 130
HoFH phenotype	≥ 70/ ≥ 100
ASCVD + statin intolerance	Clinical judgment

- The AACE/ACE guidelines recommend LDL-C treatment goals based on ASCVD risk categories. Target LDL-C levels range from < 130 mg/dL for patients at low CV risk with zero ASCVD risk factors, to < 55 mg/dL for patients considered at extreme risk with progressive ASCVD. Statin therapy is recommended as the primary pharmacologic agent to achieve target LDL-C goals on the basis of morbidity and mortality outcome trials. PCSK9 inhibitors should be considered as adjunct therapy in patients who are unable to reach their LDL-C goals with maximally-tolerated statin therapy. **Lomitapide may be considered as a treatment option for HoFH in patients not responsive to PCSK9 inhibitors (*Handelsman et al 2020*).**
- **Recent guidelines on the treatment of HoFH are limited. Most of the guidelines recommend maximally tolerated statins, ezetimibe, PCSK9 inhibitors and if the LDL-C level remains above the target goal of > 50% reduction from baseline, lomitapide and lipid apheresis may be considered (*de Ferranti et al 2019, Gidding et al 2015*). Evinacumab has not been added to any guidelines yet.**

## SAFETY SUMMARY

- **Contraindications**
  - **Alirocumab, evinacumab, and evolocumab should not be used in patients with a history of serious hypersensitivity reaction to any component of the product.**



- Lomitapide is contraindicated in pregnancy, in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment or acute liver disease including unexplained persistent abnormal liver function tests, and when used concomitantly with strong or moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors.
- Warnings/precautions
  - Hypersensitivity reactions (eg, pruritus, rash, urticaria), including some serious events (eg, hypersensitivity vasculitis, hypersensitivity reactions requiring hospitalization), have been reported with alirocumab, evinacumab, and evolocumab treatment. If signs or symptoms of serious allergic reactions occur, discontinue treatment, treat according to the SOC, and monitor until signs and symptoms resolve.
  - Lomitapide is associated with multiple warnings and should be used cautiously when taken concomitantly with certain medications.
    - Hepatotoxicity, including elevations in transaminases and hepatic steatosis, has been reported with lomitapide, which has prompted restricted distribution through a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) program. In clinical trials, 34% of patients had an alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) increase  $\geq 3x$  upper limit of normal (ULN), and 14% has at least 1 elevation  $\geq 5x$  ULN. Hepatic steatosis is a risk factor for steatohepatitis and cirrhosis, and long-term risk has not been rigorously evaluated.
    - Absorption of fat-soluble vitamins and serum fatty acids is reduced in patients taking lomitapide. Patients should take daily supplements containing 400 international units of vitamin E and at least 200 mg linoleic acid, 210 mg alpha-linolenic acid (ALA), 110 mg eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), and 80 mg docosahexaenoic acid (DHA).
    - Use of lomitapide with CYP3A4 inhibitors results in an increased exposure to lomitapide. If use of strong and moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors cannot be avoided, lomitapide should be discontinued during treatment. Dose adjustments are warranted when administered with weak CYP3A4 inhibitors. Lomitapide can increase the drug concentration of simvastatin, lovastatin, and warfarin leading to AEs.
- Adverse effects
  - Alirocumab and evolocumab are generally well-tolerated. The most common AEs include nasopharyngitis, injection site reactions, and influenza.
  - Common AEs reported for evinacumab include nasopharyngitis, influenza-like illness, dizziness, rhinorrhea, and nausea.
  - The most common AEs reported in the Phase 3 lomitapide trial were diarrhea (79%), nausea (65%), vomiting (34%), dyspepsia (38%), and abdominal pain (34%). A total of 27 patients (93%) in the Phase 3 clinical trial reported a gastrointestinal AEs.
- Low LDL-C levels (ie, LDL-C < 25 mg/dL) were frequently encountered with alirocumab and evolocumab in clinical trial experience; however, symptoms associated with abetalipoproteinemia, a familial condition with minimal or nonexistent LDL-C levels (eg, fat malabsorption syndromes, hepatic steatosis, progressive neurologic degenerative disease, retinitis pigmentosa, acanthocytosis), were not observed (McKenney 2015). Rates of overall AEs, serious AEs, and neurocognitive AEs among patients achieving very low LDL-C levels were similar to those among the overall group (Robinson et al 2015, Sabatine et al 2015, Sabatine et al 2017). The long-term effects of very low LDL-C levels by alirocumab or evolocumab are unknown (Praluent Prescribing Information 2021, Repatha Prescribing Information 2021).
- Neurocognitive AEs occurred infrequently, but more often in patients treated with alirocumab (1.2% vs 0.5% with placebo) and evolocumab (0.9% vs 0.3% with placebo) in longer-term safety analyses (Robinson et al 2015, Sabatine et al 2015).
  - The EBBINGHAUS trial evaluated cognitive function in 1204 patients enrolled in the FOURIER trial and identified no important cognitive differences between patients treated with evolocumab vs placebo over a median follow-up of 19 months (Giugliano et al 2017).
  - A meta-analysis of 14 Phase 2 and 3 alirocumab trials found no significant differences in rates of patient-reported neurocognitive treatment-emergent AEs between alirocumab and controls (placebo or ezetimibe). No association was found between neurocognitive treatment-emergent AEs and LDL-C < 25 mg/dL (Harvey et al 2018).
- There are no data available on use of alirocumab or evolocumab in pregnant or lactating women to inform a drug-associated risk. Evinacumab and lomitapide may cause fetal harm, and lomitapide is contraindicated in pregnancy.

## DOSING AND ADMINISTRATION

**Table 4. Dosing and Administration**

Drug	Available Formulations	Route	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
Evkeeza (evinacumab-dgnb)	Single-dose vial: 345 mg/2.3 mL, 1200 mg/8 mL	IV	15 mg/kg every 4 weeks	Safety and efficacy were evaluated in a single 15 year old patient, and drug concentrations were within the range of observed adult concentrations.
Juxtapid (lomitapide)	Oral capsule: 5 mg, 10 mg, 20 mg, and 30 mg	Oral	<u>Starting dose:</u> 5 mg once daily, the dosage may be increased to a maximum dose of 60 mg daily	Safety and efficacy have not been established in the pediatric population.  Patients with end-stage renal disease or mild hepatic impairment should not exceed 40 mg daily.
Praluent (alirocumab)	Single-dose pre-filled pen: 75 mg/mL, 150 mg/mL	SC	<u>Starting dose:</u> 75 mg every 2 weeks or 300 mg every 4 weeks  If LDL-C response is inadequate, the dosage may be adjusted to the maximum dose of 150 mg every 2 weeks  <u>HeFH patients undergoing LDL apheresis or patients with HoFH:</u> 150 mg every 2 weeks; can be administered without regard to timing of apheresis	The safety and efficacy of alicumab have not been established in the pediatric population.
Repatha (evolocumab)	Single-dose pre-filled syringe: 140 mg/mL  Single-dose pre-filled autoinjector: 140 mg/mL  Single-dose pre-filled cartridge with on-body infusor: 420 mg/3.5 mL	SC	<u>Established ASCVD or primary hyperlipidemia:</u> 140 mg every 2 weeks or 420 mg once monthly  <u>HoFH:</u> 420 mg once monthly  If LDL-C response is not achieved in 12 weeks, the dosage may be adjusted to 420 mg every 2 weeks  <u>HoFH patients undergoing lipid apheresis:</u> 420 mg every 2 weeks; administer after apheresis session	The safety and efficacy of evolocumab in combination with diet and other LDL-C lowering therapies in adolescents with HoFH were established based on data from a 12-week, PC trial that included 10 adolescents (ages 13 to 17 years old) with HoFH.  Safety and effectiveness have not been established in pediatric patients with HoFH who are younger than 13 years old.  Safety and effectiveness have not been established in pediatric patients with primary hyperlipidemia or HeFH.

See the current prescribing information for full details

## CONCLUSION

- CVD is the leading cause of death worldwide (AHA 2021). Serum cholesterol is known to be related to ASCVD, with LDL-C being the dominant form of atherogenic cholesterol (Grundy et al 2019). FH is a genetic disorder that causes elevated LDL-C levels and premature ASCVD (Raal et al 2018). Despite use of statin therapy, patients with FH are at a persistent increased risk for ASCVD.
- Alirocumab and evolocumab are fully human monoclonal antibodies that inhibit PCSK9, leading to substantial LDL-C reduction (Navarese et al 2015). The PCSK9 inhibitors are administered SC every 2 weeks or once monthly.
  - Alirocumab is indicated as an adjunct to diet, alone or in combination with other LLTs (eg, statins, ezetimibe) for treatment of adults with primary hyperlipidemia (including HeFH) to reduce LDL-C; to reduce the risk of MI, stroke, and UA requiring hospitalization in adults with established CVD; and as an adjunct to LLTs for the treatment of adults with HoFH.
  - Evolocumab is indicated as an adjunct to diet, alone or in combination with other LLTs (eg, statins, ezetimibe) for treatment of adults with primary hyperlipidemia (including HeFH) to reduce LDL-C; as an adjunct to diet and other LLTs (eg, statins, ezetimibe, LDL apheresis) in patients with HoFH who require additional lowering of LDL-C; and to reduce the risk of MI, stroke, and coronary revascularization in adults with established CVD.
- Evinacumab is an IV monoclonal antibody that inhibits ANGPTL-3 and is indicated as an adjunct to other LLTs in patients  $\geq 12$  years of age with HoFH. Evinacumab is dosed every 4 weeks.
- Lomitapide is an oral MTP inhibitor indicated as an adjunct to low-fat diet and other LLT to reduce LDL-C, total cholesterol, and non-HDL-C in patients with HoFH.
- The efficacy and safety of alirocumab and evolocumab have been demonstrated across numerous clinical trials in various patient populations. The PCSK9 inhibitors offer substantial LDL-C lowering, and both have been shown to reduce CV events in high-risk patients, although benefit on mortality is still unclear. The safety and efficacy of evinacumab were evaluated in a Phase 3, PC, clinical trial, and lomitapide was evaluated in a single-arm, OL trial in patients with HoFH. Lomitapide and evinacumab have only shown safety and efficacy for reducing LDL-C levels in patients with HoFH, and the effect of these drugs on CV morbidity and mortality has not been determined.
- Alirocumab, evolocumab, and evinacumab are generally well-tolerated. The most common AEs include nasopharyngitis and influenza, as well as injection site reactions for the PCSK9 inhibitors, and dizziness, rhinorrhea, and nausea for evinacumab. Lomitapide is associated with a risk for hepatotoxicity and frequent gastrointestinal adverse effects.
  - Low LDL-C levels (ie, LDL-C < 25 mg/dL) were frequently encountered with alirocumab and evolocumab in clinical trial experience; however, rates of overall AEs, serious AEs, and neurocognitive AEs among these patients were similar to those among the overall group. The long-term effects of very low LDL-C levels by alirocumab or evolocumab are still unknown.
  - Given lomitapide's risk for hepatotoxicity, distribution is restricted via a REMS program. Additionally, supplementation with vitamin E, linoleic acid, ALA, EPA, and DHA is recommended while taking lomitapide due to reduced gastrointestinal absorption of fatty acids.
- Current guidelines from the ACC/AHA (Grundy et al 2019), AACE/ACE (Handelsman et al 2020), and the NLA (Jacobson et al 2015, Orringer et al 2017) all recommend maximally-tolerated statins as first-line therapy, with ezetimibe and the PCSK9 inhibitors as potential second-line agents for patients not achieving adequate LDL-C lowering. Patients with ASCVD or at high risk for ASCVD may benefit from more aggressive LDL-C targets; however, there is no consensus on goal LDL-C levels. Lomitapide may be considered in patients with HoFH not responsive to PCSK9 inhibitors. Evinacumab has not yet been incorporated into practice guidelines, given its recent approval.

## REFERENCES

- American Heart Association. 2021 heart disease and stroke statistical update fact sheet: at-a-glance. AHA Web site. 2021. [https://www.heart.org/-/media/phd\\_files/2/science\\_news/2/2021\\_heart\\_and\\_stroke\\_stat-update/2021\\_heart\\_disease\\_and\\_stroke\\_statistics\\_update\\_fact\\_sheet\\_at\\_a\\_glance.pdf?ua=en](https://www.heart.org/-/media/phd_files/2/science_news/2/2021_heart_and_stroke_stat-update/2021_heart_disease_and_stroke_statistics_update_fact_sheet_at_a_glance.pdf?ua=en). Accessed April 6, 2021.
- Bays H, Gaudet D, Weiss R, et al. Alirocumab as add-on to atorvastatin versus other lipid treatment strategies: ODYSSEY OPTIONS I randomized trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2015;jc20151520.
- Benhuri B, Ueyama H, Takagi H, Briasoulis A, Kuno T. PCSK9 Inhibitors and ezetimibe monotherapy in patients not receiving statins: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*. 2021;19(4):390-397. doi:10.2174/1570161118666200807114559.
- Blom DJ, Hala T, Bolognese M, et al. A 52-week placebo-controlled trial of evolocumab in hyperlipidemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:1809-1819.
- Blom DJ, Harada-Shiba M, Rubba P, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in adults with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: the ODYSSEY HoFH trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76(2):131-142. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.027.
- Cannon CP, Cariou B, Blom D, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in high cardiovascular risk patients with inadequately controlled hypercholesterolemia on maximally tolerated doses of statins: the ODYSSEY COMBO II randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:1186-1194.

- Casula M, Olmastroni E, Boccalari MT, Tragni E, Pirillo A, Catapano AL. Cardiovascular events with PCSK9 inhibitors: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacol Res.* 2019; May;143:143-150. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.03.021.
- Cuchel M, Meagher EA, du Toit Theron H, et al; Phase 3 HoFH Lomitapide Study investigators. Efficacy and safety of a microsomal triglyceride transfer protein inhibitor in patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: a single-arm, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9860):40-46. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61731-0.
- de Ferranti SD, Steinberger J, Amedura R, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Atherosclerosis, Hypertension and Obesity in the Young Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young; Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Cardiovascular risk reduction in high-risk pediatric patients: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2019;139:e603-e634. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000618.
- Drugs@FDA: FDA approved drug products. Food and Drug Administration Web site. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/>. Accessed April 6, 2021.
- Farnier M, Jones P, Severance R, et al. Efficacy and safety of adding alirocumab to rosuvastatin versus adding ezetimibe or doubling the rosuvastatin dose in high cardiovascular-risk patients: the ODYSSEY OPTIONS II randomized trial. *Atherosclerosis.* 2016;244:138-146.
- Gidding SS, Champagne MA, de Ferranti SD, Defesche J, Ito MK, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in the Young Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Functional Genomics and Translational Biology, and Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health. The agenda for familial hypercholesterolemia: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2015;132:2167-2192.
- Ginsberg HN, Radar DJ, Raal FJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia and LDL-C of 160 mg/dl or higher. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* 2016;30(5):473-483.
- Giugliano RP, Mach F, Zavitz K, et al. Cognitive function in a randomized trial of evolocumab. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(7):633-643.
- Goldberg AC, Hopkins PN, Toth PP, et al. Familial hypercholesterolemia: screening, diagnosis, and management of pediatric and adult patients. Clinical guidance from the National Lipid Association Expert Panel on Familial Hypercholesterolemia. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2011;5(3 Suppl):S1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2011.04.003.
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APHA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73(24):e285-e350. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.003.
- Handelsman Y, Jellinger PS, Guerin CK, et al. Consensus statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the management of dyslipidemia and prevention of cardiovascular disease algorithm – 2020 executive summary. *Endocr Pract.* 2020;26(10):1-29. doi: 10.4158/CS-2019-0472.
- Evkeeza [package insert], Tarrytown, NY: Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; February 2021.
- Harvey PD, Sabbagh MN, Harrison JE, et al. No evidence of neurocognitive adverse events associated with alirocumab treatment in 3340 patients from 14 randomized phase 2 and 3 controlled trials: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:374-381.
- Jacobson TA, Ito MK, Maki KC, et al. National Lipid Association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 1—full report. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2015;9(2):129-169.
- Juxtapid [package insert], Dublin, Ireland: Amryt Pharmaceuticals DAC; September 2020.
- Karatasakis A, Danek BA, Karacsonyi J, et al. Effect of PCSK9 inhibitors on clinical outcomes in patients with hypercholesterolemia: a meta-analysis of 35 randomized controlled trials. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e006910.
- Kastelein JJ, Ginsberg HN, Langslet G, et al. ODYSSEY FH I and FH II: 78 week results with alirocumab treatment in 735 patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36(43):2996-3003.
- Kereiakes DJ, Robinson JG, Cannon CP, et al. Efficacy and safety of the proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitor alirocumab among high cardiovascular risk patients on maximally tolerated statin therapy: the ODYSSEY COMBO I study. *Am Heart J.* 2015;169:906-15.e13.
- Koren MJ, Giugliano RP, Raal FJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of longer-term administration of evolocumab (AMG 145) in patients with hypercholesterolemia: 52 week results from the Open-Label Study of Long-Term Evaluation Against LDL-C (OSLER) randomized trial. *Circulation.* 2014a;129:234-243.
- Koren MJ, Lundqvist P, Bolognese M, et al. Anti-PCSK9 monotherapy for hypercholesterolemia: the MENDEL-2 randomized, controlled phase III clinical trial of evolocumab. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014b;63:2531-40.
- Koren MJ, Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, et al. Final report of the OSLER-1 study: long-term evolocumab for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia. Presented at: American Heart Association Scientific Sessions 2018; November 10-12, 2018; Chicago, IL.
- Koskinas KC, Windecker S, Pedrazzini G, et al. Evolocumab for early reduction of LDL cholesterol levels in patients with acute coronary syndromes (EVOPACS). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74(20):2452-2462. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.08.010.
- Leiter LA, Cariou B, Muller-Wieland D, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in insulin-treated individuals with type 1 or type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk: the ODYSSEY DM-INSULIN randomized trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017;19(12):1781-1792.
- McKenney JM. Understanding PCSK9 and anti-PCSK9 therapies. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2015;9:170-186.
- Moriarty PM, Parhofer KG, Babirak SP, et al. Alirocumab in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia undergoing lipoprotein apheresis: the ODYSSEY ESCAPE trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37(48):3588-3595.
- Moriarty PM, Thompson PD, Cannon CP, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab versus ezetimibe in statin-intolerant patients, with a statin rechallenge arm: the ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE randomized trial. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2015;9(6):758-769. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2015.08.006.
- Navarese EP, Kolodziejczak M, Schulze V, et al. Effects of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 antibodies in adults with hypercholesterolemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;10.7326/M14-2957.
- Nissen SE, Stroes E, Dent-Acosta RE, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of evolocumab vs ezetimibe in patients with muscle-related statin intolerance: the GAUSS-3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2016;315(15):1580-1590.
- Orange Book: Approved drug products with therapeutic equivalence evaluations. Food and Drug Administration Web site. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm>. Accessed April 6, 2021.
- Orringer CE, Jaconson TA, Saseen JJ, et al. Update on the use of PCSK9 inhibitors in adults: recommendations from an expert panel of the National Lipid Association. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2017;11(4):880-890.



- Praluent [package insert], Tarrytown, NY: Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; April 2021.
- Purple Book: Database of licensed biological products. Food and Drug Administration Web site. <https://purplebooksearch.fda.gov>. Accessed April 6, 2021.
- Raal FJ, Honarpour N, Blom DJ, et al. Inhibition of PCSK9 with evolocumab in homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (TESLA Part B): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015a;385:341-350.
- Raal FJ, Hovingh GK, Catapano AL. Familial hypercholesterolemia treatments: guidelines and new therapies. *Atherosclerosis*. 2018;277:483-492. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2018.06.859
- Raal FJ, Rosenson RS, Reeskamp LF, et al; ELIPSE HoFH Investigators. Evinacumab for homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(8):711-720. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2004215
- Raal FJ, Stein EA, Dufour R, et al. PCSK9 inhibition with evolocumab (AMG 145) in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (RUTHERFORD-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015b;385:331-340.
- Ray KK, Leiter LA, Muller-Wieland D, et al. Alirocumab vs usual lipid-lowering care as add-on to statin therapy in individuals with type 2 diabetes and mixed dyslipidaemia: the ODYSSEY DM-DYSLIPIDEMIA randomized trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2018;20(6):1479-1489.
- Repatha [package insert], Thousand Oaks, CA: Amgen Inc.; February 2021.
- Robinson JG, Calhoun HM, Bays HE, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab as add-on therapy in high-cardiovascular-risk patients with hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with atorvastatin (20 or 40 mg) or rosuvastatin (10 or 20 mg): design and rationale of the ODYSSEY OPTIONS Studies. *Clin Cardiol*. 2014a;37:597-604.
- Robinson JG, Nedergaard BS, Rogers WJ, et al. Effect of evolocumab or ezetimibe added to moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy on LDL-C lowering in patients with hypercholesterolemia: the LAPLACE-2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014b;311:1870-1882.
- Robinson JG, Farnier M, Krempf M, et al, for the ODYSSEY LONG TERM investigators. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in reducing lipids and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(16):1489-1499. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501031.
- Rosenson RS, Durrington P. Familial hypercholesterolemia in adults: overview. UpToDate Web site. [www.uptodate.com](http://www.uptodate.com). Updated September 21, 2020. Accessed April 6, 2021.
- Roth EM, McKenney JM. ODYSSEY MONO: effect of alirocumab 75 mg subcutaneously every 2 weeks as monotherapy versus ezetimibe over 24 weeks. *Future Cardiol*. 2015;11:27-37.
- Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, Keech AC et al. Evolocumab and clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(18):1713-1722.
- Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, Wiviott SD, et al. Efficacy and safety of evolocumab in reducing lipids and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:1500-1509.
- Santos RD, Ruzza A, Hovingh GK, et al, for the HAUSER-RCT investigators. Evolocumab in pediatric heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020a;383(14):1317-1327. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2019910.
- Santos RD, Stein EA, Hovingh GK, et al. Long-term evolocumab in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020b;75(6):565-574. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.12.020.
- Schmidt AF, Carter JPL, Pearce LS, et al. PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2020;10:CD011748. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011748.pub.
- Schwartz GG, Steg PG, Szarek M, ODYSSEY OUTCOMES Committees and Investigators, et al. Alirocumab and cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(22):2097-2107. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801174.
- Steg PG, Szarek M, Bhatt DL, et al. Effect of alirocumab on mortality after acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation*. 2019;140(2):103-112.
- Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D, et al. Anti-PCSK9 antibody effectively lowers cholesterol in patients with statin intolerance: the GAUSS-2 randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trial of evolocumab. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:2541-2548.
- Wouter Jukema J, Zijlstra LE, Bhatt DL, et al. Effect of alirocumab on stroke in ODYSSEY OUTCOMES. *Circulation*. 2019;140(25):2054-2062. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.043826.

Publication Date: April 30, 2021