Therapeutic Class Overview Immunoglobulin E Monoclonal Antibodies

Therapeutic Class

Overview/Summary: Immunoglobulin E (IgE) monoclonal antibodies inhibit the binding of IgE to IgE receptors. The mechanism of action of IgE monoclonal antibodies may have utility in the treatment of various allergic conditions. Currently, there is one IgE monoclonal antibody approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Omalizumab (Xolair[®]) is a humanized monoclonal antibody that is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, with moderate to severe persistent asthma, who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), as well as for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria refractory to histamine₁ antihistamine therapy.¹

An allergic form of asthma is found in approximately 90% of adult asthmatics.² Patients with allergic asthma with positive skin test reactions to a given aeroallergen tend to have exacerbations of asthma when exposed to that aeroallergen. IgE is believed to be pivotal in the pathogenesis of allergic asthma.³ Omalizumab reduces the release of allergic response mediators by inhibiting the binding of IgE to its receptor on the surface of mast cells and basophils.¹

Although the mechanism by which treatment with omalizumab results in an improvement in the symptoms of chronic idiopathic urticaria is not fully understood, omalizumab binds to IgE and lowers free IgE levels, which down-regulates the IgE receptors on cells.¹

Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously in a physician's office every two to four weeks in a dose that is determined by body weight and the levels of serum IgE for allergic asthma and 150 to 300 mg every four weeks for chronic idiopathic urticaria.^{1,3} It carriers a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis which may occur as early as after first dose, but also as long as beyond one year of treatment.¹

The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program recommend considering omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients 12 years of age and older with allergies and severe persistent asthma that is inadequately controlled with the combination of high-dose ICS and long-acting β_2 -agonist.¹¹ Similarly, Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines recommend omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients with elevated serum levels of IgE who are not adequately controlled on controller medications.¹²

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines recommend omalizumab add-on therapy for narrowly defined severely affected groups of asthma patients with unstable disease who have clinical confirmation of IgE mediation of asthma exacerbations and have had a trial of all standard asthma medications. In addition, omalizumab therapy may only be cost-effective for severely affected group of asthma patients at an elevated risk of asthma-related mortality, if therapy was discontinued in non-responders at 16 weeks and if vial wastage could be minimized to reduce costs.¹³ Omalizumab is not recommended in children aged six to 11 because it does not provide enough benefit to justify its high cost.¹⁴

The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines for the management of urticaria recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.¹⁷ The British Association of Dermatologists Guidelines for the management of Urticaria in adults and children have not yet been updated to address the role of omalizumab in the treatment of urticaria.¹⁸

Although omalizumab is not FDA-approved for use in other allergic conditions, the evidence from several randomized controlled trials favors its efficacy in patients with allergic rhinitis.^{1,19-22} Omalizumab is also



Page 1 of 4 Copyright 2014 • Review Completed on 06/01/2014



being investigated in patients with peanut allergy, latex allergy, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, and other IgE mediated allergic conditions.²³

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Omalizumab (Xolair [®])	Anti-IgE Antibody	-

Table 1. Current Medications Available in Therapeutic Class³

Evidence-based Medicine

- The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma was based on the results of three published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. All studies enrolled patients 12 years of age and older with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a positive skin test to a perennial aeroallergen. Two studies showed significantly greater reductions in exacerbations with omalizumab vs placebo. In all three studies, the dose of inhaled corticosteroids was significantly reduced with omalizumab compared to placebo.⁴⁻⁶
- Multiple meta-analyses demonstrated the efficacy of omalizumab in decreasing steroid consumption and reducing asthma exacerbations when added to an ICS.⁷⁻⁹ However, further assessment in pediatric populations and direct double dummy comparison with an ICS was recommended.⁸ In addition, a five-year long observational study (EXCELS) is currently evaluating the safety of omalizumab in patients with moderate to severe asthma. In July 2009, the FDA announced that the interim data suggests a disproportionate increase in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular adverse events in patients treated with omalizumab compared to placebo; however, no changes to the prescribing information were recommended.¹⁰
- The FDA-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria was based on two published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. Both studies included patients 12 to 75 years of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine therapy. Both studies showed significant improvements in the itch-severity test compared to placebo.^{15,16}

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - Omalizumab is recommended as adjunctive therapy in patients ≥12 years old with allergies and severe, persistent asthma with elevated immunoglobulin E (IgE) who are not adequately controlled on controller medications.^{11,12}
 - The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines for the management of urticaria recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.¹⁷
- Other Key Facts:
 - Currently, omalizumab is the only agent in this novel drug class that has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration and is commercially available in the United States.¹
 - Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously by a health care provider in a health care setting. For the treatment of allergic asthma, omalizumab is given at a dose of 150 to 375 mg every two or four weeks according to IgE level and body weight. For the treatment of chronic urticaria, omalizumab is given at a dose of 150 or 300 mg every four weeks, regardless of IgE level or weight.¹
 - Omalizumab is associated with a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis that may occur as early as the first dose or as late as beyond one year after treatment initiation.¹
 - The most common adverse side effects associated with omalizumab include injection site pain, nausea, arthralgia, headache and respiratory symptoms.





References

- Xolair® [package insert on the Internet]. South San Francisco (CA). Genetech Inc.; 2014 March [Accessed 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.pharma.us.novartis.com/product/pi/pdf/Xolair.pdf.
- Holt PG, Macaubas C, Stumbles PA, Sly PD. The role of allergy in the development of asthma. Nature. 1999 Nov 25:402(6760 2. Suppl):B12-7.
- Rambasek TE, Lang DM, Kayuru MS, Omalizumab; where does it fit into current asthma management? Cleve Clin J Med. 3. 2004 Mar;71(3):251-61.
- Busse W, Corren J, Lanier BQ, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, Cioppa GD, et al. Omalizumab, anti-IgE recombinant humanized 4. monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of severe allergic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001 Aug;108(2):184-90.
- Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, Buhl R, O'Brien J, Fox H, et al. The anti-IgE antibody omalizumab reduces exacerbations and 5. steroid requirement in allergic asthmatics. Eur Respir J. 2001 Aug;18(2):254-61.
- 6. Holgate ST, Chuchalin AG, Hébert J, Lötvall J, Persson GB, Chung KF, et al. Efficacy and safety of a recombinant antiimmunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab) in severe allergic asthma. Clin Exp Allergy. 2004 Apr;34(4):632-8.
- Holgate S, Bousquet J, Wenzel S, et al. Efficacy of omalizumab, an antiimmunoglobulin E antibody, in patients with allergic 7. asthma at high risk of serious asthma-related morbidity and mortality. Curr Med Res Opin. 2001b;17:233-40.
- 8. Walker S, Monteil M, Phelan K, Lasserson TJ, Walters EH. Anti-IgE for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Apr 19;(2):CD003559.
- 9 Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Efficacy and safety of subcutaneous omalizumab vs placebo as add on therapy to corticosteroids for children and adults with asthma: a systematic review. Chest. 2010 Aug 5.
- 10. Early Communication about an Ongoing Safety Review of Omalizumab (marketed as Xolair) [webpage on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2009 [cited 2011 Jan 21]. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/DrugSafetyInformationforHeat hcareProfessionals/ucm172218.htm.
- 11. United States Department of Health and Human Services National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma [guideline on the Internet]. NHLBI 2007 [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/asthgdln.pdf.
- 12. Bateman ED, Boulet LP, Cruz AA, FitzGerald M, Haahtela T, Levy ML, et al. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2012 [guideline on the internet]. 2011 Dec. [cited 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.ginasthma.com.
- 13. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for severe persistent allergic asthma [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Aug [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/TA133Guidance.pdf.
- 14. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma in children aged 6 to 11 years [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Oct [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13256/51345/51345.pdf.
- 15. Maurer M, Rosen K, Hsieh HJ, Saini S, Grattan C, Gimenez-Arnau A, et al. Omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic or spontaneous urticaria. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:924-35.
- 16. Kaplan A, Ledford D, Ashby M, Canvin J, Zazzali JL, Conner E, et al. Omalizumab in patients with symptomatic chronic idiopathic/spontaneous urticaria despite standard combination therapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;132(1):101-9. 17. Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO
- guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
- Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for 18. evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.
- Ädelroth E, Rak S, Haahtela T, Aasand G, Rosenhall L, Zetterstrom O, et al. Recombinant humanized mAb-E25, an anti-IgE 19. mAb, in birch pollen-induced seasonal allergic rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2000 Aug;106(2):253-9.
- 20. Nayak A, Casale T, Miller SD, Condemi J, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Tolerability of retreatment with omalizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal anti-IgE antibody, during a second ragweed pollen season in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2003 Sep-Oct;24(5):323-9.
- 21. Chervinsky P, Casale T, Townley R, Tripathy I, Hedgecock S, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Omalizumab, an anti-IgE antibody, in the treatment of adults and adolescents with perennial allergic rhinitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):160-7.
- Casale TB, Condemi J, LaForce C, Nayak A, Rowe M, Watrous M, et al. Effect of omalizumab on symptoms of seasonal 22. allergic rhinitis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001 Dec 19;286(23):2956-67.
- Micromedex[®] Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Micromedex; 2014 [Accessed 23. 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 24. Lanier BQ, Corren J, Lumry W, Liu J, Fowler-Taylor A, Gupta N. Omalizumab is effective in the long-term control of severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):154-9.
- 25. Buhl R, Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, O'Brien J, Noga O, et al. Omalizumab provides long-term control in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. Eur Respir J. 2002 Jul;20(1):73-8.
- Eisner MD, Zazzali JL, Miller MK, Bradley MS, Schatz M. Longitudinal changes in asthma control with omalizumab: 2-year 26. interim data from the EXCELS study. Asthma. 2012;49(6):642-8.
- 27. Chen H, Eisner MD, Haselkorn T, Trzaskoma B. Concomitant asthma medications in moderate-to-severe allergic asthma treated with omalizumab. Respiratory Medicine. 2013;107:60-7.
- 28. Busse WW, Massanari M, Kianifard F, Geba GP. Effect of omalizumab on the need for rescue systemic corticosteroid treatment in patients with moderate-to-severe persistent IgE-mediated allergic asthma: a pooled analysis. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2007;23(10):2379-86.
- Milgrom H, Berger W, Nayak A, Gupta N, Pollard S, McAlary M, et al. Treatment of childhood asthma with anti-immunoglobulin 29. E antibody (omalizumab).Pediatrics. 2001 Aug;108(2):E36.
- Schumann C, Kropf C, Wibmer T, Rüdiger S, Stoiber KM, Thielen A, Rottbauer W and Kroegel C. Omalizumab in patients with 30. severe asthma: the XCLUSIVE study. Clin Respir J. 2012;6:215-227.



Page 3 of 4 Copyright 2014 • Review Completed on 06/01/2014



- 31. Niebauer K, Dewilde S, Fox-Rushby J, Revicki DA. Impact of omalizumab on quality-of-life outcomes in patients with moderateto-severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2006;96:316-26.
- 32. Chipps B, Buhl R, Beeh KM, Fox H, Thomas K, Reisner C. Improvement in quality of life with omalizumab in patients with severe allergic asthma. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2006;22(11):2201-8.
- Normansell R, Walker S, Milan SJ, Walters EH, Nair P. Omalizumab for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 June 13;(2):CD003559.
- 34. Baseline IgÉ levels or weight outside range. Personal correspondence with Genentech Inc.
- Brozek J, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani C, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:466-76.
- 36. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health care guideline: diagnosis and treatment of respiratory illness in children and adults. Bloomington, MN: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); January 2013 (fourth edition).
- Sur DK, Scandale S. Treatment of allergic rhinitis. Am Fam Physician. 2010 Jun 15;81(12):1440-6.
 Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO
- guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
 39. Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for
- evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.





Therapeutic Class Review Immunoglobulin E Monoclonal Antibodies

Overview/Summary

Immunoglobulin E (IgE) monoclonal antibodies inhibit the binding of IgE to IgE receptors. The mechanism of action of IgE monoclonal antibodies may have utility in the treatment of various allergic conditions. Currently, there is one IgE monoclonal antibody approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Omalizumab (Xolair[®]) is a humanized monoclonal antibody that is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, with moderate to severe persistent asthma, who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), as well as for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria refractory to histamine therapy.¹

An allergic form of asthma is found in approximately 90% of adult asthmatics.² Patients with allergic asthma with positive skin test reactions to a given aeroallergen tend to have exacerbations of asthma when exposed to that aeroallergen. IgE is believed to be pivotal in the pathogenesis of allergic asthma.³ Omalizumab reduces the release of allergic response mediators by inhibiting the binding of IgE to its receptor on the surface of mast cells and basophils.¹

Although the mechanism by which treatment with omalizumab results in an improvement in the symptoms of chronic idiopathic urticaria is not fully understood, omalizumab binds to IgE and lowers free IgE levels, which down-regulates the IgE receptors on cells.¹

Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously in a physician's office every two to four weeks in a dose that is determined by body weight and the levels of serum IgE for allergic asthma and 150 to 300 mg every four weeks for chronic idiopathic urticaria.^{1,3} It carriers a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis which may occur as early as after first dose, but also as long as beyond one year of treatment.¹

The FDA approval of omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma was based on the results of three published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. All studies enrolled patients 12 years of age and older with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a positive skin test to a perennial aeroallergen. Two studies showed significantly greater reductions in exacerbations with omalizumab vs placebo. In all three studies, the dose of ICS was significantly reduced with omalizumab compared to placebo.⁴⁻⁶

Multiple meta-analyses demonstrated the efficacy of omalizumab in decreasing steroid consumption and reducing asthma exacerbations when added to an ICS.⁷⁻⁹ However, further assessment in pediatric populations and direct double dummy comparison with an ICS was recommended.⁸ In addition, a five-year long observational study (EXCELS) is currently evaluating the safety of omalizumab in patients with moderate to severe asthma. In July 2009, the FDA announced that the interim data suggests a disproportionate increase in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular adverse events in patients treated with omalizumab compared to placebo; however, no changes to the prescribing information were recommended.¹⁰

The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program recommend considering omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients 12 years of age and older with allergies and severe persistent asthma that is inadequately controlled with the combination of high-dose ICS and long-acting β_2 -agonist.¹¹ Similarly, Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines recommend omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients with elevated serum levels of IgE who are not adequately controlled on controller medications.¹²

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines recommend omalizumab add-on therapy for narrowly defined severely affected groups of asthma patients with unstable disease who have clinical confirmation of IgE mediation of asthma exacerbations and have had a trial of all standard asthma



Page 1 of 34 Copyright 2014 • Review Completed on 06/01/2014



medications. In addition, omalizumab therapy may only be cost-effective for severely affected group of asthma patients at an elevated risk of asthma-related mortality, if therapy was discontinued in non-responders at 16 weeks and if vial wastage could be minimized to reduce costs.¹³ Omalizumab is not recommended in children aged six to 11 because it does not provide enough benefit to justify its high cost.¹⁴

The FDA-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria was based on two published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. Both studies included patients 12 to 75 years of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine therapy. Both studies showed significant improvements in the itch-severity test compared to placebo.^{15,16}

The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines for the management of urticaria recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.¹⁷ The British Association of Dermatologists Guidelines for the management of Urticaria in adults and children have not yet been updated to address the role of omalizumab in the treatment of urticaria.¹⁸

Although omalizumab is not FDA-approved for use in other allergic conditions, the evidence from several randomized controlled trials favors its efficacy in patients with allergic rhinitis.^{1,19-22} Omalizumab is also being investigated in patients with peanut allergy, latex allergy, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, and other IgE mediated allergic conditions.²³

Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Omalizumab (Xolair [®])	Anti-IgE antibody	-

Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications¹

Indication(s)	Omalizumab
Moderate to severe persistent asthma in patients with a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and symptoms that are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids	v
Chronic idiopathic urticaria in adults and adolescents ≥12 years of age who remain symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine treatment	~

Pharmacokinetics

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics¹

Generic Name	Bioavailability (%)	Metabolism	Excretion (%)	Active Metabolites	Serum Half- Life (hours)
Omalizumab	62	Degradation in the liver	Bile (not	None	24 to 26
		reticuloendothelial system	reported)		days
		and endothelial cells			





Clinical Trials

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma was based on the results of three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials conducted in patients at least 12 years of age with moderate to severe asthma for at least one year, and a positive skin test reaction to a perennial aeroallergen. All patients were required to have a baseline immunoglobulin E (IgE) between 30 and 700 international unit (IU)/mL and body weight not more than 150 kg. Patients were treated according to a dosing table to administer at least 0.016 mg/kg/IU (IgE/mL) of omalizumab or placebo over each four-week period.¹

Each study was comprised of a run-in period to achieve a stable conversion to a common inhaled corticosteroid (ICS), followed by randomization to omalizumab or placebo. Patients received omalizumab for 16 weeks with an unchanged ICS dose unless an acute exacerbation necessitated an increase. Patients then entered an ICS reduction phase of 12 (Busse et al and Solèr et al) and 16 weeks (Holgate et al) during which ICS dose reduction was attempted in a step-wise manner.¹

In the first 28-week study by Busse et al (N=525), during the steroid stable phase, patients treated with omalizumab had fewer mean exacerbations/subject (0.28 vs 0.54; P=0.006) and decreased mean duration of exacerbations (7.8 vs 12.7 days; P<0.001) compared to placebo-treated patients. Similarly, during the steroid reduction phase, omalizumab was associated with fewer exacerbations/subject (0.39 vs 0.66; P=0.003), and a shorter mean duration of exacerbations (9.4 vs 12.6 days; P=0.021).⁴

In the second 28-week study by Solèr et al (N=546), asthma exacerbations/patient, the primary endpoint, decreased more in the omalizumab group compared to placebo during both the stable steroid (0.28 vs 0.66; P<0.001) and steroid reduction (0.36 vs 0.75; P<0.001) phases.⁵

In the third 32-week study by Holgate et al (N=246), the percentage reduction in ICS dose, the primary endpoint, was greater among patients treated with omalizumab than among patients treated with placebo (median, 60 vs 50%; P=0.003). The percentages of patients with at least one asthma exacerbation were similar between omalizumab and placebo groups during both the stable steroid and steroid reduction phases (P value not reported).⁶ The absence of an observed treatment effect may be related to differences in the patient population compared to the first two studies, study sample size, or other factors.¹

A meta-analysis of three aforementioned trials (Busse et al, Solèr et al, Holgate et al) and their extension studies assessed the efficacy of omalizumab in a subgroup of 254 patients at high risk of serious asthmarelated mortality and morbidity. Patients were defined as high-risk due to asthma histories that included the following: intubation history, emergency room visit within the last year, overnight hospitalization, or intensive care unit treatment. The primary outcome was an annualized rate of acute exacerbation episodes were considered as endpoints: significant acute exacerbation episodes were considered as endpoints: significant acute exacerbation episodes and all acute exacerbation episodes (i.e., all episodes recorded by the investigator). Significant acute exacerbation episodes (all three studies). During the stable steroid phase, mean significant acute exacerbation episodes rates were 1.56 and 0.69/patient-year, respectively, a reduction of 56% with omalizumab (P=0.007). Similar reductions in exacerbation episodes. The authors concluded that 113 significant acute exacerbation episodes were prevented for every 100 patients treated with omalizumab for one year.⁷

The FDA-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria was based on two randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, multi-center clinical trials, ASTERIA II and GLACIAL. Both studies included patients 12 to 75 years of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine therapy.^{15,16}

In the ASTERIA II trial, treatment with omalizumab in doses of 150 and 300 mg every four weeks for three doses resulted in a significant reduction in itch-severity scores compared to placebo. These reductions



Page 3 of 34 Copyright 2014 • Review Completed on 06/01/2014



from baseline in mean weekly itch-severity score were dose-responsive with all three omalizumab doses (75, 150 and 300 mg) and were better than placebo at the time points evaluated prior to week 12. After 12 weeks, the mean weekly itch-severity scores for all omalizumab groups increased to reach values similar to those in the placebo group but did not return to baseline values for the duration of follow-up.¹⁵

In the GLACIAL trial, treatment with omalizumab 300 mg every four weeks for six doses resulted in a significantly greater improvement in the itch-severity score from baseline to week 12 compared to placebo. This difference was sustained at week 24. After week 24 and until week 40, the mean weekly itch-severity scores in the omalizumab group gradually increased to values similar to those in the placebo group but did not return to baseline values. In terms of safety, the incidence and severity of adverse events and serious adverse events were similar between the omalizumab and placebo groups. Serious adverse events were reported by 7.1 and 6.0% of patients treated with omalizumab and placebo, respectively; however, no serious adverse events were suspected to have been caused by the study drug.¹⁶





Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Allergic asthma			•	
-	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years of age with allergic asthma symptomatic despite treatment with ICS, asthma duration \geq 1 year, positive responses on skin prick testing to \geq 1 allergen, total serum IgE \geq 30 to \leq 700 IU/mL, FEV ₁ reversibility of \geq 12% within 30 minutes after administration of albuterol, baseline FEV ₁ \geq 40 and \leq 80% of predicted, treatment with 420 to 840 µg/day of BDP or its equivalent ICS for \geq 3 months	N=525 28 weeks (16 weeks of steroid stable phase, followed by 12 weeks of steroid reduction phase)	Primary: Number of exacerbations during stable and steroid reduction phases Secondary: Number of patients with ≥1 exacerbation, daily asthma symptoms, rescue medication use, pulmonary function, treatment effectiveness, free and total serum IgE, safety	Primary: During the steroid stable phase, patients treated with omalizumab had fewer mean exacerbations/subject (0.28 vs 0.54; P=0.006) and decreased mean duration of exacerbations (7.8 vs 12.7 days; P<0.001) compared to placebo-treated patients. Similarly, during the steroid reduction phase, omalizumab was associated with fewer exacerbations/subject (0.39 vs 0.66; P=0.003), and a shorter mean duration of exacerbations (9.4 vs 12.6 days; P=0.021).Secondary: During the steroid stable phase, fewer patients in the omalizumab group had ≥1 exacerbation than the placebo group (14.6 vs 23.3%; P=0.009). Similarly, during the steroid reduction phase, the omalizumab treatment group had fewer subjects with exacerbations than placebo (21.3 vs 32.3%; P=0.0004).During the stable steroid phase, a smaller proportion of subjects in the omalizumab group than in the placebo group experienced exacerbations subjects than placebo subjects (0.8 vs 3.0%) had exacerbations associated with a decline in PEF of ≥50% (P value not reported).Omalizumab allowed for a greater median reduction in ICS use than seen in the placebo group (75 vs 50%; P<0.001). More omalizumab than control patients achieved >50% reduction in BDP dose (72.4 vs 54.9%; P<0.001). BDP was discontinued in 39.6% of omalizumab- treated patients compared to 19.1% of the placebo recipients (P<0.001).
				Omalizumab significantly improved daily asthma scores in comparison with placebo after week four, and rescue medication use was





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				significantly reduced in comparison with placebo for most weekly intervals (P value not reported).
				Increases in morning PEF were greater with omalizumab (from 320 to 335 L/minute) than with placebo, which remained at approximately 300 L/minute, from baseline to the end of the study (P value not reported).
				At week 16, the mean change from baseline in PEF was 18.5 L/minute with omalizumab and 6.9 L/minute with placebo. Mean FEV ₁ increased from 68.20 to 72.53% of predicted in the omalizumab group and from 67.7 to 69.1% of predicted in the placebo group. Statistically significant improvements for FEV ₁ in comparison with placebo were maintained for the entire study (P values<0.001 to .019).
				Across the omalizumab dosing regimens, median free IgE was reduced by between 89 and 98%. At weeks 16 to 24, free IgE concentrations ranged from 6 to 8 IU/mL for the omalizumab group; this compared to >62 IU/mL for the placebo group. Total IgE increased in the omalizumab-treated subjects and did not change appreciably in the placebo subjects (P value not reported).
				Overall, the frequency of adverse events in the omalizumab and placebo groups was similar (89.2 vs 89.1%). Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab-treated patients (≥1% more frequent) included upper respiratory tract infection (31.3 vs 29.6%), pharyngitis (14.6 vs 13.6%), arthralgia (9.7 vs 3.5%), rhinitis (8.2 vs 3.1%), sprains and strains (7.5 vs 5.4%), nausea (6.7 vs 6.2%), and pain (6.7 vs 5.4%). No serious adverse events were considered drug-related.
Lanier et al. ²⁴ (extension of a study by Busse et al. ⁴)	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=460 24 weeks	Primary: Number of	Primary: Treatment with omalizumab resulted in fewer asthma exacerbations as
(2003)	Patients 12 to 75 years of age with allergic asthma who were	24 weeks	asthma exacerbations/ patient, number	compared to placebo (0.60 vs 0.83/patient; P=0.023). The number of patients experiencing at least one exacerbation was also lower for omalizumab than placebo (31.8 and 42.8%; P=0.015).
Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE	symptomatic despite treatment with ICS		of patients with ≥1	Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(IU/mL) SC every four weeks			exacerbation	Compared to placebo, treatment with omalizumab resulted in statistically significant differences in FEV ₁ at weeks 32 (P=0.016), 36 (P=0.014), 40 (P=0.004), and 44 (P=0.027). Potware group differences
VS			Secondary: Changes in FEV ₁ , use of	(P=0.014), 40 (P=0.004), and 44 (P=0.037). Between-group differences in FEV ₁ at weeks 48 and 52 were not statistically significant (P=0.28 and P=0.16, respectively).
placebo			BDP and	Connection of DDD upper way maintained by 07 and 40% of patients in the
Concomitant			concomitant asthma	Cessation of BDP use was maintained by 27 and 10% of patients in the omalizumab and placebo groups, respectively. The mean BDP
treatment with other			medication,	equivalent dose was lower in the omalizumab group than placebo (227
asthma medication was allowed.			safety	vs 335 μg/day).
was allowed.				Treatment with omalizumab was well tolerated during the extension
				phase. The incidence and profile of adverse events were similar in the
				omalizumab and placebo groups during both the extension phase and the full 52 weeks of the trial.
Solèr et al. ⁵	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=546	Primary:	Primary:
(2001)	Datianta 40 ta 70 va ana	00	The number of	Asthma exacerbations/patient decreased in the omalizumab group
Omalizumab at least	Patients 12 to 76 years of age with allergic	28 weeks	asthma exacerbations/	compared to placebo during both the stable steroid (0.28 vs 0.66; P<0.001) and steroid reduction (0.36 vs 0.75; P<0.001) phases.
0.016 mg/kg/lgE	asthma despite	(16 weeks of	patient during	
(IU/mL) SC (either 150	treatment with ICS,	steroid stable	the stable	Secondary:
to 300 mg every four weeks, or 450 to 750	asthma duration ≥1 year, positive	phase, followed by 12 weeks of	steroid and steroid	Fewer patients in the omalizumab group had ≥1 exacerbation compared to placebo for the stable steroid phase (35 vs 83; P<0.001)
mg divided into two	responses on skin prick	steroid reduction	reduction	and steroid reduction phase (43 vs 81; P<0.001).
equal portions at two- week intervals) plus	testing to ≥1 allergen, total serum IgE ≥30 to	phase)	phases	The median daily BDP dose at the end of the steroid reduction phase
BDP 500 to 1,200	≤700 IU/mL, body		Secondary:	was lower for patients on omalizumab (100 vs 300 μ g; P<0.001). The
µg/day	weight ≤150 kg, FEV₁		Number of	proportion of patients able to reduce the BDP dose at the end of the
VS	reversibility of ≥12% within 30 minutes after		patients with ≥1 asthma	steroid reduction phase was greater in the omalizumab group than the placebo (P<0.001).
¥5	administration of		exacerbation	
placebo plus BDP 500	albuterol, baseline		during the	The median number of puffs of rescue medication was lower in the
to 1,200 µg/day	FEV₁ ≥40 and ≤80% of predicted, mean total		stable steroid and steroid	omalizumab group than placebo (P<0.005).
Allowed concomitant	daily symptom score ≥3		reduction	Statistically significant differences in favor of omalizumab were





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
medications included salbutamol and BDP.	and ≤9, treatment with 500 to 1,200 µg/day of BDP or its equivalent ICS for ≥3 months		phases, BDP dose reduction, rescue medication use, asthma symptom scores, morning PEF and FEV ₁ , safety	observed in the total symptom scores during the stable-steroid and steroid-reduction phases (P≤0.01). Mean morning peak PEF was greater in omalizumab group than placebo during the stable steroid and steroid reduction phase (P<0.01). Omalizumab resulted in greater improvements in FEV ₁ than placebo between weeks four and 12 of the stable steroid phase (P<0.05) and between weeks 18 and 28 during the steroid reduction phase (P<0.05). There were no deaths in this study. Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab group than placebo included fatigue and paresthesia (1.1 vs 0.0%), and injection site reactions (11.8 vs 7.7%).
Buhl et al. ²⁵ (extension of a study by Solèr et al. ⁵) (2002) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/IgE (IU/mL) SC (either 150 to 300 mg every four weeks, or 450 to 750 mg divided into two equal portions at two- week intervals VS placebo Concomitant treatment with other asthma medication was allowed.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 12 to 76 years of age with allergic asthma who were symptomatic despite treatment with ICS	N=483 24 weeks	Primary: The number of asthma exacerbations/ patient, FEV ₁ , BDP use and concomitant asthma medication use, safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The mean number of asthma exacerbations/patient during the extension phase was lower in the omalizumab group compared to the placebo group (0.48 vs 1.14; P<0.001). The percentage of patients with ≥1 exacerbation was lower in patients treated with omalizumab than control (61 vs 93%; P<0.001). No statistically significant differences in FEV ₁ were seen between the treatment groups at any time point during the extension phase (P value not reported). The mean BDP equivalent dose was lower in patients treated with omalizumab than placebo (253 vs 434 µg/day; P<0.001). The overall incidence of adverse events was similar between the treatment groups during the 24-week extension phase (P=0.548) and for the entire 52-week study period (P=0.579). Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Eisner et al. ²⁶ (Interim data from EXCELS) (2012) Omalizumab vs non-omalizumab Treatment was at the discretion of physicians and patients based on indication and treatment guidelines.	MC, OBS, PRO Patients ≥12 years of age with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a history of a positive response to allergy skin testing or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen	N=7,858 2 years	Primary: Asthma control Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Among new omalizumab starts, the ACT score from baseline increased from 15.2 to 18.4 at month six and reached 19.4 by month 24. For established users, the mean ACT increased from 18.2 at baseline to 19.4 by month 24. Among non-omalizumab users, the mean ACT score increased from 18.4 at baseline to 20.0 by month 24. Over half (54%) of omalizumab new starts achieved a minimally important improvement in ACT (defined as \geq 3 point change from baseline) by month six and this proportion increased to 62% at month 24. The proportion of patients achieving a minimally important improvement in the established users group increased from 29% at month six to 31% at month 24. The subgroup of new starts had a substantial increase in the proportion of patients considered to be well-controlled (ACT \geq 20) from 26% at baseline to 50% at month six and 59% at month 24. The proportion of new starts with poorly-controlled asthma (ACT \leq 15) decreased from 51% at baseline to 24% at month six and 20% at month 24. In the well-established users subgroup, the proportion of patients with well-controlled asthma increased from 48% at baseline to 58% at month 24 and the proportion of patients with poorly-controlled asthma decreased from 29% at baseline to 21% at month 24. In the non-omalizumab group, the proportion of patients with well- controlled asthma increased from 48% at baseline to 65% at month 24 and the proportion of patients with poorly-controlled asthma decreased from 27% at baseline to 16% at month 24.
Chen et al. ²⁷ (Subanalysis of EXCELS)	MC, OBS, PRO Patients ≥12 years of	N=7,858 2 years	Primary: Percent change in dose	Primary: The mean total daily dose of ICS decreased in all groups from baseline to month 12 and month 24. The percent reduction was greatest for





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(2013) Omalizumab vs non-omalizumab Treatment was at the discretion of physicians and patients based on indication and treatment guidelines.	age with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a history of a positive response to allergy skin testing or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen		of concomitant asthma medications, proportion of patients with any change in dose from baseline to month 12 and baseline to month 24 Secondary: Not reported	 patients who were new starts (57.7% at month 24) compared to established users (44.7%) and non-omalizumab users (42.4%). Approximately 66% of omalizumab new starts achieved a decrease in total daily ICS use from baseline to month 24 compared to 57% of established users and 54% of non-omalizumab users. For short-acting beta agonist use, the number of puffs per day decreased in all groups from baseline to months 12 and 24, and the percent reduction was greatest in omalizumab new starts (73.7% at month 24), followed by established users (69.2%) and non-omalizumab users (64.3%). A dose reduction for short-acting beta agonist use was observed in a greater proportion of new starts (65%) than established users (55%) or non-omalizumab users (54%). At month 24, more than 50% of omalizumab new starts achieved reductions in leukotriene modifier dose compared to 44% of established users and 40% of non-omalizumab users.
Busse et al. ²⁸ (2007) Omalizumab plus current asthma therapy vs placebo plus current asthma therapy vs placebo alone	Pooled analysis (seven trials) Patients ≥12 years of age with moderate-to- severe IgE-mediated allergic asthma	N=4,308 Duration varied	Primary: Rescue use of systemic corticosteroid bursts (oral or IV), effectiveness of therapy Secondary: Not reported	Not reportedPrimary: Omalizumab-treated patients required significantly fewer systemic steroid bursts compared to the control group (RR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.66; P<0.001). The mean number of systemic corticosteroid bursts was 0.4±0.87 in the omalizumab-treated group and 0.6±1.24 in the control group.Patients treated with omalizumab were more likely to be categorized as responders (complete control or marked improvement in control) than patients in the control group for both the physician and patient overall assessments. For the physician evaluation, 58.5% of omalizumab users were responders compared to 36.9% of patients in the control group. For the patient evaluation, 64.2% of omalizumab users were responders compared to 43.9% of the control group.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Regimen Holgate et al. ⁶ (2004) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE (IU/mL) SC (150 or 300 mg every four weeks, or 225, 300 or 375 mg given every two weeks) plus inhaled fluticasone 1,000 to 2,000 µg daily vs placebo plus inhaled fluticasone 1,000 to 2,000 µg daily Short-/long-acting β ₂ - agonists were allowed	Demographics DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years old with severe allergic asthma who were symptomatic despite inhaled and/or oral corticosteroid use, positive responses on skin prick testing to \geq 1 allergen, total serum IgE \geq 30 to \leq 700 IU/mL, treatment with at least 1,000 µg/day of inhaled fluticasone		Primary: Percentage reduction in fluticasone dose Secondary: Absolute reductions in fluticasone dose compared to baseline, reduction in asthma exacerbations, decrease in rescue medication use, PEF and post- bronchodilator spirometry,	Responders to omalizumab experienced a significantly greater improvement in quality of life compared to the placebo group. Although modest, a significantly greater improvement from baseline in FEV1 was observed in patients treated with omalizumab compared to placebo (75.27 mL; 95% Cl, 44.56 to 105.98; P<0.001).
as needed.			asthma symptom score, asthma related quality	most time points. Overall, 58% of omalizumab patients compared to 39% of placebo patients had clinically detectable improvements in quality of life





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Milgrom et al. ²⁹ (2001) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE (IU/mL) SC (150 or 300 mg every four weeks, or 225, 300 or 375 mg given every two weeks) and inhaled BDP 168 to 420 μ g daily VS placebo and inhaled BDP 168 to 420 μ g daily Short acting β_2 - agonists were allowed as needed.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Children ages 6 to 12 years of age with moderate to severe allergic asthma requiring daily ICS, asthma duration \geq 1 year, positive responses on skin prick testing to \geq 1 allergen, total serum IgE \geq 30 to \leq 1,300 IU/mL, body weight <90 kg, FEV ₁ reversibility of \geq 12% within 30 minutes after administration of albuterol, baseline FEV ₁ \geq 60% of predicted value, mean total daily symptom score \geq 3 and \leq 9, treatment with 168 to 420 µg/day of BDP or its equivalent ICS for \geq 3 months, stable asthma	N=334 28 weeks (16 weeks of steroid stable phase, followed by 8 weeks of steroid reduction phase, 4 weeks of steroid maintenance)	of life, safety Primary: Median reduction in BDP or discontinuation, asthma exacerbations, adverse events, pulmonary function tests, global evaluation of treatment effectiveness Secondary: Not reported	 (P<0.01). The incidence of adverse events was similar between omalizumab and placebo groups (76.2 vs 82.5%, respectively). Primary: More patients in the omalizumab group were able to decrease BDP dose from baseline (P=0.002), with a median reduction in BDP dose of 100% in the omalizumab group compared to 67% in the placebo group (P=0.001). Additionally, 55% of patients in the omalizumab group were able to discontinue BDP use compared to 39% of patients in the placebo group (P=0.004). Fewer patients treated with omalizumab required an urgent, unscheduled physician visit (13 vs 30%; P=0.001); experienced a decrease in morning PEF rate (7 vs 17%; P=0.002); and awakened on two or three successive nights requiring rescue medication (12 vs 21%; P=0.002). Both patients and investigators favored omalizumab over placebo in the GETE (P<0.001). Patients treated with omalizumab missed fewer school days than did those in the placebo group (0.7 vs 1.2 days; P=0.04). Fewer unscheduled medical contacts for asthma-related medication problems were needed for the omalizumab-treated group compared to placebo (0.2 vs 5.4; P=0.001). Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab-treated patients (≥1% more frequent) included headache, pharyngitis, viral infection, and fever. Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ädelroth et al. ¹⁹	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=251	Primary:	Primary:
(2000)			Average daily	The average daily nasal symptom severity score in the omalizumab
	Patients 17 to 66 years	8 weeks	nasal symptom	group was similar in the beginning and the end of the eight-week
Omalizumab 300 mg SC every four weeks	of age with moderate to severe birch pollen-		severity score	treatment period, with mean values of 0.71 ± 0.05 (\pm SE) and 0.70 ± 0.04 , respectively. In the placebo group it increased from 0.78 ± 0.07 at
(IgE≤150 IU/mL) or	induced allergic rhinitis		Secondary:	baseline to 0.98±0.05 overall on treatment (difference in LSM, -0.23;
every three weeks (IgE>150 IU/mL)	Ŭ		Average daily ocular	P<0.001).
(3)			symptom	Secondary:
vs			severity score, average daily	The average daily ocular symptom severity score was lower in the omalizumab group compared to placebo (difference in LSM, –0.09;
placebo			number of tablets of	P=0.031).
Leukotriene receptor			rescue	The average number of tablets of rescue antihistamines taken/day was
antagonist, 5-			antihistamines,	lower in the omalizumab group than in the placebo group (0.59 vs 1.37;
lipoxygenase inhibitor, or oral, nasal, or			proportion of days on which	difference in LSM, –0.78 tablets/day; P <0.001).
intramuscular			any seasonal	The proportion of days on which any seasonal allergic rhinitis
corticosteroids use			allergic rhinitis	medication was taken was lower in the omalizumab group than placebo
was prohibited.			medication was	(28 vs 49%; difference in LSM, -0.21; P<0.001).
			taken, quality	
			of life scores,	Statistically significant differences in favor of omalizumab were
			subjects'	observed in each of the seven domains of the RQLQ and in the total
			overall	RQLQ score.
			evaluation of	
			treatment	Subjects' overall evaluation of treatment effectiveness favored
Schumann et al. ³⁰	MC, OL, PM, PRO	N=195	efficacy Primary:	omalizumab over placebo (P=0.001). Primary:
XCLUSIVE study		190	Disease-	The absolute and percent predicted values of FEV ₁ were improved
(2012)	Patients with	6 months	related	following a 16-week treatment period. The FEV_1 increased from 2.05
()	inadequately controlled	o monulo	changes,	$L\pm 0.77$ L to 2.31 L ± 0.84 L or 63.6 $\pm 18.3\%$ to 73.7 $\pm 20.3\%$, representing
Omalizumab SC every	severe asthma who		compliance	a total difference of 270 mL or an increase of 10.1% predicted,
two to four weeks	were eligible for anti-		and utilization	respectively (P<0.05).
(total dose calculated	IgE therapy		of omalizumab	
based on baseline				The exacerbation rate at baseline decreased significantly from





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
serum IgE and body weight)			Secondary: Not reported	3.99±6.49 to 1.0±18.87 (P<0.0001) after 16 weeks of treatment. A relative reduction in the exacerbation rate of 74.9% was achieved.
				In terms of absenteeism, missed work/school days could be significantly reduced from 6.21±8.08 to 0.49±1.34 (P<0.001) following 16 weeks of omalizumab treatment.
				During treatment with omalizumab, the ACQ score significantly decreased from 3.58±1.28 to 2.01±1.05 after 16 weeks (-43.7%) and to 1.92±1.13 after the six month treatment period (-46.3%) (P<0.0001 for both).
				Per the GETE, after 16 weeks of omalizumab therapy, the effectiveness was considered good of excellent in 119/151 cases (78.8%), as moderate in 19/151 cases (12.6%) and as poor/worsening in 13/151 cases (8.6%), respectively.
				Asthma medications were adjusted in 103 (52.8%) of patients over the 16 week treatment period. Theophylline (47.7 vs 39%), oral corticosteroids (57.4 vs 32.8%) and leukotriene antagonists (54.4 vs 41.5%) could be reduced over the course of the study; however, high-dose ICS, long-acting beta agonists and fixed-dose combinations of both remained mostly unchanged.
				Improvements in symptoms of concomitant allergic disorders were observed, including allergic rhinitis (91.2%), atopic eczema (68.2%) and urticaria (66.7%) after six months.
				The mean monthly dose of omalizumab was 398.9 mg. Incorrect doses were received by 40% of patients when referenced to the dosing table in the package insert. Of these, 16.9% were under-dosed seven 3.6% were overdosed. Treatment was discontinued in 18.5% of patients, with 10.3% discontinuing at the control visit after 16 weeks and 8.2% discontinuing at the final visit after six months. Lack of efficacy was the most common reason for discontinuation. Of patients who discontinued,





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
		and Study	End Points Primary: AQLQ Secondary: Not reported	33% were assigned to wrong schedules or were under-dosed. Secondary: Not reported Primary: Significant improvements in AQLQ scores favored omalizumab compared to placebo in the two largest trials included (008 and 009) in which mean score differences between treatment and placebo groups exceeded 0.20 to 0.30 point for AQLQ overall and subscale scores (with the exception of environmental stimuli in trial 009). No significant differences in AQLQ scores were observed between treatment groups in trials 010 and 011 for the steroid-stabilization phase. The largest effect size for the steroid-stabilization phase was observed in trial 008, in which AQLQ overall, activities and symptoms scores had effect sizes of ≥1 for omalizumab. Effect sizes for AQLQ scores were higher among omalizumab patients compared to placebo. For the steroid-reduction phase, mean within-group changes in AQLQ scores were larger at the end of the phase compared to the previous
				 phase. All mean score differences were significant and all differences favored omalizumab, with more than half of AQLQ score differences of ≥0.3. A greater proportion of patients treated with omalizumab achieved a ≥1.0 or ≥1.5 score change between baseline and the end of the steroid-reduction phase. With the exception of study 010, treatment with omalizumab resulted in greater improvements in AQLA overall scores at the end of the extension phase compared to placebo. Across all studies and all phases of the included trials, treatment with omalizumab is more effective than placebo at improving AQLQ overall scores by ≥0.5 (OR, 1.35; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.64; P=0.003) and by ≥1.5 (OR, 1.80; 95% CI, 1.36 to 2.38; P<0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Chipps et al. ³² (2006) Omalizumab plus current asthma therapy vs current asthma therapy vs placebo plus current asthma therapy	Pooled analysis Patients with severe persistent allergic (IgE mediated) asthma	N=2,548 Duration varied	Primary: Change from baseline in AQLQ total score Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Significantly greater improvements in quality of life were observed in the omalizumab treatment group compared to placebo across all studies. The pooled change from baseline in total AQLQ score was 1.01 for the omalizumab group and 0.61 for the control group (P<0.001). Treatment with omalizumab resulted in a greater proportion of patients achieving a clinically meaningful (≥0.5-point) improvement in quality of life compared to control in each individual study. For the pooled population, significantly more patients in the omalizumab group achieved a clinically meaningful improvement in quality of life compared to the control group (66.3 and 52.4%; P<0.0001). In addition, patients receiving omalizumab were more likely to have moderate or large improvements (≥1.0 or 1.5 points) in AQLQ scores compared to control patients in each individual study and in the pooled analysis. Patients treated with omalizumab were also more likely to have clinically meaningful, moderate or large improvements in each of the individual domains of the AQLQ. Secondary:
Normansell et al. ³³ (2013) Omalizumab vs placebo	MA (25 RCT) Patients with allergic asthma	N=6,382 Duration varied	Primary: Asthma exacerbations, hospitalization, concomitant asthma medication use	Not reported Primary: In patients with moderate to severe asthma receiving background ICS therapy, a significant advantage favored omalizumab with regard to experiencing an asthma exacerbation (OR, 0.55; 95% CI, 0.42 to 0.60; 10 studies, 3,261 patients). There was an absolute reduction from 26% for patients suffering an exacerbation on placebo to 16% on omalizumab therapy over 16 to 60 weeks.
			Secondary: Not reported	A significant benefit was observed for omalizumab vs placebo with regard to reducing hospitalizations (OR, 0.16; 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.42; four studies, 1,824 patients), representing an absolute risk reduction from 3% with placebo to 0.5% with omalizumab therapy over 28 to 60 weeks.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Patients treated with omalizumab were significantly more likely to be able to withdraw with ICS completely compared to placebo (OR, 2.5; 95% CI, 2.00 to 3.13). A small but statistically significant reduction in daily inhaled steroid dose was reported for omalizumab-treated patients compared to placebo (weighted mean difference, -118 mcg BDP equivalent per day; 95% CI, -154 to -84). No difference was observed in the proportion of patients who were able to withdraw oral corticosteroid therapy.
				Patients treated with omalizumab as adjunct to corticosteroids required a small but significant reduction in rescue β_2 agonist therapy compared to placebo (mean difference, -0.39 puffs per day; 95% CI, -0.55 to -0.24; nine studies, 3,524 patients).
				Significantly fewer serious adverse events were reported in patients receiving omalizumab compared to placebo (OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.91; 15 studies, 5,713 patients), but more injection site reactions were observed with omalizumab.
				Secondary: Not reported
Chronic idiopathic urti	icaria			
Maurer et al. ¹⁵ ASTERIA II (2013)	DB, MC, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years	N=323 28 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline in a	Primary: At week 12, the mean change from baseline in the weekly itch-severity score was -5.1±5.6 in the placebo group, -5.9±6.5 in the 75 mg group
(2013)	of age with moderate to	20 WEEKS	weekly itch-	(P=0.46), -8.1±6.4 in the 150 mg group (P=0.001) and -9.8±6.0 in the
Omalizumab 75 mg	severe chronic		severity score	300 mg group (P<0.001). The reductions from baseline in mean weekly
SC every four weeks	idiopathic urticaria who			itch-severity scores were dose-responsive with all three omalizumab
for three doses	remained symptomatic despite histamine1		Secondary: Changes from	doses and were better than placebo at the time points before week 12.
VS	antihistamine therapy		baseline in the	After 12 weeks, the mean weekly itch-severity scores for all
			UAS7 and in	omalizumab groups increased to reach values similar to those in the
omalizumab 150 mg SC every four weeks			the score for the weekly	placebo group but did not return to baseline values for the duration of follow-up.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
for three doses			number of	
			hives, time until	Secondary:
VS			reduction from	There was a significant difference between the omalizumab 150 and
			baseline of ≥5	300 mg groups compared to placebo in terms of all prespecified
omalizumab 300 mg			points in the	secondary endpoints except for the difference in the number of
SC every four weeks			weekly itch-	angioedema-free days from week four to 12, which reached
for three doses			severity score,	significance in the omalizumab 300 mg group, only.
			proportions of	
VS			patients with a	The weekly score for the number of hives decreased with all three
			UAS7 of ≤6,	doses of omalizumab to a greater extent than placebo, with the largest
placebo			number of	difference being with the 300 mg dose.
			patients with a	
			weekly	After 12 weeks, the mean weekly score for the number of hives for all
			minimally	omalizumab groups increased to reach values similar to those in the
			important	placebo group and did not return to baseline values for the duration of
			difference	follow-up.
			response in	
			itch-severity	
			score, score for	
			size of largest	
			hive, overall score on the	
			Dermatology	
			Life Quality	
			Index,	
			proportion of	
			angioedema-	
			free days from	
			week four to 12	
Kaplan et al. ¹⁶	DB, MC, PC, RCT	N=336	Primary:	Primary:
GLACIAL	22, 100, 100, 1001	11-000	Safety, change	The incidence and severity of adverse events and serious adverse
(2013)	Patients 12 to 75 years	24 weeks	from baseline	events were similar between omalizumab and placebo groups. Serious
()	of age with chronic	21.00000	in mean weekly	adverse events were reported by 7.1 and 6.0% of patients treated with
Omalizumab 300 mg	idiopathic urticaria or		itch-severity	omalizumab and placebo, respectively; however, no serious adverse
SC every four weeks	chronic spontaneous		score at week	events were suspected to have been caused by the study drug.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
for six doses vs placebo	urticaria who remained symptomatic despite treatment with histamine ₁ antihistamines at up to four-times the approved dose plus histamine ₂ antihistamines, leukotriene receptor antagonists or both		12, changes from baseline in UAS7, weekly number of hives score, weekly size of largest hive score, health- related quality of life, proportion of patients with UAS7s of ≤6, proportion of patients with change from baseline in mean itch- severity score of ≥5, proportion of angioedema- free days from weeks 4 to 12, proportion of patients with UAS7=0 at	The mean change from baseline in weekly itch-severity score at week 12 was significantly improved in the omalizumab group compared to placebo (-8.6 vs -4.0; P<0.001). This difference was sustained at week 24 (-8.6 vs -4.0; LSM difference, -4.5; 95% CI, -6.1 to -3.0; P<0.001). After week 24 and until week 40, the mean weekly itch-severity scores in the omalizumab group gradually increased to values similar to those in the placebo group but did not return to baseline values. Significant improvements were observed for all additional efficacy endpoints with omalizumab compared to placebo. A significantly greater proportion of patients in the omalizumab group were completely itch- and hive-free (UAS7=0) at week 12 compared to placebo (34 vs 5%; P<0.001). The significant improvements in the additional efficacy endpoints were maintained at week 24; however, after discontinuation of omalizumab, improvements decreased such that values were similar to placebo by week 40. Treatment with omalizumab was effective, regardless of the combination of protocol-approved concomitant urticaria medications.
			week 12	

Drug regimen abbreviations: IV=intravenous, SC=subcutaneous

Study abbreviations: DB=double-blind, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OBS=observational, OL=open-label, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, PM=post-marketing, PRO=prospective, RCT=randomized controlled trial

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ACQ=asthma control questionnaire, ACT=asthma control test, AQLQ=Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, FEV1=forced expiratory volume in 1 second, GETE=Global Evaluation of Treatment Effectiveness, ICS=inhaled corticosteroids, IgE=immunoglobulin E, IU=international units, LSM=least square mean, OR=odds ratio, PEF=peak expiratory flow, RQLQ=rhinoconjunctivitis-specific quality of life questionnaire, SE=standard error, UAS7=urticaria activity score during a 7-day period





Special Populations

Table 5. Special Populations¹

Generic	Population and Precaution							
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk			
Omalizumab	Clinical trials did not include enough elderly patients to evaluate differences	Renal dosage adjustment not required.	Hepatic dosage adjustment not required.	В	Unknown; use with caution.			
	in safety or efficacy between elderly and younger adult patients.	Not studied in renal dysfunction.	Not studied in hepatic dysfunction.					
	Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been established.							
	Risk-benefit assessment does not support the use in patients six to <12 years of age.							

Adverse Drug Events

Table 6. Adverse Drug Events¹

Adverse Event	Omalizumab
Arm pain	2*
Arthralgia	8.0*, 2.9 [†]
Cough	1.1 to 2.2 [†]
Dermatitis	2*
Dizziness	3*
Earache	2*
Fatigue	3*
Fracture	2*
Headache	15.0*, 6.1 to 12.0 [†]
Injection site reaction	45.0*, 0.6 to 2.7 [†]
Leg pain	4*
Nasopharyngitis	6.6 to 9.1 [†]
Nausea	1.1 to 2.7 [†]
Pain	7*
Pharyngitis	11*
Pruritus	2*
Sinusitis	16.0*, 1.1 to 4.9 [†]
Upper respiratory tract infection	20.0*, 1.1 to 3.4 [†]
Viral infection	23*
Viral upper respiratory tract infection	0.5 to 2.3 [†]

*Asthma.

† Chronic idiopathic urticaria.



Page 20 of 34 Copyright 2014 • Review Completed on 06/01/2014



Contraindications/Precaution

Table 7. Contraindications¹

Contraindication(s)	Omalizumab
Hypersensitivity	`

Table 8. Warnings and Precautions¹

Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Omalizumab
Anaphylaxis has been reported after administration of omalizumab. Administer omalizumab in a health care setting by health care providers prepared manage life-threatening anaphylaxis.	< <
Avoid abrupt discontinuation of systemic or inhaled corticosteroids upon initiation of omalizumab therapy for allergic asthma. Corticosteroids should be decrease gradually under the direct supervision of a physician.	>
Malignant neoplasms have been observed in omalizumab-treated patients. The impact of longer exposure or use in patients at increased risk for malignancy (e.g., elderly, current smokers) is not known.	>
Patients at high risk of geohelminth infection should be monitored while on omalizumab therapy.	v
Patients with asthma may present with serious systemic eosinophilia sometimes presenting with clinical features of vasculitis consistent with Churg-Strauss syndrome. These events are usually associated with the reduction of oral corticosteroid therapy. Physicians should be alert to eosinophilia, vasculitic rash, worsening pulmonary symptoms, cardiac complications and/or neuropathy presenting in their patients.	~
Omalizumab is not intended for the treatment of acute asthma exacerbations. Do not use omalizumab to treat acute bronchospasm or status asthmaticus.	~
Serum total immunoglobulin E levels increase following omalizumab administration and may persist for up to one year following discontinuation. Do not use serum total immunoglobulin E levels obtained <1 year following discontinuation to reassess the dosing regimen for patients with allergic asthma.	~
Symptoms including arthritis/arthralgia, rash, fever and lymphadenopathy have been reported one to five days after the first or subsequent injections of omalizumab. Symptoms recur with additional doses and are similar to symptoms observed in patients with serum sickness. If these symptoms develop, omalizumab should be discontinued.	~

Black Box Warning for Xolair[®]

WARNING

Anaphylaxis presenting as bronchospasm, hypotension, syncope, urticaria, and/or angioedema of the throat or tongue, has been reported to occur after administration of Xolair[®]. Anaphylaxis has occurred as early as after the first dose of Xolair[®], but also has occurred beyond one year after beginning regularly administered treatment. Because of the risk of anaphylaxis, observe patients closely for an appropriate period of time after Xolair[®] administration. Health care providers administering Xolair[®] should be prepared to manage anaphylaxis that can be life-threatening. Inform patients of the signs and symptoms of anaphylaxis and instruct them to seek immediate medical care should symptoms occur.

Drug Interactions¹

No formal drug interaction studies have been performed with omalizumab. The concomitant use of omalizumab and allergen immunotherapy has not been evaluated.



Page 21 of 34 Copyright 2014 • Review Completed on 06/01/2014



Dosage and Administration

Table 9. Dosing and Administration¹

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Omalizumab	Treatment of moderate to severe persistent asthma in patients ≥12 years old who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids:	Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been established.	Injection, single- use vial containing powder for reconstitution: 150 mg/5 mL
	Injection: 150 to 375 mg subcutaneous every two or four weeks (see Table 9 below) <u>Treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria in</u> <u>patients ≥12 years old who remain</u> <u>symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine treatment:</u> Injection: 150 or 300 mg subcutaneous every four weeks		

Table 8. Omalizumab Dosing for Asthma by Immunoglobulin E Level and Body Weight¹

Pre-treatment Serum	Body Weight (kg)			
Immunoglobulin E (IU/mL)	30 to 60	>60 to 70	>70 to 90	>90 to 150
≥30 to 100	150 mg	150 mg	150 mg	300 mg
>100 to 200	300 mg	300 mg	300 mg	225 mg
>200 to 300	300 mg	225 mg	225 mg	300 mg
>300 to 400	225 mg	225 mg	300 mg	
>400 to 500	300 mg	300 mg	375 mg	
>500 to 600	300 mg	375 mg	DO NOT DOSE	
>600 to 700	375 mg		-	
Every 2 weeks dosing				
Every 4 weeks dosing				

Clinical Guidelines

Table 7. Clinical Guidelines

Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
Clinical GuidelinesThe National Heart, Lung, and BloodInstitute/National Asthma Education and PreventionProgram: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma (2007) ¹¹	 Diagnosis To establish a diagnosis of asthma, a clinician must determine the presence of episodic symptoms or airflow obstruction, partially reversible airflow obstruction and alternative diagnoses must be excluded. The recommended methods to establish a diagnosis are a detailed medical history, physical exam focusing on the upper respiratory tract, spirometry to demonstrate obstruction and assess reversibility and additional studies to exclude alternative diagnoses. A diagnosis of asthma should be considered if any of the following indicators are present: wheezing, history of cough, recurrent wheeze, difficulty breathing or chest tightness, symptoms that occur or worsen at night. Spirometry is needed to establish a diagnosis of asthma. Additional studies such as additional pulmonary function tests,





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	bronchoprovocation, chest x-ray, allergy testing and biomarkers of
	inflammation may be useful when considering alternative diagnoses.
	Treatment
	Pharmacologic therapy is used to prevent and control asthma symptoms,
	improve quality of life, reduce the frequency and severity of asthma
	exacerbations and reverse airflow obstruction.
	The initial treatment of asthma should correspond to the appropriate
	asthma severity category.
	Long-term control medications such as inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs), long-
	acting bronchodilators, leukotriene modifiers, cromolyn, theophylline and
	immunomodulators should be taken daily on a long-term basis to achieve
	and maintain control of persistent asthma.
	Quick-relief medications are used to provide prompt relief of
	bronchoconstriction and accompanying acute symptoms such as cough,
	chest tightness and wheezing.
	• Quick relief medications include short-acting β_2 -adrenergic agonists
	(SABAs), anticholinergics and systemic corticosteroids.
	Long-term control medications
	ICSs are the most potent and consistently effective long-term control
	medication for asthma in patients of all ages.
	 Short courses of oral systemic corticosteroids may be used to gain prompt
	control when initiating long-term therapy and chronic administration is only
	used for the most severe, difficult-to-control asthma.
	• When patients ≥12 years of age require more than low-dose ICSs, the
	addition of a long-acting β_2 -adrenergic agonists (LABAs) is recommended.
	Alternative, but not preferred, adjunctive therapies include leukotriene
	receptor antagonists, theophylline, or in adults, zileuton.
	• Mast cell stabilizers (cromolyn and nedocromil) are used as alternatives for
	the treatment of mild persistent asthma. They can also be used as
	preventative treatment prior to exercise or unavoidable exposure to known
	allergens.
	Omalizumab, an immunomodulator, is used as adjunctive therapy in
	patients 12 years and older who have allergies and severe persistent
	asthma that is not adequately controlled with the combination of high-dose
	ICS and LABA therapy.
	 Leukotriene receptor antagonists (montelukast and zafirlukast) are alternative therapies for the treatment of mild persistent asthma.
	 LABAs (formoterol and salmeterol) are not to be used as monotherapy for
	long-term control of persistent asthma.
	 LABAs should continue to be considered for adjunctive therapy in patients
	five years of age or older who have asthma that require more than low-dose
	ICSs. For patients inadequately controlled on low-dose ICSs, the option to
	increase the ICS should be given equal weight to the addition of a LABA.
	• Methylxanthines, such as sustained-release theophylline, may be used as
	an alternative treatment for mild persistent asthma.
	• Tiotropium bromide is a long-acting inhaled anticholinergic indicated once-
	daily for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and has not been studied in
	the long-term management of asthma.
	Quick-relief medications





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations					
	 SABAs are the therapy of choice for relief of acute symptoms and prevention of exercise induced bronchospasm. There is inconsistent data regarding the efficacy of levalbuterol compared to albuterol. Some studies suggest an improved efficacy while other studies fail to detect any advantage of levalbuterol. Anticholinergics may be used as an alternative bronchodilator for patients who do not tolerate SABAs and provide additive benefit to SABAs in moderate-to-severe asthma exacerbations. Systemic corticosteroids are used for moderate and severe exacerbations as adjunct to SABAs to speed recovery and prevent recurrence of exacerbations. The use of LABAs is not recommended to treat acute symptoms or exacerbations of asthma. 					
	 <u>Assessment, treatment and monitoring</u> A stepwise approach to managing asthma is recommended to gain and maintain control of asthma. Regularly scheduled, daily, chronic use of a SABA is not recommended. Increased SABA use or SABA use more than two days a week for symptom relief generally indicates inadequate asthma control. 					
	• The ste	epwise approa	ach for managir	ng asthma is o	utlined belov	v:
	Inter- mittent Asthma		Persistent	Asthma: Daily Me	edication	
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6
	Preferred SABA as needed	Preferred Low-dose ICS <u>Alternative</u> Cromolyn, leukotriene receptor antagonists, nedocromil, or theophylline	Preferred Low-dose ICS+LABA or medium-dose ICS Low-dose ICS+either a leukotriene receptor antagonists, theophylline, or zileuton	Preferred Medium-dose ICS+LABA Alternative Medium-dose ICS+either a leukotriene receptor antagonists, theophylline, or zileuton	Preferred High-dose ICS+ LABA and consider omalizu- mab for patients who have allergies	Preferred High-dose ICS+LABA+ oral steroid and consider omalizumab for patients who have allergies
	 Appropropriate some contraction 		<u>ations</u> cation of therap a short course			
	either a may als stabiliz for prev of crom induce	ercise induced a SABA or LAI so attenuate e ers can be tak vention; howe nolyn to a SAE d bronchospase eration of the	I bronchospasr BA is recomme exercise induce cen shortly befo ver, they are no BA is helpful in sm. risk for specific sthma who are	ended. Leukotr d bronchospas ore exercise as ot as effective some individua c complications	iene recepto sm, and mas s an alternati as SABAs. 1 als who have s must be giv	r antagonists st cell ve treatment The addition e exercise

Albuterol is the preferred SABA in pregnant women because of an excellent





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	safety profile.
	ICSs are the preferred treatment for long-term control medication in
	pregnant women. Specifically, budesonide is the preferred ICS as more
Global Initiative for	data is available on using budesonide in pregnant women than other ICSs. Treatment
Asthma:	Education should be an integral part of all interactions between health care
Global Strategy for	professionals and patients, and is relevant to asthma patients of all ages.
Asthma	Measures to prevent the development of asthma, asthma symptoms, and
Management and Prevention	asthma exacerbations by avoiding or reducing exposure to risk factors
(2011) ¹²	should be implemented whenever possible.Controller medications are administered daily on a long-term basis and
	include inhaled and systemic glucocorticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers,
	LABAs in combination with inhaled glucocorticosteroids, sustained-released
	theophylline, cromones, and anti-immunoglobulin E (IgE).
	 Reliever medications are administered on an as-needed basis to reverse broadbase participation and relieve symptoms and include rapid acting inheled
	bronchoconstriction and relieve symptoms and include rapid-acting inhaled β ₂ -agonists, inhaled anticholinergics, short-acting theophylline, and SABAs.
	Controller medications
	 Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are currently the most effective anti- influence to a solution for the two two two to a solution to a solution.
	inflammatory medications for the treatment of persistent asthma for patients of all ages.
	 Inhaled glucocorticosteroids differ in potency and bioavailability, but few
	studies have been able to confirm the clinical relevance of these
	differences.
	 To reach clinical control, add-on therapy with another class of controller is preferred over increasing the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
	 Leukotriene modifiers are generally less effective than inhaled
	glucocorticosteroids therefore may be used as an alternative treatment in
	patients with mild persistent asthma.
	 Some patients with aspirin-sensitive asthma respond well to leukotriene
	modifiers.Leukotriene modifiers used as add-on therapy may reduce the dose of
	inhaled glucocorticosteroids required by patients with moderate to severe
	asthma, and may improve asthma control in adult patients whose asthma is
	not controlled with low or high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
	 Several studies have demonstrated that leukotriene modifiers are less effective than LABAs as add-on therapy.
	 LABAs should not be used as monotherapy in patients with asthma as
	these medications do not appear to influence asthma airway inflammation.
	When a medium dose of an inhaled glucocorticosteroid fails to achieve
	control, the addition of a LABA is the preferred treatment.
	 Controlled studies have shown that delivering a LABA and an inhaled glucocorticosteroid in a combination inhaler is as effective as giving each
	drug separately. Fixed combination inhalers are more convenient, may
	increase compliance, and ensure that the LABA is always accompanied by
	a glucocorticosteroid.
	Although the guideline indicates that combination inhalers containing
	formoterol and budesonide may be used for both rescue and maintenance, this use is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).
	 Theophylline as add-on therapy is less effective than LABAs but may
	provide benefit in patients who do not achieve control on inhaled





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations					
	glucoco	rticosteroids a				
	Cromoly		omil are less effective t	han a low dose o	f an inhaled	
	• Oral LABA therapy is used only on rare occasions when additional bronchodilation is needed.					
	Anti-IgE					
	Long-te uncontre	• Long-term oral glucocorticosteroid therapy may be required for severely uncontrolled asthma, but is limited by the risk of significant adverse effects.				
	asthma.	•	ipounds have infined e		gement of	
	Reliever me	dications				
	 Rapid-a of brond 	cting inhaled f	32-agonists are the me ng acute exacerbations ichoconstriction, in pati interpretation in patient in patien	s and for the preti		
	Rapid-a	cting inhaled ß	B ₂ -agonists should be used and frequency required by the second s	used only on an a	s-needed	
	 Althoug symptor 	h the guideline n relief becaus	es states that formotero	ol, a LABA, is app action, and that it	should only	
	inhaled		se in patients on regula eroids, the use of this a			
	 Ipratropium bromide, an inhaled anticholinergic, is a less effective reliever medication in asthma than rapid-acting inhaled β₂-agonists. 					
	• Short-acting theophylline may be considered for relief of asthma symptoms.					
	• Short-acting oral β_2 -agonists (tablets, solution, etc.) are appropriate for use in patients who are unable to use inhaled medication however they are associated with a higher prevalence of adverse effects.					
	 Systemic glucocorticosteroids are important in the treatment of severe acute exacerbations. 					
	Assessment, treatment, and monitoring					
	 The goal of asthma treatment is to achieve and maintain clinical control. To aid in clinical management, a classification of asthma by level of control 					
	is recommended: controlled, partly controlled, or uncontrolled.					
	• Treatment should be adjusted in a continuous cycle driven by the patient's					
	asthma control status and treatment should be stepped up until control is achieved. When control is maintained for at least three months, treatment					
	can be stepped down.					
	Increased use, especially daily use, of reliever medication is a warning of					
	deterioration of asthma control and indicates the need to reassess					
	 treatment. The management approach based on control is outlined below: 					
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	
			ma education and environme As needed rapid-acting β_2 -a			
		Select one	Select one	Add one or more	Add one or both	
	Controller options	Low-dose inhaled gluco-	Low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroid	Medium- or high- dose inhaled glucocortico-	Oral Gluco- corticosteroid	
		corticosteroid	+LABA	steroid + LABA		
		Leukotriene	Medium- or high-dose	Leukotriene	Anti-IgE	





Clinical Guidelines			Recommendations	2	
Onnioal Oddacinics		modifier	inhaled	modifier	treatment
		modifier	glucocorticosteroid	modifier	uodunont
	-		Low-dose inhaled		
		-	glucocorticosteroids	-	-
			+leukotriene modifier		
	-		Low-dose inhaled		
			glucocorticosteroid		
		-	+sustained-release	-	-
			theophylline		
	Managana		ti		
	Management				
	 Repeate 	d administrat	ion of rapid-acting inhale	ed β ₂ -agonists i	s the best
			elief for mile to moderat		
		•	steroids should be cons		
	Immedia	tely respond	to rapid-acting inhaled B	2-agonists or if	the episode is
	severe.				
National Institute for	Omalizur	mah is recom	mended as an option fo	r the treatment	of severe
Health and Clinical			hma as add-on therapy		
Excellence:			elescents (12 years and	older) who have	e been
Omalizumab for	identified	l as having se	evere unstable disease.		
Severe Persistent	 Optimize 	d standard th	nerapy includes high-dos	se ICS and LAB	A in addition
Allergic Asthma			rs, theophyllines, oral co		
(2010) ¹³					iu p2-agomisis
(2010)		tablets and smoking cessation where clinically appropriate.			
	 Omalizur 				
	following	criteria of se	vere unstable allergic a	sthma:	
	-		of IgE mediated allergy		llergen hv
					lifergen by
			/ and allergy skin testing		
		 Unstable disease (either two or more severe exacerbations of asthma requiring hospital admission within the previous year, or three or more severe exacerbations of asthma within the previous 			
	á				
	t				
		year, at least one of which required admission to hospital, and a further two which required treatment or monitoring in excess of the patient's usual regimen, in an accident and emergency unit).			
	F				
	Omalizumab add-on therapy should be initiated and monitored by a				
	physician experienced in both allergy and respiratory medicine in a				
		specialist center.			
			herapy should be disco		
	patients	who have no	t shown an adequate re	sponse to thera	py. Response
			e defined on the basis o		
			asthma control, quality		
			ince of unscheduled hea		
			ow measures and a glo	bal evaluation o	t treatment
	effective	ness, as asse	essed by the physician.		
			ent with omalizumab is p	ossible for a na	rrowly defined
			up of asthma patients, a		
			erapy was discontinued		
	weeks ar	<u>nd if vial</u> wast	tage could be minimized	to reduce cost	S
National Institute for			n, omalizumab is approv		
Health and Clinical			ients at six years and ol		
					heisisielli
Excellence:			e daily high-dose ICS a		
Omalizumab for	Effective	ness of treatr	nent should be assesse	d at 16 weeks a	after the start of
Treatment of	therapy h	efore admini	stering further injections	s, and the decisi	on to continue
Severe Persistent	omalizum	hah should be	a hased on whether a m	arked improven	nent in overall
Severe Persistent Allergic Asthma in		hab should be control is seei	e based on whether a m	arked improven	nent in overall





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
Children Aged Six	Omalizumab is not recommended for the treatment of severe persistent
to 11 years	allergic asthma in children aged six to 11 years for the following reasons:
(2010) ¹⁴	 Omalizumab as an add-on to optimized standard care is more
	clinically effective than optimized standard care alone in terms of
	reducing clinically significant exacerbations for children aged six to
	11 years with severe persistent allergic asthma only if they have
	experienced three or more clinically significant exacerbations in the
	previous year.
	 The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio is substantially higher with
	omalizumab than normally considered to be a cost-effective.
	Children currently receiving omalizumab for the treatment of severe
	persistent allergic asthma should have the option to continue treatment until
	it is considered appropriate to stop. This decision should be made jointly by
	the clinician and the child and/or the child's parents or caregivers.
Allergic Rhinitis and	<u>Diagnosis</u>
its Impact on	The diagnosis of allergic rhinitis is based upon the concordance between twisted bitters of allergic superstance and diagnostic second allergic.
Asthma and the Global Allergy and	typical history of allergic symptoms and diagnostic response.
Asthma European	 Typical symptoms of allergic rhinitis include rhinorrhea, sneezing, nasal abatruction and pruriture
Network:	obstruction and pruritus.
Guideline	 Diagnostic tests are based on the demonstration of allergen-specific IgE in the skin or blood.
Revisions	 Many asymptomatic patients can have positive skin tests or detectable
(2010) ³⁵	serum levels of IgE.
(<i>)</i>	Seruin levels of IgL.
	Treatment
	 The treatment of allergic rhinitis should consider the severity and duration
	of the disease, the patient's preference, as well as the efficacy, availability
	and cost of the medication.
	• A stepwise approach depending on the severity and duration of rhinitis is
	proposed.
	Not all patients with moderate/severe allergic rhinitis are controlled despite
	optimal pharmacotherapy.
	Intranasal glucocorticoids are recommended over oral H1-antihistamines
	for the treatment of allergic rhinitis in adults and children. They are the most
	effective drugs for treating allergic rhinitis. In many patients with strong
	preferences for the oral route, an alternative choice may be reasonable.
	Second-generation oral or intranasal H1-antihistamines are recommended
	for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and conjunctivitis in adults and children.
	First generation oral H1-antihistamines are not recommended when
	second-generation ones are available, due to safety concerns.
	 Intranasal H1-antihistamines are recommended for the treatment of adults
	and children with seasonal allergic rhinitis, but data regarding their relative
	safety and efficacy is limited. Therefore, their use in persistent allergic rhinitis is not recommended.
	 Intramuscular glucocorticoids and long-term use of oral glucocorticoids are
	not recommended due to safety concerns.
	 Topical cromones are recommended in the treatment of allergic rhinitis but
	they are only modestly effective.
	 Montelukast is recommended for adults and children with seasonal allergic
	rhinitis, and in pre-school children with persistent allergic rhinitis.
	Montelukast has limited efficacy in adults with persistent allergic rhinitis.
	Intranasal ipratropium is recommended for the treatment of rhinorrhea





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	associated with allergic rhinitis.
	• Intranasal decongestants may be used for a short period (<5 days) for
	patients with severe nasal obstruction. Nasal decongestants should not be
	used in pre-school aged children.
	Combination oral decongestants and oral H1-antihistamines may be used
	for the treatment of allergic rhinitis in adults, but should not be administered
	regularly due to adverse effects.
	• For patients experiencing ocular symptoms associated with allergic rhinitis
	intraocular antihistamines or chromones may be considered.
Institute for Clinical	Diagnosis
Systems	• Patients can present with any of the following symptoms: congestion,
Improvement:	rhinorrhea, pruritus, sneezing, posterior nasal discharge, and sinus
Diagnosis and Treatment of	pressure/pain.
Respiratory Illness	A past medical history of facial trauma or surgery, asthma, rhinitis, atopic
in Children and	dermatitis, or thyroid disease may be suggestive of a rhinitis. In addition, a
Adults	family history of atopy or other allergy associated conditions make allergic rhinitis more likely.
(2013) ³⁶	 The most common physical findings suggestive of rhinitis tend to be
	swollen nasal turbinates, rhinorrhea and pruritus however allergic
	conjunctivitis may also be present.
	 Symptoms suggestive of allergic etiology include sneezing, itching of the
	nose, palate or eyes, and clear rhinorrhea. Nasal congestion is the most
	significant complaint in patients with perennial rhinitis.
	Diagnostic testing should be considered if the results would change
	management.
	Skin tests and radioallergosorbent tests identify the presence of IgE
	antibody to a specific allergen and are used to differentiate allergic from
	nonallergic rhinitis and to identify specific allergens causing allergic rhinitis.
	• A nasal smear for eosinophils is a good predictor of a patient's response to
	treatment topical nasal corticosteroids.
	Peripheral blood eosinophil count, total serum IgE level, Rinkel method of
	skin titration and sublingual provocation testing are not recommended.
	Treatment
	If a clinical diagnosis is obvious, symptomatic treatment, which consists of
	education on avoidance and medication therapy, should be initiated.
	Avoidance of triggers is recommended.
	Intranasal corticosteroids are the most effective single agents for controlling
	the spectrum of allergic rhinitis symptoms and should be considered first-
	line therapy in patients with moderate to severe symptoms.
	• Regular daily use of intranasal corticosteroids is required to achieve optimal
	results.
	• It may be best to start treatment one week prior to the start of the allergy
	season for prophylaxis.
	• Clinical response does not seem to vary significantly between the available
	intranasal corticosteroids.
	Systemic corticosteroids should be reserved for refractory or severe cases
	of rhinitis. Injectable steroids are not generally recommended.
	Antihistamines are effective at controlling all symptoms associated with
	allergic rhinitis except nasal congestion.
	Antihistamines are somewhat less effective than intranasal corticosteroids
	but they can be used on a daily or as needed basis.





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
Onnoal Odiacinico	 Second-generation antihistamines are recommended because they are less
	sedating and cause less central nervous system impairment.
	 Leukotriene inhibitors may be as effective as second-generation
	antihistamines for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and less effective than
	intranasal corticosteroids.
	Oral decongestants are effective in reducing nasal congestion. Oral
	decongestants can be a useful addition to antihistamines.
	Topical decongestants, which have the potential to induce rebound
	congestion after three days, are effective for the short-term relief of nasal congestion.
	 Cromolyn is less effective than intranasal corticosteroids and is most
	effective when used prior to the onset of allergic symptoms.
	 Cromolyn is a good alternative for patients who are not candidates for corticosteroids.
	 Intranasal anticholinergics are effective in relieving anterior rhinorrhea in allergic and nonallergic rhinitis.
	 Reserve immunotherapy for patients with significant allergic rhinitis in which avoidance activities and pharmacotherapy are insufficient to control symptoms.
	If adequate relief is achieved appropriate follow-up should include further
	education on avoidance activities and medications.
	If patients anticipate unavoidable exposure to known allergens they should
	begin the use of medications prior to exposure.
	If adequate relief is not achieved within two to four weeks consider a trial of
	another medication, allergen skin testing by a qualified physician, a
	complete nasal examination, or a diagnosis of nonallergic rhinitis.
	 Treatment options for nonallergic rhinitis include azelastine nasal spray,
	intranasal corticosteroids, intranasal cromolyn, oral decongestants and
American Academy	 antihistamines, topical antihistamines, and nasal strips. Treatment should be based on the patient's age and severity of symptoms.
of Family	 Intranasal corticosteroids are the most effective treatment and should be
Physicians:	first-line therapy for mild to moderate disease.
Treatment of	 Moderate to severe disease not responsive to intranasal corticosteroids
Allergic Rhinitis	should be treated with second-line therapies, including antihistamines,
(2010) ³⁷	decongestants, cromolyn, leukotriene receptor antagonists, and
	nonpharmacologic therapies (e.g., nasal irrigation).
	Immunotherapy should be considered in patients with inadequate response
	to usual treatments.
	Omalizumab has been shown to be effective in reducing nasal symptoms
	and improving quality of life scores in patients with allergic rhinitis. However,
	its high cost (average wholesale price of \$679 to \$3,395/month) and lack of
	FDA approval for home administration are the main limitations to its use.
European Academy	 Non-sedating histamine₁ antihistamines are recommended first-line.
of Allergology and Clinical	 If symptoms persist after two weeks of treatment with a histamine, antibiatemina, increasing the data up to four times is recommanded.
Immunology/Global	 antihistamine, increasing the dose up to four times is recommended. If symptoms persist after one to four weeks of a high-dose histamine₁
Allergy and Asthma	 If symptoms persist after one to rour weeks of a high-dose histamine, antihistamine, the addition of a leukotriene antagonist or a change in
European	histamine, antihistamine is recommended. For the treatment of an
Network/European	exacerbation, systemic steroids are recommended for three to seven days.
Dermatology	 If symptoms persist after one to four weeks of histamine₁ antihistamine plus
Forum/World Allergy	leukotriene or the alternative histamine $_1$ antihistamine, the addition of
Organization:	cyclosporine A, a histamine ₂ antihistamine, dapsone or omalizumab is





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
Management of Urticaria (2009) ³⁸	recommended. For the treatment of an exacerbation, systemic steroids are recommended for three to seven days.
	 Non-specific aggravating factors such as overheating, stress, alcohol and drugs with the potential to worsen urticaria (e.g., aspirin, codeine) should be minimized. All patients should be offered the choice of at least two non-sedating histamine₁ antihistamines because responses and tolerance vary between individuals. The off-license addition of a histamine₂ antihistamine may sometimes give better control of urticaria than a histamine₁ antihistamine taken along; however, in practice, it may be more helpful for dyspepsia that may accompany severe urticaria. Anti-leukotrienes may be taken in addition to a histamine₁ antihistamine for poorly controlled urticaria but there is little evidence that they are useful as monotherapy. Oral corticosteroids may shorten the duration of acute urticaria (e.g., prednisolone 50 mg daily for three days in adults); however, lower doses are often effective. Parenteral hydrocortisone is often given as adjunct for severe laryngeal edema and anaphylaxis, although its action is delayed. Short tapering courses of oral steroids over three to four weeks may be necessary for urticarial vasculitis and severe delayed pressure urticaria, but long-term oral corticosteroids should not been used in chronic urticaria except in select cases under specialist supervision. Intramuscular epinephrine can be life-saving in anaphylaxis and in severe laryngeal angioedema but should be used with caution in hypertension and
	 ischemic heart disease. Cyclosporine is effective in approximately 66% of patients with severe autoimmune urticaria unresponsive to antihistamines; however, only approximately 25% of responders remained clear or much improved four to five months after initiation.

Conclusions

Immunoglobulin E (IgE) monoclonal antibodies inhibit the binding of IgE to IgE receptors. The mechanism of action of IgE monoclonal antibodies may have utility in the treatment of various allergic conditions. Omalizumab (Xolair[®]) is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, with moderate to severe persistent asthma, who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), as well as for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria refractory to histamine₁ antihistamine therapy.¹

The drug carries a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis. Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously in a physician's office every two to four weeks in a dose that is determined by body weight and the levels of serum IgE for allergic asthma and 150 to 300 mg every four weeks for chronic idiopathic urticaria.¹

Current clinical evidence suggests that treatment with omalizumab is effective in reducing asthma exacerbations and increasing the number of patients who are able to reduce or withdraw their ICS.⁴⁻⁶ In addition, treatment with omalizumab has been shown to improve itch severity in patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria.^{15,16}





Although omalizumab therapy is generally safe, an interim analysis of a five-year long epidemiological study (EXCELS) showed an increased number of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular adverse events in patients receiving omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma compared to placebo.¹⁰ In clinical trials omalizumab was also associated with higher frequency of injection site reactions than placebo.⁸

Asthma guidelines recommend omalizumab therapy in patients with severe allergic asthma that is inadequately controlled with a combination of high-dose ICS and long-acting β_2 -agonist.^{11,12} National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines do not recommend omalizumab therapy in children aged six to 11 as it does not provide enough benefit to justify its high cost.¹⁴

Although not all consensus guidelines have been updated to address the place in therapy for omalizumab in the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria, the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.^{38,39}





References

- Xolair® [package insert on the Internet]. South San Francisco (CA). Genetech Inc.; 2014 March [Accessed 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.pharma.us.novartis.com/product/pi/pdf/Xolair.pdf.
- Holt PG, Macaubas C, Stumbles PA, Sly PD. The role of allergy in the development of asthma. Nature. 1999 Nov 25;402(6760 Suppl):B12-7.
- 3. Rambasek TE, Lang DM, Kavuru MS. Omalizumab: where does it fit into current asthma management? Cleve Clin J Med. 2004 Mar;71(3):251-61.
- 4. Busse W, Corren J, Lanier BQ, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, Cioppa GD, et al. Omalizumab, anti-IgE recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of severe allergic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001 Aug;108(2):184-90.
- 5. Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, Buhl R, O'Brien J, Fox H, et al. The anti-IgE antibody omalizumab reduces exacerbations and steroid requirement in allergic asthmatics. Eur Respir J. 2001 Aug;18(2):254-61.
- Holgate ST, Chuchalin AG, Hébert J, Lötvall J, Persson GB, Chung KF, et al. Efficacy and safety of a recombinant anti-immunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab) in severe allergic asthma. Clin Exp Allergy. 2004 Apr;34(4):632-8.
- 7. Holgate S, Bousquet J, Wenzel S, et al. Efficacy of omalizumab, an antiimmunoglobulin E antibody, in patients with allergic asthma at high risk of serious asthma-related morbidity and mortality. Curr Med Res Opin. 2001b;17:233-40.
- 8. Walker S, Monteil M, Phelan K, Lasserson TJ, Walters EH. Anti-IgE for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Apr 19;(2):CD003559.
- 9. Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Efficacy and safety of subcutaneous omalizumab vs placebo as add on therapy to corticosteroids for children and adults with asthma: a systematic review. Chest. 2010 Aug 5.
- Early Communication about an Ongoing Safety Review of Omalizumab (marketed as Xolair) [webpage on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2009 [cited 2011 Jan 21]. Available from:

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/Drug SafetyInformationforHeathcareProfessionals/ucm172218.htm.

- United States Department of Health and Human Services National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma [guideline on the Internet]. NHLBI 2007 [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/asthgdln.pdf.
- 12. Bateman ED, Boulet LP, Cruz AA, FitzGerald M, Haahtela T, Levy ML, et al. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2012 [guideline on the internet]. 2011 Dec. [cited 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.ginasthma.com.
- 13. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for severe persistent allergic asthma [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Aug [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/TA133Guidance.pdf.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma in children aged 6 to 11 years [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Oct [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13256/51345/51345.pdf.
- 15. Maurer M, Rosen K, Hsieh HJ, Saini S, Grattan C, Gimenez-Arnau A, et al. Omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic or spontaneous urticaria. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:924-35.
- 16. Kaplan A, Ledford D, Ashby M, Canvin J, Zazzali JL, Conner E, et al. Omalizumab in patients with symptomatic chronic idiopathic/spontaneous urticaria despite standard combination therapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;132(1):101-9.
- 17. Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
- Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.





- Ädelroth E, Rak S, Haahtela T, Aasand G, Rosenhall L, Zetterstrom O, et al. Recombinant humanized mAb-E25, an anti-IgE mAb, in birch pollen-induced seasonal allergic rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2000 Aug;106(2):253-9.
- Nayak A, Casale T, Miller SD, Condemi J, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Tolerability of retreatment with omalizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal anti-IgE antibody, during a second ragweed pollen season in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2003 Sep-Oct;24(5):323-9.
- 21. Chervinsky P, Casale T, Townley R, Tripathy I, Hedgecock S, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Omalizumab, an anti-IgE antibody, in the treatment of adults and adolescents with perennial allergic rhinitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):160-7.
- Casale TB, Condemi J, LaForce C, Nayak A, Rowe M, Watrous M, et al. Effect of omalizumab on symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001 Dec 19;286(23):2956-67.
- 23. Micromedex® Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Micromedex; 2014 [Accessed 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 24. Lanier BQ, Corren J, Lumry W, Liu J, Fowler-Taylor A, Gupta N. Omalizumab is effective in the longterm control of severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):154-9.
- 25. Buhl R, Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, O'Brien J, Noga O, et al. Omalizumab provides long-term control in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. Eur Respir J. 2002 Jul;20(1):73-8.
- 26. Eisner MD, Zazzali JL, Miller MK, Bradley MS, Schatz M. Longitudinal changes in asthma control with omalizumab: 2-year interim data from the EXCELS study. Asthma. 2012;49(6):642-8.
- 27. Chen H, Eisner MD, Haselkorn T, Trzaskoma B. Concomitant asthma medications in moderate-tosevere allergic asthma treated with omalizumab. Respiratory Medicine. 2013;107:60-7.
- Busse WW, Massanari M, Kianifard F, Geba GP. Effect of omalizumab on the need for rescue systemic corticosteroid treatment in patients with moderate-to-severe persistent IgE-mediated allergic asthma: a pooled analysis. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2007;23(10):2379-86.
- 29. Milgrom H, Berger W, Nayak A, Gupta N, Pollard S, McAlary M, et al. Treatment of childhood asthma with anti-immunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab).Pediatrics. 2001 Aug;108(2):E36.
- 30. Schumann C, Kropf C, Wibmer T, Rüdiger S, Stoiber KM, Thielen A, Rottbauer W and Kroegel C. Omalizumab in patients with severe asthma: the XCLUSIVE study. Clin Respir J. 2012;6:215–227.
- 31. Niebauer K, Dewilde S, Fox-Rushby J, Revicki DA. Impact of omalizumab on quality-of-life outcomes in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2006;96:316-26.
- Chipps B, Buhl R, Beeh KM, Fox H, Thomas K, Reisner C. Improvement in quality of life with omalizumab in patients with severe allergic asthma. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2006;22(11):2201-8.
- 33. Normansell R, Walker S, Milan SJ, Walters EH, Nair P. Omalizumab for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 June 13;(2):CD003559.
- 34. Baseline IgE levels or weight outside range. Personal correspondence with Genentech Inc.
- 35. Brozek J, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani C, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:466-76.
- Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health care guideline: diagnosis and treatment of respiratory illness in children and adults. Bloomington, MN: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); January 2013 (fourth edition).
- 37. Sur DK, Scandale S. Treatment of allergic rhinitis. Am Fam Physician. 2010 Jun 15;81(12):1440-6.
- 38. Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
- 39. Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.



