Therapeutic Class Overview Immunoglobulin E Monoclonal Antibodies

Therapeutic Class Overview/Summary:

Immunoglobulin E (IgE) monoclonal antibodies inhibit the binding of IgE to IgE receptors. The mechanism of action of IgE monoclonal antibodies may have utility in the treatment of various allergic conditions. Currently, there is one IgE monoclonal antibody approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Omalizumab (Xolair[®]) is a humanized monoclonal antibody that is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, with moderate to severe persistent asthma, who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), as well as for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria refractory to histamine 1 antihistamine therapy.¹

An allergic form of asthma is found in approximately 90% of adult asthmatics.² Patients with allergic asthma with positive skin test reactions to a given aeroallergen tend to have exacerbations of asthma when exposed to that aeroallergen. IgE is believed to be pivotal in the pathogenesis of allergic asthma.³ Omalizumab reduces the release of allergic response mediators by inhibiting the binding of IgE to its receptor on the surface of mast cells and basophils.¹ Although the mechanism by which treatment with omalizumab results in an improvement in the symptoms of chronic idiopathic urticaria is not fully understood, omalizumab binds to IgE and lowers free IgE levels, which down-regulates the IgE receptors on cells.¹

Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously in a physician's office every two to four weeks in a dose that is determined by body weight and the levels of serum IgE for allergic asthma and 150 to 300 mg every four weeks for chronic idiopathic urticaria.^{1,3} It carriers a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis which may occur as early as after first dose, but also as long as beyond one year of treatment.¹

The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program recommend considering omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients 12 years of age and older with allergies and severe persistent asthma that is inadequately controlled with the combination of high-dose ICS and long-acting β_2 -agonist.¹¹ Similarly, Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines recommend omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients with elevated serum levels of IgE who are not adequately controlled on controller medications.¹²

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines recommend omalizumab add-on therapy for narrowly defined severely affected groups of asthma patients with unstable disease who have clinical confirmation of IgE mediation of asthma exacerbations and have had a trial of all standard asthma medications. In addition, omalizumab therapy may only be cost-effective for severely affected group of asthma patients at an elevated risk of asthma-related mortality, if therapy was discontinued in non-responders at 16 weeks and if vial wastage could be minimized to reduce costs.¹³ Omalizumab is not recommended in children aged six to 11 because it does not provide enough benefit to justify its high cost.¹⁴

The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines for the management of urticaria recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.¹⁷ The British Association of Dermatologists Guidelines for the management of Urticaria in adults and children have not yet been updated to address the role of omalizumab in the treatment of urticaria.¹⁸

Although omalizumab is not FDA-approved for use in other allergic conditions, the evidence from several randomized controlled trials favors its efficacy in patients with allergic rhinitis.^{1,19-22} Omalizumab is also being investigated in patients with peanut allergy, latex allergy, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, and other IgE mediated allergic conditions.²³



Page 1 of 4 Copyright 2015 • Review Completed on 03/02/2015



Table 1. Current Medications	Available in Thera	peutic Class ³
------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Omalizumab (Xolair [®])	Anti-IgE Antibody	-

Evidence-based Medicine

- The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma was based on the results of three published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. All studies enrolled patients 12 years of age and older with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a positive skin test to a perennial aeroallergen. Two studies showed significantly greater reductions in exacerbations with omalizumab vs placebo. In all three studies, the dose of inhaled corticosteroids was significantly reduced with omalizumab compared to placebo.⁴⁻⁶
- Multiple meta-analyses demonstrated the efficacy of omalizumab in decreasing steroid consumption and reducing asthma exacerbations when added to an ICS.⁷⁻⁹ However, further assessment in pediatric populations and direct double dummy comparison with an ICS was recommended.⁸ In addition, a five-year long observational study (EXCELS) is currently evaluating the safety of omalizumab in patients with moderate to severe asthma. In July 2009, the FDA announced that the interim data suggests a disproportionate increase in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular adverse events in patients treated with omalizumab compared to placebo; however, no changes to the prescribing information were recommended.¹⁰
- The FDA-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria was based on two published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. Both studies included patients 12 to 75 years of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine therapy. Both studies showed significant improvements in the itch-severity test compared to placebo.^{15,16}

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - Omalizumab is recommended as adjunctive therapy in patients ≥12 years old with allergies and severe, persistent asthma with elevated immunoglobulin E (IgE) who are not adequately controlled on controller medications.^{11,12}
 - The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines for the management of urticaria recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.¹⁷
- Other Key Facts:
 - Currently, omalizumab is the only agent in this novel drug class that has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration and is commercially available in the United States.¹
 - Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously by a health care provider in a health care setting. For the treatment of allergic asthma, omalizumab is given at a dose of 150 to 375 mg every two or four weeks according to IgE level and body weight. For the treatment of chronic urticaria, omalizumab is given at a dose of 150 or 300 mg every four weeks, regardless of IgE level or weight.¹
 - Omalizumab is associated with a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis that may occur as early as the first dose or as late as beyond one year after treatment initiation.¹
 - The most common adverse side effects associated with omalizumab include injection site pain, nausea, arthralgia, headache and respiratory symptoms.





References

- Xolair[®] [package insert]. South San Francisco (CA). Genetech Inc.; 2014 Sep. 1
- Holt PG, Macaubas C, Stumbles PA, Sly PD. The role of allergy in the development of asthma. Nature. 1999 Nov 25;402(6760 2. Suppl):B12-7.
- 3. Rambasek TE, Lang DM, Kavuru MS. Omalizumab: where does it fit into current asthma management? Cleve Clin J Med. 2004 Mar:71(3):251-61.
- Busse W, Corren J, Lanier BQ, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, Cioppa GD, et al. Omalizumab, anti-IgE recombinant humanized 4. monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of severe allergic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001 Aug;108(2):184-90.
- Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, Buhl R, O'Brien J, Fox H, et al. The anti-IgE antibody omalizumab reduces exacerbations and 5 steroid requirement in allergic asthmatics. Eur Respir J. 2001 Aug;18(2):254-61.
- Holgate ST, Chuchalin AG, Hébert J, Lötvall J, Persson GB, Chung KF, et al. Efficacy and safety of a recombinant anti-6. immunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab) in severe allergic asthma. Clin Exp Allergy, 2004 Apr;34(4):632-8.
- Holgate S, Bousquet J, Wenzel S, et al. Efficacy of omalizumab, an antiimmunoglobulin E antibody, in patients with allergic 7. asthma at high risk of serious asthma-related morbidity and mortality. Curr Med Res Opin. 2001b;17:233-40.
- Walker S, Monteil M, Phelan K, Lasserson TJ, Walters EH. Anti-IgE for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane 8 Database Syst Rev. 2006 Apr 19:(2):CD003559.
- Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Efficacy and safety of subcutaneous omalizumab vs placebo as add on therapy to 9 corticosteroids for children and adults with asthma: a systematic review. Chest. 2010 Aug 5.
- 10. Early Communication about an Ongoing Safety Review of Omalizumab (marketed as Xolair) [webpage on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2009 [cited 2011 Jan 21]. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/DrugSafetyInformationforHeat hcareProfessionals/ucm172218.htm.
- 11. United States Department of Health and Human Services National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma [guideline on the Internet]. NHLBI 2007 [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/asthgdln.pdf.
- 12. Bateman ED, Boulet LP, Cruz AA, FitzGerald M, Haahtela T, Levy ML, et al. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2012 [guideline on the internet]. 2011 Dec. [cited 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.ginasthma.com.
- 13. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for severe persistent allergic asthma [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Aug [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/TA133Guidance.pdf.
- 14. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma in children aged 6 to 11 years [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Oct [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13256/51345/51345.pdf.
- 15. Maurer M, Rosen K, Hsieh HJ, Saini S, Grattan C, Gimenez-Arnau A, et al. Omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic or spontaneous urticaria. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:924-35.
- 16. Kaplan A, Ledford D, Ashby M, Canvin J, Zazzali JL, Conner E, et al. Omalizumab in patients with symptomatic chronic idiopathic/spontaneous urticaria despite standard combination therapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;132(1):101-9. 17. Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO
- guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
- Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for 18. evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.
- Ädelroth E, Rak S, Haahtela T, Aasand G, Rosenhall L, Zetterstrom O, et al. Recombinant humanized mAb-E25, an anti-IgE 19 mAb, in birch pollen-induced seasonal allergic rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2000 Aug;106(2):253-9.
- 20. Nayak A, Casale T, Miller SD, Condemi J, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Tolerability of retreatment with omalizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal anti-IgE antibody, during a second ragweed pollen season in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2003 Sep-Oct;24(5):323-9.
- 21. Chervinsky P, Casale T, Townley R, Tripathy I, Hedgecock S, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Omalizumab, an anti-IgE antibody, in the treatment of adults and adolescents with perennial allergic rhinitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):160-7.
- 22. Casale TB, Condemi J, LaForce C, Nayak A, Rowe M, Watrous M, et al. Effect of omalizumab on symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001 Dec 19;286(23):2956-67.
- 23. Micromedex® Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Micromedex; 2014 [Accessed 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 24. Lanier BQ, Corren J, Lumry W, Liu J, Fowler-Taylor A, Gupta N. Omalizumab is effective in the long-term control of severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):154-9.
- 25. Buhl R, Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, O'Brien J, Noga O, et al. Omalizumab provides long-term control in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. Eur Respir J. 2002 Jul;20(1):73-8.
- 26. Eisner MD, Zazzali JL, Miller MK, Bradley MS, Schatz M. Longitudinal changes in asthma control with omalizumab: 2-year interim data from the EXCELS study. Asthma. 2012;49(6):642-8.
- 27. Chen H, Eisner MD, Haselkorn T, Trzaskoma B. Concomitant asthma medications in moderate-to-severe allergic asthma treated with omalizumab. Respiratory Medicine. 2013;107:60-7.
- 28. Busse WW, Massanari M, Kianifard F, Geba GP. Effect of omalizumab on the need for rescue systemic corticosteroid treatment in patients with moderate-to-severe persistent IgE-mediated allergic asthma: a pooled analysis. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2007;23(10):2379-86.
- Milgrom H, Berger W, Nayak A, Gupta N, Pollard S, McAlary M, et al. Treatment of childhood asthma with anti-immunoglobulin 29. E antibody (omalizumab).Pediatrics. 2001 Aug;108(2):E36. Schumann C, Kropf C, Wibmer T, Rüdiger S, Stoiber KM, Thielen A, Rottbauer W and Kroegel C. Omalizumab in patients with
- 30. severe asthma: the XCLUSIVE study. Člin Respir J. 2012;6:215-227.



Page 3 of 4 Copyright 2015 • Review Completed on 03/02/2015



- 31. Niebauer K, Dewilde S, Fox-Rushby J, Revicki DA. Impact of omalizumab on quality-of-life outcomes in patients with moderateto-severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2006;96:316-26.
- Chipps B, Buhl R, Beeh KM, Fox H, Thomas K, Reisner C. Improvement in quality of life with omalizumab in patients with severe allergic asthma. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2006;22(11):2201-8.
- Normansell R, Walker S, Milan SJ, Walters EH, Nair P. Omalizumab for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 June 13;(2):CD003559.
- 34. Baseline IgE levels or weight outside range. Personal correspondence with Genentech Inc.
- Brozek J, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani C, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:466-76.
- 36. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health care guideline: diagnosis and treatment of respiratory illness in children and adults. Bloomington, MN: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); January 2013 (fourth edition).
- Sur DK, Scandale S. Treatment of allergic rhinitis. Am Fam Physician. 2010 Jun 15;81(12):1440-6.
 Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO
- guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
 39. Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.





Therapeutic Class Review Immunoglobulin E Monoclonal Antibodies

Overview/Summary

Immunoglobulin E (IgE) monoclonal antibodies inhibit the binding of IgE to IgE receptors. The mechanism of action of IgE monoclonal antibodies may have utility in the treatment of various allergic conditions. Currently, there is one IgE monoclonal antibody approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Omalizumab (Xolair[®]) is a humanized monoclonal antibody that is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, with moderate to severe persistent asthma, who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), as well as for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria refractory to histamine therapy.¹

An allergic form of asthma is found in approximately 90% of adult asthmatics.² Patients with allergic asthma with positive skin test reactions to a given aeroallergen tend to have exacerbations of asthma when exposed to that aeroallergen. IgE is believed to be pivotal in the pathogenesis of allergic asthma.³ Omalizumab reduces the release of allergic response mediators by inhibiting the binding of IgE to its receptor on the surface of mast cells and basophils.¹

Although the mechanism by which treatment with omalizumab results in an improvement in the symptoms of chronic idiopathic urticaria is not fully understood, omalizumab binds to IgE and lowers free IgE levels, which down-regulates the IgE receptors on cells.¹

Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously in a physician's office every two to four weeks in a dose that is determined by body weight and the levels of serum IgE for allergic asthma and 150 to 300 mg every four weeks for chronic idiopathic urticaria.^{1,3} It carriers a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis which may occur as early as after first dose, but also as long as beyond one year of treatment.¹

The FDA approval of omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma was based on the results of three published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. All studies enrolled patients 12 years of age and older with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a positive skin test to a perennial aeroallergen. Two studies showed significantly greater reductions in exacerbations with omalizumab vs placebo. In all three studies, the dose of ICS was significantly reduced with omalizumab compared to placebo.⁴⁻⁶

Multiple meta-analyses demonstrated the efficacy of omalizumab in decreasing steroid consumption and reducing asthma exacerbations when added to an ICS.⁷⁻⁹ However, further assessment in pediatric populations and direct double dummy comparison with an ICS was recommended.⁸ In addition, a five-year long observational study (EXCELS) is currently evaluating the safety of omalizumab in patients with moderate to severe asthma. In July 2009, the FDA announced that the interim data suggests a disproportionate increase in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular adverse events in patients treated with omalizumab compared to placebo; however, no changes to the prescribing information were recommended.¹⁰

The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program recommend considering omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients 12 years of age and older with allergies and severe persistent asthma that is inadequately controlled with the combination of high-dose ICS and long-acting β_2 -agonist.¹¹ Similarly, Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines recommend omalizumab as an adjunctive therapy in patients with elevated serum levels of IgE who are not adequately controlled on controller medications.¹²

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines recommend omalizumab add-on therapy for narrowly defined severely affected groups of asthma patients with unstable disease who have clinical confirmation of IgE mediation of asthma exacerbations and have had a trial of all standard asthma



Page 1 of 34 Copyright 2015 • Review Completed on 03/02/2015



medications. In addition, omalizumab therapy may only be cost-effective for severely affected group of asthma patients at an elevated risk of asthma-related mortality, if therapy was discontinued in non-responders at 16 weeks and if vial wastage could be minimized to reduce costs.¹³ Omalizumab is not recommended in children aged six to 11 because it does not provide enough benefit to justify its high cost.¹⁴

The FDA-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria was based on two published, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials. Both studies included patients 12 to 75 years of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine therapy. Both studies showed significant improvements in the itch-severity test compared to placebo.^{15,16}

The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines for the management of urticaria recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.¹⁷ The British Association of Dermatologists Guidelines for the management of Urticaria in adults and children have not yet been updated to address the role of omalizumab in the treatment of urticaria.¹⁸

Although omalizumab is not FDA-approved for use in other allergic conditions, the evidence from several randomized controlled trials favors its efficacy in patients with allergic rhinitis.^{1,19-22} Omalizumab is also being investigated in patients with peanut allergy, latex allergy, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, and other IgE mediated allergic conditions.²³

Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Omalizumab (Xolair [®])	Anti-IgE antibody	_

Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications¹

Indication(s)	Omalizumab
Moderate to severe persistent asthma in patients with a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and symptoms that are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids	>
Chronic idiopathic urticaria in adults and adolescents ≥12 years of age who remain symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine treatment	

Pharmacokinetics

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics¹

Generic	Bioavailability	Metabolism	Excretion	Active	Serum Half-
Name	(%)		(%)	Metabolites	Life (hours)
Omalizumab	62	Degradation in the liver reticuloendothelial system and endothelial cells	Bile (not reported)	None	24 to 26 days





Clinical Trials

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma was based on the results of three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials conducted in patients at least 12 years of age with moderate to severe asthma for at least one year, and a positive skin test reaction to a perennial aeroallergen. All patients were required to have a baseline immunoglobulin E (IgE) between 30 and 700 international unit (IU)/mL and body weight not more than 150 kg. Patients were treated according to a dosing table to administer at least 0.016 mg/kg/IU (IgE/mL) of omalizumab or placebo over each four-week period.¹

Each study was comprised of a run-in period to achieve a stable conversion to a common inhaled corticosteroid (ICS), followed by randomization to omalizumab or placebo. Patients received omalizumab for 16 weeks with an unchanged ICS dose unless an acute exacerbation necessitated an increase. Patients then entered an ICS reduction phase of 12 (Busse et al and Solèr et al) and 16 weeks (Holgate et al) during which ICS dose reduction was attempted in a step-wise manner.¹

In the first 28-week study by Busse et al (N=525), during the steroid stable phase, patients treated with omalizumab had fewer mean exacerbations/subject (0.28 vs 0.54; P=0.006) and decreased mean duration of exacerbations (7.8 vs 12.7 days; P<0.001) compared to placebo-treated patients. Similarly, during the steroid reduction phase, omalizumab was associated with fewer exacerbations/subject (0.39 vs 0.66; P=0.003), and a shorter mean duration of exacerbations (9.4 vs 12.6 days; P=0.021).⁴

In the second 28-week study by Solèr et al (N=546), asthma exacerbations/patient, the primary endpoint, decreased more in the omalizumab group compared to placebo during both the stable steroid (0.28 vs 0.66; P<0.001) and steroid reduction (0.36 vs 0.75; P<0.001) phases.⁵

In the third 32-week study by Holgate et al (N=246), the percentage reduction in ICS dose, the primary endpoint, was greater among patients treated with omalizumab than among patients treated with placebo (median, 60 vs 50%; P=0.003). The percentages of patients with at least one asthma exacerbation were similar between omalizumab and placebo groups during both the stable steroid and steroid reduction phases (P value not reported).⁶ The absence of an observed treatment effect may be related to differences in the patient population compared to the first two studies, study sample size, or other factors.¹

A meta-analysis of three aforementioned trials (Busse et al, Solèr et al, Holgate et al) and their extension studies assessed the efficacy of omalizumab in a subgroup of 254 patients at high risk of serious asthmarelated mortality and morbidity. Patients were defined as high-risk due to asthma histories that included the following: intubation history, emergency room visit within the last year, overnight hospitalization, or intensive care unit treatment. The primary outcome was an annualized rate of acute exacerbation episodes based on data from the initial 16-week stable steroid phase for high-risk patients. Two kinds of acute exacerbation episodes were considered as endpoints: significant acute exacerbation episodes and all acute exacerbation episodes (i.e., all episodes recorded by the investigator). Significant acute exacerbation episodes recorded by the investigator). Significant acute exacerbation episodes recorded by the investigator). Significant acute exacerbation episodes rates were 1.56 and 0.69/patient-year, respectively, a reduction of 56% with omalizumab (P=0.007). Similar reductions in exacerbation episodes. The authors concluded that 113 significant acute exacerbation episodes were prevented for every 100 patients treated with omalizumab for one year.⁷

The FDA-approval of omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria was based on two randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, multi-center clinical trials, ASTERIA II and GLACIAL. Both studies included patients 12 to 75 years of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic despite histamine₁ antihistamine therapy.^{15,16}

In the ASTERIA II trial, treatment with omalizumab in doses of 150 and 300 mg every four weeks for three doses resulted in a significant reduction in itch-severity scores compared to placebo. These reductions



Page 3 of 34 Copyright 2015 • Review Completed on 03/02/2015



from baseline in mean weekly itch-severity score were dose-responsive with all three omalizumab doses (75, 150 and 300 mg) and were better than placebo at the time points evaluated prior to week 12. After 12 weeks, the mean weekly itch-severity scores for all omalizumab groups increased to reach values similar to those in the placebo group but did not return to baseline values for the duration of follow-up.¹⁵

In the GLACIAL trial, treatment with omalizumab 300 mg every four weeks for six doses resulted in a significantly greater improvement in the itch-severity score from baseline to week 12 compared to placebo. This difference was sustained at week 24. After week 24 and until week 40, the mean weekly itch-severity scores in the omalizumab group gradually increased to values similar to those in the placebo group but did not return to baseline values. In terms of safety, the incidence and severity of adverse events and serious adverse events were similar between the omalizumab and placebo groups. Serious adverse events were reported by 7.1 and 6.0% of patients treated with omalizumab and placebo, respectively; however, no serious adverse events were suspected to have been caused by the study drug.¹⁶





Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Domographico	Duration		
Allergic asthma				
Busse et al. ⁺	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=525	Primary:	Primary:
(2001)			Number of	During the steroid stable phase, patients treated with omalizumab had
	Patients 12 to 75 years	28 weeks	exacerbations	fewer mean exacerbations/subject (0.28 vs 0.54; P=0.006) and
Omalizumab 150 or	of age with allergic	(10)	during stable	decreased mean duration of exacerbations (7.8 vs 12.7 days; P<0.001)
300 mg SC every four	astrima symptomatic	(16 Weeks of	and steroid	compared to placebo-treated patients. Similarly, during the steroid
	despite treatment with		reduction	reduction phase, offail/unab was associated with rewer
375 hig every two		priase, ioliowed	phases	duration of experimentations (0.4 vo.12.6 dove: D=0.021)
0.016 mg/kg/lgE	≥ 1 year, positive	by 12 weeks of	Secondary	
	testing to >1 allergen	nhase)	Number of	Secondary:
weeks] plus BDP 420	total serum $IqE > 30$ to	pridoc)	natients with >1	During the steroid stable phase, fewer patients in the omalizumab
to 840 ug/day	≤700 IU/mL_EEV₁		exacerbation	aroup had ≥ 1 exacerbation than the placebo group (14.6 vs 23.3%)
	reversibility of ≥12%		daily asthma	P=0.009). Similarly, during the steroid reduction phase, the
vs	within 30 minutes after		symptoms.	omalizumab treatment group had fewer subjects with exacerbations
	administration of		rescue	than placebo (21.3 vs 32.3%; P=0.0004).
placebo plus BDP	albuterol, baseline		medication	
	FEV ₁ ≥40 and ≤80% of		use, pulmonary	During the stable steroid phase, a smaller proportion of subjects in the
Allowed concomitant	predicted, treatment		function,	omalizumab group than in the placebo group experienced
medications included	with 420 to 840 µg/day		treatment	exacerbations that were associated with a reduction in PEF to ≤50% of
albuterol, stable doses	of BDP or its equivalent		effectiveness,	personal best value (0.4 vs 3.5%). During the steroid reduction phase,
of immunotherapy,	ICS for ≥3 months		free and total	fewer omalizumab subjects than placebo subjects (0.8 vs 3.0%) had
and other non-asthma			serum IgE,	exacerbations associated with a decline in PEF of ≥50% (P value not
medications.			safety	reported).
				Omeliaumeth allowed for a greater median reduction in ICC was then
All other astinna				Omalizumab allowed for a greater median reduction in ICS use than
neolications were				than control nationts achieved >50%, P<0.001). More officialized
pronibited.				54.0%: P<0.001) RDP was discontinued in 30.6% of omalizumab
				treated nationts compared to 19 1% of the placeho recipients
				(
				Omalizumab significantly improved daily asthma scores in comparison
				with placebo after week four, and rescue medication use was





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				significantly reduced in comparison with placebo for most weekly intervals (P value not reported).
				Increases in morning PEF were greater with omalizumab (from 320 to 335 L/minute) than with placebo, which remained at approximately 300 L/minute, from baseline to the end of the study (P value not reported).
				At week 16, the mean change from baseline in PEF was 18.5 L/minute with omalizumab and 6.9 L/minute with placebo. Mean FEV ₁ increased from 68.20 to 72.53% of predicted in the omalizumab group and from 67.7 to 69.1% of predicted in the placebo group. Statistically significant improvements for FEV ₁ in comparison with placebo were maintained for the entire study (P values<0.001 to .019).
				Across the omalizumab dosing regimens, median free IgE was reduced by between 89 and 98%. At weeks 16 to 24, free IgE concentrations ranged from 6 to 8 IU/mL for the omalizumab group; this compared to >62 IU/mL for the placebo group. Total IgE increased in the omalizumab-treated subjects and did not change appreciably in the placebo subjects (P value not reported).
				Overall, the frequency of adverse events in the omalizumab and placebo groups was similar (89.2 vs 89.1%). Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab-treated patients (\geq 1% more frequent) included upper respiratory tract infection (31.3 vs 29.6%), pharyngitis (14.6 vs 13.6%), arthralgia (9.7 vs 3.5%), rhinitis (8.2 vs 3.1%), sprains and strains (7.5 vs 5.4%), nausea (6.7 vs 6.2%), and pain (6.7 vs 5.4%). No serious adverse events were considered drug-related.
Lanier et al. ²⁴	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=460	Primary:	Primary:
(extension of a study by Busse et al. ⁴) (2003)	Patients 12 to 75 years of age with allergic asthma who were	24 weeks	Number of asthma exacerbations/ patient, number	I reatment with omalizumab resulted in fewer asthma exacerbations as compared to placebo (0.60 vs 0.83/patient; P=0.023). The number of patients experiencing at least one exacerbation was also lower for omalizumab than placebo (31.8 and 42.8%; P=0.015).
Omalizumab at least	symptomatic despite treatment with ICS		of patients with ≥1	Secondary.
Lanier et al. ²⁴ (extension of a study by Busse et al. ⁴) (2003) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years of age with allergic asthma who were symptomatic despite treatment with ICS	N=460 24 weeks	Primary: Number of asthma exacerbations/ patient, number of patients with ≥1	 placebo subjects (P value not reported). Overall, the frequency of adverse events in the omalizumab and placebo groups was similar (89.2 vs 89.1%). Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab-treated patients (≥1% more frequent) included upper respiratory tract infection (31.3 vs 29.6%), pharyngitis (14.6 vs 13.6%), arthralgia (9.7 vs 3.5%), rhinitis (8.2 vs 3.1%), sprains and strains (7.5 vs 5.4%), nausea (6.7 vs 6.2%), and pain (6.7 vs 5.4%). No serious adverse events were considered drug-related. Primary: Treatment with omalizumab resulted in fewer asthma exacerbations as compared to placebo (0.60 vs 0.83/patient; P=0.023). The number of patients experiencing at least one exacerbation was also lower for omalizumab than placebo (31.8 and 42.8%; P=0.015). Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(IU/mL) SC every four weeks			exacerbation	Compared to placebo, treatment with omalizumab resulted in statistically significant differences in FEV ₁ at weeks 32 (P=0.016), 36
VC			Secondary:	(P=0.014), 40 (P=0.004), and 44 (P=0.037). Between-group differences
v5			FEV ₁ , use of	and $P=0.16$, respectively).
placebo			BDP and	Cassation of BDP use was maintained by 27 and 10% of patients in the
Concomitant			asthma	omalizumab and placebo groups, respectively. The mean BDP
treatment with other			medication,	equivalent dose was lower in the omalizumab group than placebo (227
was allowed.			salety	vs 555 µg/day).
				Treatment with omalizumab was well tolerated during the extension
				omalizumab and placebo groups during both the extension phase and
2 1) 1 1 5		N. 540	<u> </u>	the full 52 weeks of the trial.
Soler et al.°	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=546	Primary: The number of	Primary: Asthma exacerbations/natient decreased in the omalizumab group
(2001)	Patients 12 to 76 years	28 weeks	asthma	compared to placebo during both the stable steroid (0.28 vs 0.66;
Omalizumab at least	of age with allergic		exacerbations/	P<0.001) and steroid reduction (0.36 vs 0.75; P<0.001) phases.
0.016 mg/kg/lgE	asthma despite	(16 weeks of	patient during	
(IU/mL) SC (either 150	treatment with ICS,	steroid stable	the stable	Secondary:
to 300 mg every four	astrima duration ≥ 1	phase, followed	steroid and	Fewer patients in the omalizumab group had ≥ 1 exacerbation compared to place to for the stable steroid phase (35 vs 83; $P<0.001$)
ma divided into two	responses on skin prick	steroid reduction	reduction	and steroid reduction phase (43 vs 81° P<0.001)
equal portions at two-	testing to ≥1 allergen,	phase)	phases	
week intervals) plus	total serum IgE ≥30 to	. ,	•	The median daily BDP dose at the end of the steroid reduction phase
BDP 500 to 1,200	≤700 IU/mL, body		Secondary:	was lower for patients on omalizumab (100 vs 300 μ g; P<0.001). The
µg/day	weight ≤150 kg, FEV ₁		Number of	proportion of patients able to reduce the BDP dose at the end of the
	reversibility of ≥12%		patients with ≥1	steroid reduction phase was greater in the omalizumab group than the
VS	within 30 minutes after		asinma	
placebo plus BDP 500	albuterol baseline		during the	The median number of nuffs of rescue medication was lower in the
to 1.200 µg/day	FEV ₁ \geq 40 and \leq 80% of		stable steroid	omalizumab group than placebo (P<0.005)
	predicted, mean total		and steroid	
Allowed concomitant	daily symptom score ≥3		reduction	Statistically significant differences in favor of omalizumab were





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
medications included salbutamol and BDP.	and ≤9, treatment with 500 to 1,200 µg/day of BDP or its equivalent ICS for ≥3 months		phases, BDP dose reduction, rescue medication use, asthma symptom scores, morning PEF and FEV ₁ , safety	observed in the total symptom scores during the stable-steroid and steroid-reduction phases (P≤0.01). Mean morning peak PEF was greater in omalizumab group than placebo during the stable steroid and steroid reduction phase (P<0.01). Omalizumab resulted in greater improvements in FEV ₁ than placebo between weeks four and 12 of the stable steroid phase (P<0.05) and between weeks 18 and 28 during the steroid reduction phase (P<0.05). There were no deaths in this study. Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab group than placebo included fatigue and paresthesia (1.1 vs 0.0%), and injection site reactions (11.8 vs 7.7%).
Buhl et al. ²⁵ (extension of a study by Solèr et al. ⁵) (2002) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE (IU/mL) SC (either 150 to 300 mg every four weeks, or 450 to 750 mg divided into two equal portions at two- week intervals vs placebo Concomitant treatment with other asthma medication was allowed.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 12 to 76 years of age with allergic asthma who were symptomatic despite treatment with ICS	N=483 24 weeks	Primary: The number of asthma exacerbations/ patient, FEV ₁ , BDP use and concomitant asthma medication use, safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The mean number of asthma exacerbations/patient during the extension phase was lower in the omalizumab group compared to the placebo group (0.48 vs 1.14; P<0.001). The percentage of patients with \geq 1 exacerbation was lower in patients treated with omalizumab than control (61 vs 93%; P<0.001). No statistically significant differences in FEV ₁ were seen between the treatment groups at any time point during the extension phase (P value not reported). The mean BDP equivalent dose was lower in patients treated with omalizumab than placebo (253 vs 434 µg/day; P<0.001). The overall incidence of adverse events was similar between the treatment groups during the 24-week extension phase (P=0.548) and for the entire 52-week study period (P=0.579). Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Eisner et al. ²⁰ (Interim data from EXCELS) (2012) Omalizumab Vs non-omalizumab Treatment was at the discretion of physicians and patients based on indication and treatment guidelines.	MC, OBS, PRO Patients ≥12 years of age with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a history of a positive response to allergy skin testing or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen	N=7,858 2 years	Primary: Asthma control Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Among new omalizumab starts, the ACT score from baseline increased from 15.2 to 18.4 at month six and reached 19.4 by month 24. For established users, the mean ACT increased from 18.2 at baseline to 19.4 by month 24. Among non-omalizumab users, the mean ACT score increased from 18.4 at baseline to 20.0 by month 24. Over half (54%) of omalizumab new starts achieved a minimally important improvement in ACT (defined as \geq 3 point change from baseline) by month six and this proportion increased to 62% at month 24. The proportion of patients achieving a minimally important improvement in the established users group increased from 29% at month six to 31% at month 24. The subgroup of new starts had a substantial increase in the proportion of patients considered to be well-controlled (ACT \geq 20) from 26% at baseline to 50% at month six and 59% at month 24. The proportion of new starts with poorly-controlled asthma (ACT \leq 15) decreased from 51% at baseline to 24% at month six and 20% at month 24. In the well-established users subgroup, the proportion of patients with well-controlled asthma increased from 48% at baseline to 58% at month 24 and the proportion of patients with poorly-controlled asthma decreased from 29% at baseline to 21% at month 24. In the non-omalizumab group, the proportion of patients with well- controlled asthma increased from 48% at baseline to 56% at month 24 and the proportion of patients with poorly-controlled asthma decreased from 29% at baseline to 21% at month 24. In the non-omalizumab group, the proportion of patients with well- controlled asthma increased from 48% at baseline to 65% at month 24 and the proportion of patients with poorly-controlled asthma decreased from 27% at baseline to 16% at month 24. Secondary: Not reported
Chen et al. ²⁷ (Subanalysis of EXCELS)	MC, OBS, PRO Patients ≥12 years of	N=7,858 2 years	Primary: Percent change in dose	Primary: The mean total daily dose of ICS decreased in all groups from baseline to month 12 and month 24. The percent reduction was greatest for





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(2013) Omalizumab vs non-omalizumab Treatment was at the discretion of physicians and patients based on indication and treatment guidelines.	age with moderate to severe persistent asthma and a history of a positive response to allergy skin testing or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen		of concomitant asthma medications, proportion of patients with any change in dose from baseline to month 12 and baseline to month 24 Secondary: Not reported	 patients who were new starts (57.7% at month 24) compared to established users (44.7%) and non-omalizumab users (42.4%). Approximately 66% of omalizumab new starts achieved a decrease in total daily ICS use from baseline to month 24 compared to 57% of established users and 54% of non-omalizumab users. For short-acting beta agonist use, the number of puffs per day decreased in all groups from baseline to months 12 and 24, and the percent reduction was greatest in omalizumab new starts (73.7% at month 24), followed by established users (69.2%) and non-omalizumab users (64.3%). A dose reduction for short-acting beta agonist use was observed in a greater proportion of new starts (65%) than established users (55%) or non-omalizumab users (54%). At month 24, more than 50% of omalizumab new starts achieved reductions in leukotriene modifier dose compared to 44% of established users and 40% of non-omalizumab users.
				Secondary: Not reported
Busse et al. ²⁸ (2007) Omalizumab plus current asthma therapy vs placebo plus current asthma therapy vs placebo alone	Pooled analysis (seven trials) Patients ≥12 years of age with moderate-to- severe IgE-mediated allergic asthma	N=4,308 Duration varied	Primary: Rescue use of systemic corticosteroid bursts (oral or IV), effectiveness of therapy Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: Omalizumab-treated patients required significantly fewer systemic steroid bursts compared to the control group (RR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.66; P<0.001). The mean number of systemic corticosteroid bursts was 0.4±0.87 in the omalizumab-treated group and 0.6±1.24 in the control group. Patients treated with omalizumab were more likely to be categorized as responders (complete control or marked improvement in control) than patients in the control group for both the physician and patient overall assessments. For the physician evaluation, 58.5% of omalizumab users were responders compared to 36.9% of patients in the control group. For the patient evaluation, 64.2% of omalizumab users were responders compared to 43.9% of the control group.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Responders to omalizumab experienced a significantly greater improvement in quality of life compared to the placebo group. Although modest, a significantly greater improvement from baseline in FEV ₁ was observed in patients treated with omalizumab compared to placebo (75.27 mL; 95% CI, 44.56 to 105.98; P<0.001).
				Not reported.
Holgate et al. ⁶ (2004) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE (IU/mL) SC (150 or 300 mg every four weeks, or 225, 300 or 375 mg given every two weeks) plus inhaled fluticasone 1,000 to 2,000 μ g daily vs placebo plus inhaled fluticasone 1,000 to 2,000 μ g daily Short-/long-acting β_2 - agonists were allowed as needed.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years old with severe allergic asthma who were symptomatic despite inhaled and/or oral corticosteroid use, positive responses on skin prick testing to ≥1 allergen, total serum IgE ≥30 to ≤700 IU/mL, treatment with at least 1,000 µg/day of inhaled fluticasone	N=246 32 weeks (16 weeks of steroid stable phase, followed by 16 weeks of steroid reduction phase)	Primary: Percentage reduction in fluticasone dose Secondary: Absolute reductions in fluticasone dose compared to baseline, reduction in asthma exacerbations, decrease in rescue medication use, PEF and post- bronchodilator spirometry, asthma	 Primary: The percentage reduction in fluticasone dose was greater among patients treated with omalizumab than among patients treated with placebo (median, 60 vs 50%; P=0.003). Secondary: Omalizumab-treated patients achieved greater absolute reduction in fluticasone dose compared to baseline than placebo (median, 750 vs 500 µg/day; P=0.003). Patients treated with omalizumab had 35 to 45% lower exacerbation rates than placebo-treated patients and used less rescue medication from visit four onwards (P<0.01). Morning PEF remained overall unchanged including during the steroid-reduction phase. Omalizumab was associated with greater increases in FEV₁ than placebo which were statistically significant at weeks four, 20, 28 and 30 (P values were not reported). Treatment with omalizumab led to greater improvements in asthma symptoms over both the steroid-stable and the steroid-reduction phases as compared to placebo, yet P value was not significant for most time points.
			symptom score, asthma related quality	Overall, 58% of omalizumab patients compared to 39% of placebo patients had clinically detectable improvements in quality of life





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Milgrom et al. ²⁹ (2001) Omalizumab at least 0.016 mg/kg/lgE (IU/mL) SC (150 or 300 mg every four weeks, or 225, 300 or 375 mg given every two weeks) and inhaled BDP 168 to 420 μ g daily vs placebo and inhaled BDP 168 to 420 μ g daily Short acting β_2 - agonists were allowed as needed.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Children ages 6 to 12 years of age with moderate to severe allergic asthma requiring daily ICS, asthma duration \geq 1 year, positive responses on skin prick testing to \geq 1 allergen, total serum IgE \geq 30 to \leq 1,300 IU/mL, body weight <90 kg, FEV ₁ reversibility of \geq 12% within 30 minutes after administration of albuterol, baseline FEV ₁ \geq 60% of predicted value, mean total daily symptom score \geq 3 and \leq 9, treatment with 168 to 420 µg/day of BDP or its equivalent ICS for \geq 3 months, stable asthma	N=334 28 weeks (16 weeks of steroid stable phase, followed by 8 weeks of steroid reduction phase, 4 weeks of steroid maintenance)	of life, safety Primary: Median reduction in BDP or discontinuation, asthma exacerbations, adverse events, pulmonary function tests, global evaluation of treatment effectiveness Secondary: Not reported	 (P<0.01). The incidence of adverse events was similar between omalizumab and placebo groups (76.2 vs 82.5%, respectively). Primary: More patients in the omalizumab group were able to decrease BDP dose from baseline (P=0.002), with a median reduction in BDP dose of 100% in the omalizumab group compared to 67% in the placebo group (P=0.001). Additionally, 55% of patients in the omalizumab group were able to discontinue BDP use compared to 39% of patients in the placebo group (P=0.004). Fewer patients treated with omalizumab required an urgent, unscheduled physician visit (13 vs 30%; P=0.001); experienced a decrease in morning PEF rate (7 vs 17%; P=0.002); and awakened on two or three successive nights requiring rescue medication (12 vs 21%; P=0.002). Both patients and investigators favored omalizumab over placebo in the GETE (P<0.001). Patients treated with omalizumab missed fewer school days than did those in the placebo group (0.7 vs 1.2 days; P=0.04). Fewer unscheduled medical contacts for asthma-related medication problems were needed for the omalizumab-treated group compared to placebo (0.2 vs 5.4; P=0.001). Adverse events reported more frequently in omalizumab-treated patients (≥1% more frequent) included headache, pharyngitis, viral infection, and fever. Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ädelroth et al. ¹⁹ (2000) Omalizumab 300 mg SC every four weeks (IgE≤150 IU/mL) or every three weeks (IgE>150 IU/mL) vs placebo Leukotriene receptor antagonist, 5- lipoxygenase inhibitor, or oral, nasal, or	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 17 to 66 years of age with moderate to severe birch pollen- induced allergic rhinitis	Duration N=251 8 weeks	Primary: Average daily nasal symptom severity score Secondary: Average daily ocular symptom severity score, average daily number of tablets of rescue antihistamines, proportion of days on which	Primary: The average daily nasal symptom severity score in the omalizumab group was similar in the beginning and the end of the eight-week treatment period, with mean values of 0.71 ± 0.05 (±SE) and 0.70 ± 0.04 , respectively. In the placebo group it increased from 0.78 ± 0.07 at baseline to 0.98 ± 0.05 overall on treatment (difference in LSM, -0.23 ; P< 0.001). Secondary: The average daily ocular symptom severity score was lower in the omalizumab group compared to placebo (difference in LSM, -0.09 ; P= 0.031). The average number of tablets of rescue antihistamines taken/day was lower in the omalizumab group than in the placebo group (0.59 vs 1.37 ; difference in LSM, -0.78 tablets/day; P < 0.001).
intramuscular corticosteroids use was prohibited.			any seasonal allergic rhinitis medication was taken, quality of life scores, subjects' overall evaluation of treatment efficacy	The proportion of days on which any seasonal allergic rhinitis medication was taken was lower in the omalizumab group than placebo (28 vs 49%; difference in LSM, –0.21; P<0.001). Statistically significant differences in favor of omalizumab were observed in each of the seven domains of the RQLQ and in the total RQLQ score. Subjects' overall evaluation of treatment effectiveness favored omalizumab over placebo (P=0.001).
Schumann et al. ³⁰ XCLUSIVE study (2012) Omalizumab SC every two to four weeks (total dose calculated based on baseline	MC, OL, PM, PRO Patients with inadequately controlled severe asthma who were eligible for anti- IgE therapy	N=195 6 months	Primary: Disease- related changes, compliance and utilization of omalizumab	Primary: The absolute and percent predicted values of FEV ₁ were improved following a 16-week treatment period. The FEV ₁ increased from 2.05 L±0.77 L to 2.31 L±0.84 L or 63.6±18.3% to 73.7±20.3%, representing a total difference of 270 mL or an increase of 10.1% predicted, respectively (P<0.05). The exacerbation rate at baseline decreased significantly from





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
serum IgE and body weight)			Secondary: Not reported	3.99±6.49 to 1.0±18.87 (P<0.0001) after 16 weeks of treatment. A relative reduction in the exacerbation rate of 74.9% was achieved.
				In terms of absenteeism, missed work/school days could be significantly reduced from 6.21±8.08 to 0.49±1.34 (P<0.001) following 16 weeks of omalizumab treatment.
				During treatment with omalizumab, the ACQ score significantly decreased from 3.58±1.28 to 2.01±1.05 after 16 weeks (-43.7%) and to 1.92±1.13 after the six month treatment period (-46.3%) (P<0.0001 for both).
				Per the GETE, after 16 weeks of omalizumab therapy, the effectiveness was considered good of excellent in 119/151 cases (78.8%), as moderate in 19/151 cases (12.6%) and as poor/worsening in 13/151 cases (8.6%), respectively.
				Asthma medications were adjusted in 103 (52.8%) of patients over the 16 week treatment period. Theophylline (47.7 vs 39%), oral corticosteroids (57.4 vs 32.8%) and leukotriene antagonists (54.4 vs 41.5%) could be reduced over the course of the study; however, high-dose ICS, long-acting beta agonists and fixed-dose combinations of both remained mostly unchanged.
				Improvements in symptoms of concomitant allergic disorders were observed, including allergic rhinitis (91.2%), atopic eczema (68.2%) and urticaria (66.7%) after six months.
				The mean monthly dose of omalizumab was 398.9 mg. Incorrect doses were received by 40% of patients when referenced to the dosing table in the package insert. Of these, 16.9% were under-dosed seven 3.6% were overdosed. Treatment was discontinued in 18.5% of patients, with 10.3% discontinuing at the control visit after 16 weeks and 8.2% discontinuing at the final visit after six months. Lack of efficacy was the most common reason for discontinuation. Of patients who discontinued





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				33% were assigned to wrong schedules or were under-dosed. Secondary: Not reported
Vs placebo	MA Patients with allergic asthma.	N=2,056 Duration varied	AQLQ Secondary: Not reported	Significant improvements in AQLQ scores favored omalizumab compared to placebo in the two largest trials included (008 and 009) in which mean score differences between treatment and placebo groups exceeded 0.20 to 0.30 point for AQLQ overall and subscale scores (with the exception of environmental stimuli in trial 009). No significant differences in AQLQ scores were observed between treatment groups in trials 010 and 011 for the steroid-stabilization phase.
				The largest effect size for the steroid-stabilization phase was observed in trial 008, in which AQLQ overall, activities and symptoms scores had effect sizes of ≥1 for omalizumab. Effect sizes for AQLQ scores were higher among omalizumab patients compared to placebo.
				For the steroid-reduction phase, mean within-group changes in AQLQ scores were larger at the end of the phase compared to the previous phase. All mean score differences were significant and all differences favored omalizumab, with more than half of AQLQ score differences of ≥ 0.3 . A greater proportion of patients treated with omalizumab achieved a ≥ 1.0 or ≥ 1.5 score change between baseline and the end of the steroid-reduction phase.
				With the exception of study 010, treatment with omalizumab resulted in greater improvements in AQLA overall scores at the end of the extension phase compared to placebo.
				Across all studies and all phases of the included trials, treatment with omalizumab is more effective than placebo at improving AQLQ overall scores by ≥ 0.5 (OR, 1.35; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.64; P=0.003) and by ≥ 1.5 (OR, 1.80; 95% CI, 1.36 to 2.38; P<0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Chipps et al. ³² (2006) Omalizumab plus current asthma therapy vs current asthma therapy vs placebo plus current asthma therapy	Pooled analysis Patients with severe persistent allergic (IgE mediated) asthma	N=2,548 Duration varied	Primary: Change from baseline in AQLQ total score Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Significantly greater improvements in quality of life were observed in the omalizumab treatment group compared to placebo across all studies. The pooled change from baseline in total AQLQ score was 1.01 for the omalizumab group and 0.61 for the control group (P<0.001). Treatment with omalizumab resulted in a greater proportion of patients achieving a clinically meaningful (≥0.5-point) improvement in quality of life compared to control in each individual study. For the pooled population, significantly more patients in the omalizumab group achieved a clinically meaningful improvement in quality of life compared to the control group (66.3 and 52.4%; P<0.0001). In addition, patients receiving omalizumab were more likely to have moderate or large improvements (≥1.0 or 1.5 points) in AQLQ scores compared to control patients in each individual study and in the pooled analysis. Patients treated with omalizumab were also more likely to have clinically meaningful, moderate or large improvements in each of the individual domains of the AQLQ. Secondary:
Normansell et al. ³³ (2013) Omalizumab vs placebo	MA (25 RCT) Patients with allergic asthma	N=6,382 Duration varied	Primary: Asthma exacerbations, hospitalization, concomitant asthma medication use Secondary: Not reported	 Not reported Primary: In patients with moderate to severe asthma receiving background ICS therapy, a significant advantage favored omalizumab with regard to experiencing an asthma exacerbation (OR, 0.55; 95% Cl, 0.42 to 0.60; 10 studies, 3,261 patients). There was an absolute reduction from 26% for patients suffering an exacerbation on placebo to 16% on omalizumab therapy over 16 to 60 weeks. A significant benefit was observed for omalizumab vs placebo with regard to reducing hospitalizations (OR, 0.16; 95% Cl, 0.06 to 0.42; four studies, 1,824 patients), representing an absolute risk reduction from 3% with placebo to 0.5% with omalizumab therapy over 28 to 60 weeks.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Patients treated with omalizumab were significantly more likely to be able to withdraw with ICS completely compared to placebo (OR, 2.5; 95% CI, 2.00 to 3.13). A small but statistically significant reduction in daily inhaled steroid dose was reported for omalizumab-treated patients compared to placebo (weighted mean difference, -118 mcg BDP equivalent per day; 95% CI, -154 to -84). No difference was observed in the proportion of patients who were able to withdraw oral corticosteroid therapy. Patients treated with omalizumab as adjunct to corticosteroids required a small but significant reduction in rescue β_2 agonist therapy compared to placebo (mean difference, -0.39 puffs per day; 95% CI, -0.55 to - 0.24; nine studies, 3,524 patients).
				Significantly fewer serious adverse events were reported in patients receiving omalizumab compared to placebo (OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.91; 15 studies, 5,713 patients), but more injection site reactions were observed with omalizumab.
				Secondary: Not reported
Chronic idiopathic urti	icaria			
Maurer et al. ¹⁵ ASTERIA II (2013)	DB, MC, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years	N=323 28 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline in a	Primary: At week 12, the mean change from baseline in the weekly itch-severity score was -5.1±5.6 in the placebo group, -5.9±6.5 in the 75 mg group
Omalizumab 75 mg SC every four weeks for three doses	of age with moderate to severe chronic idiopathic urticaria who remained symptomatic		weekly itch- severity score Secondary:	(P=0.46), -8.1±6.4 in the 150 mg group (P=0.001) and -9.8±6.0 in the 300 mg group (P<0.001). The reductions from baseline in mean weekly itch-severity scores were dose-responsive with all three omalizumab doses and were better than placebo at the time points before week 12.
VS	despite histamine ₁ antihistamine therapy		Changes from baseline in the UAS7 and in	After 12 weeks, the mean weekly itch-severity scores for all omalizumab groups increased to reach values similar to those in the
SC every four weeks			the score for the weekly	follow-up.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
for three doses vs omalizumab 300 mg SC every four weeks for three doses vs placebo	Domographico	Duration	number of hives, time until reduction from baseline of \geq 5 points in the weekly itch- severity score, proportions of patients with a UAS7 of \leq 6, number of patients with a weekly minimally important difference response in itch-severity score, score for size of largest	Secondary: There was a significant difference between the omalizumab 150 and 300 mg groups compared to placebo in terms of all prespecified secondary endpoints except for the difference in the number of angioedema-free days from week four to 12, which reached significance in the omalizumab 300 mg group, only. The weekly score for the number of hives decreased with all three doses of omalizumab to a greater extent than placebo, with the largest difference being with the 300 mg dose. After 12 weeks, the mean weekly score for the number of hives for all omalizumab groups increased to reach values similar to those in the placebo group and did not return to baseline values for the duration of follow-up.
			hive, overall score on the Dermatology Life Quality Index, proportion of angioedema- free days from week four to 12	
Kaplan et al. ¹⁶ GLACIAL (2013) Omalizumab 300 mg SC every four weeks	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 12 to 75 years of age with chronic idiopathic urticaria or chronic spontaneous	N=336 24 weeks	Primary: Safety, change from baseline in mean weekly itch-severity score at week	Primary: The incidence and severity of adverse events and serious adverse events were similar between omalizumab and placebo groups. Serious adverse events were reported by 7.1 and 6.0% of patients treated with omalizumab and placebo, respectively; however, no serious adverse events were suspected to have been caused by the study drug.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
for six doses	urticaria who remained		12, changes	
	symptomatic despite		from baseline	The mean change from baseline in weekly itch-severity score at week
VS	treatment with		in UAS7,	12 was significantly improved in the omalizumab group compared to
	histamine ₁		weekly number	placebo (-8.6 vs -4.0; P<0.001). This difference was sustained at week
placebo	antihistamines at up to		of hives score,	24 (-8.6 vs -4.0; LSM difference, -4.5; 95% CI, -6.1 to -3.0; P<0.001).
	four-times the		weekly size of	After week 24 and until week 40, the mean weekly itch-severity scores
	approved dose plus		largest hive	in the omalizumab group gradually increased to values similar to those
	histamine ₂		score, health-	in the placebo group but did not return to baseline values.
	antinistamines,		related quality	
	leukotriene receptor		of life,	Significant improvements were observed for all additional efficacy
	antagonists of both		proportion of	areater properties of patients in the amalizumeth group were completely
				itch, and hive free (LIASZ=0) at week 12 compared to pleache (24 ve
			$0A37501 \ge 0$,	5% $P < 0.001$
			nationts with	570, 1 × 0.001).
			change from	The significant improvements in the additional efficacy endpoints were
			baseline in	maintained at week 24 ⁻ however, after discontinuation of omalizumab
			mean itch-	improvements decreased such that values were similar to placebo by
			severity score	week 40
			of ≥5	
			proportion of	Treatment with omalizumab was effective, regardless of the
			angioedema-	combination of protocol-approved concomitant urticaria medications.
			free days from	
			weeks 4 to 12,	
			proportion of	
			patients with	
			UAS7=0 at	
			week 12	

Drug regimen abbreviations: IV=intravenous, SC=subcutaneous

Study abbreviations: DB=double-blind, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OBS=observational, OL=open-label, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, PM=post-marketing, PRO=prospective, RCT=randomized controlled trial

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ACQ=asthma control questionnaire, ACT=asthma control test, AQLQ=Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, FEV1=forced expiratory volume in 1 second, GETE=Global Evaluation of Treatment Effectiveness, ICS=inhaled corticosteroids, IgE=immunoglobulin E, IU=international units, LSM=least square mean, OR=odds ratio, PEF=peak expiratory flow, RQLQ=rhinoconjunctivitis-specific quality of life questionnaire, SE=standard error, UAS7=urticaria activity score during a 7-day period





Special Populations

Table 5. Special Populations¹

Gaparia	Population and Precaution							
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk			
Omalizumab	Clinical trials did not include enough elderly patients to evaluate differences	Renal dosage adjustment not required.	Hepatic dosage adjustment not required.	B	Unknown; use with caution.			
	in safety or efficacy between elderly and younger adult patients.	Not studied in renal dysfunction.	Not studied in hepatic dysfunction.					
	Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been established.							
	Risk-benefit assessment does not support the use in patients six to <12 years of age.							

Adverse Drug Events

Table 6. Adverse Drug Events¹

Adverse Event	Omalizumab
Arm pain	2*
Arthralgia	8.0*, 2.9 [†]
Cough	1.1 to 2.2 [†]
Dermatitis	2*
Dizziness	3*
Earache	2*
Fatigue	3*
Fracture	2*
Headache	15.0*, 6.1 to 12.0 [†]
Injection site reaction	45.0*, 0.6 to 2.7 [†]
Leg pain	4*
Nasopharyngitis	6.6 to 9.1 [†]
Nausea	1.1 to 2.7 [†]
Pain	7*
Pharyngitis	11*
Pruritus	2*
Sinusitis	16.0*, 1.1 to 4.9 [†]
Upper respiratory tract infection	20.0*, 1.1 to 3.4 [†]
Viral infection	23*
Viral upper respiratory tract infection	0.5 to 2.3 [†]

*Asthma.

† Chronic idiopathic urticaria.



Page 20 of 34 Copyright 2015 • Review Completed on 03/02/2015



Contraindications/Precaution

Table 7. Contraindications¹

Contraindication(s)	Omalizumab
Hypersensitivity	~

Table 8. Warnings and Precautions¹

Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Omalizumab
Anaphylaxis has been reported after administration of omalizumab. Administer omalizumab in a health care setting by health care providers prepared manage life-	K
threatening anaphylaxis.	
Avoid abrupt discontinuation of systemic or inhaled corticosteroids upon initiation of omalizumab therapy for allergic asthma. Corticosteroids should be decrease gradually under the direct supervision of a physician.	>
Malignant neoplasms have been observed in omalizumab-treated patients. The impact of longer exposure or use in patients at increased risk for malignancy (e.g., elderly, current smokers) is not known.	>
Patients at high risk of geohelminth infection should be monitored while on omalizumab therapy.	>
Patients with asthma may present with serious systemic eosinophilia sometimes presenting with clinical features of vasculitis consistent with Churg-Strauss syndrome. These events are usually associated with the reduction of oral corticosteroid therapy. Physicians should be alert to eosinophilia, vasculitic rash, worsening pulmonary symptoms, cardiac complications and/or neuropathy presenting in their patients.	>
Omalizumab is not intended for the treatment of acute asthma exacerbations. Do not use omalizumab to treat acute bronchospasm or status asthmaticus.	>
Serum total immunoglobulin E levels increase following omalizumab administration and may persist for up to one year following discontinuation. Do not use serum total immunoglobulin E levels obtained <1 year following discontinuation to reassess the dosing regimen for patients with allergic asthma.	>
Symptoms including arthritis/arthralgia, rash, fever and lymphadenopathy have been reported one to five days after the first or subsequent injections of omalizumab. Symptoms recur with additional doses and are similar to symptoms observed in patients with serum sickness. If these symptoms develop, omalizumab should be discontinued.	>

Black Box Warning for Xolair[®]

WARNING

Anaphylaxis presenting as bronchospasm, hypotension, syncope, urticaria, and/or angioedema of the throat or tongue, has been reported to occur after administration of Xolair[®]. Anaphylaxis has occurred as early as after the first dose of Xolair[®], but also has occurred beyond one year after beginning regularly administered treatment. Because of the risk of anaphylaxis, observe patients closely for an appropriate period of time after Xolair[®] administration. Health care providers administering Xolair[®] should be prepared to manage anaphylaxis that can be life-threatening. Inform patients of the signs and symptoms of anaphylaxis and instruct them to seek immediate medical care should symptoms occur.

Drug Interactions¹

No formal drug interaction studies have been performed with omalizumab. The concomitant use of omalizumab and allergen immunotherapy has not been evaluated.



Page 21 of 34 Copyright 2015 • Review Completed on 03/02/2015



Dosage and Administration

Table 9. Dosing and Administration¹

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Omalizumab	Treatment of moderate to severe persistent	Safety and	Injection, single-
	asthma in patients ≥12 years old who have	efficacy in	use vial containing
	a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a	children <12	powder for
	perennial aeroallergen and whose	years of age	reconstitution:
	symptoms are inadequately controlled with	have not been	150 mg/5 mL
	inhaled corticosteroids:	established.	
	Injection: 150 to 375 mg subcutaneous		
	every two or four weeks (see Table 9 below)		
	Treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria in		
	patients ≥12 years old who remain		
	symptomatic despite histamine ₁		
	antihistamine treatment:		
	Injection: 150 or 300 mg subcutaneous		
	every four weeks		

Table 8. Omalizumab Dosing for Asthma by Immunoglobulin E Level and Body Weight¹

Pre-treatment Serum	Body Weight (kg)				
Immunoglobulin E (IU/mL)	30 to 60	>60 to 70	>70 to 90	>90 to 150	
≥30 to 100	150 mg	150 mg	150 mg	300 mg	
>100 to 200	300 mg	300 mg	300 mg	225 mg	
>200 to 300	300 mg	225 mg	225 mg	300 mg	
>300 to 400	225 mg	225 mg	300 mg		
>400 to 500	300 mg	300 mg	375 mg		
>500 to 600	300 mg	375 mg	DO NOT	DOSE	
>600 to 700	375 mg		-		
Every 2 weeks dosing					
Every 4 weeks dosing					

Clinical Guidelines

Table 7. Clinical Guidelines

Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/National Asthma Education and Prevention Program: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma (2007) ¹¹	 <u>Diagnosis</u> To establish a diagnosis of asthma, a clinician must determine the presence of episodic symptoms or airflow obstruction, partially reversible airflow obstruction and alternative diagnoses must be excluded. The recommended methods to establish a diagnosis are a detailed medical history, physical exam focusing on the upper respiratory tract, spirometry to demonstrate obstruction and assess reversibility and additional studies to exclude alternative diagnoses. A diagnosis of asthma should be considered if any of the following indicators are present: wheezing, history of cough, recurrent wheeze, difficulty breathing or chest tightness, symptoms that occur or worsen with exercise or viral infections and symptoms that occur or worsen at night. Spirometry is needed to establish a diagnosis of asthma. Additional studies such as additional pulmonary function tests,





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	bronchoprovocation, chest x-ray, allergy testing and biomarkers of inflammation may be useful when considering alternative diagnoses.
	 Treatment Pharmacologic therapy is used to prevent and control asthma symptoms, improve quality of life, reduce the frequency and severity of asthma exacerbations and reverse airflow obstruction. The initial treatment of asthma should correspond to the appropriate asthma severity category. Long-term control medications such as inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs), long-acting bronchodilators, leukotriene modifiers, cromolyn, theophylline and
	 immunomodulators should be taken daily on a long-term basis to achieve and maintain control of persistent asthma. Quick-relief medications are used to provide prompt relief of bronchoconstriction and accompanying acute symptoms such as cough, chest tightness and wheezing. Quick relief medications include short-acting β₂-adrenergic agonists
	(SABAs), anticholinergics and systemic corticosteroids.
	 Long-term control medications ICSs are the most potent and consistently effective long-term control medication for asthma in patients of all ages. Short courses of oral systemic corticosteroids may be used to gain prompt
	 control when initiating long-term therapy and chronic administration is only used for the most severe, difficult-to-control asthma. When patients ≥12 years of age require more than low-dose ICSs, the addition of a long-acting β₂-adrenergic agonists (LABAs) is recommended. Alternative, but not preferred, adjunctive therapies include leukotriene receptor antagonists, theophylline, or in adults, zileuton.
	• Mast cell stabilizers (cromolyn and nedocromil) are used as alternatives for the treatment of mild persistent asthma. They can also be used as preventative treatment prior to exercise or unavoidable exposure to known allergens.
	 Omalizumab, an immunomodulator, is used as adjunctive therapy in patients 12 years and older who have allergies and severe persistent asthma that is not adequately controlled with the combination of high-dose ICS and LABA therapy.
	 Leukotriene receptor antagonists (montelukast and zafirlukast) are alternative therapies for the treatment of mild persistent asthma. LABAs (formoterol and salmeterol) are not to be used as monotherapy for
	 long-term control of persistent asthma. LABAs should continue to be considered for adjunctive therapy in patients five years of age or older who have asthma that require more than low-dose ICSs. For patients inadequately controlled on low-dose ICSs, the option to increase the ICS should be given equal weight to the addition of a LABA.
	 Methylxanthines, such as sustained-release theophylline, may be used as an alternative treatment for mild persistent asthma. Tiotropium bromide is a long-acting inhaled anticholinergic indicated once- daily for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and has not been studied in the long-term management of asthma.
	Quick-relief medications





Clinical Guidalinas			Pacamm	ondations		
Cillical Guidelines		are the there	ny of choice fo	r roliof of pout	o symptoms	and
		tion of evercis	py of choice to	r relier of acule	symptoms	anu
	There	is inconsistent	data regarding	n the efficacy c	of levalbuterc	
	to albu	terol. Some st	udies sunnest	an improved e	fficacy while	other studies
	fail to c	letect any adv	antage of leval	lbuterol	modely wine	
	Antiche	olineraics may	be used as an	alternative bro	onchodilator	for natients
	who do) not tolerate S	SABAs and pro	vide additive b	enefit to SAI	BAs in
	moder	ate-to-severe	asthma exacer	bations.		
	 System 	nic corticoster	oids are used f	or moderate a	nd severe ex	acerbations
	as adiu	unct to SABAs	to speed recov	verv and preve	ent recurrenc	e of
	exacerbations.					
	The us	e of LABAs is	not recommen	nded to treat ac	cute symptor	ns or
	exacer	bations of astl	hma.		5 1	
	Assessmer	<u>nt, treatment a</u>	and monitoring			
	A step	wise approach	n to managing a	asthma is reco	mmended to	gain and
	mainta	in control of as	sthma.			
	 Regula 	arly scheduled	, daily, chronic	use of a SABA	A is not recor	nmended.
	Increas	sed SABA use	or SABA use	more than two	days a weel	k for symptom
	relief g	enerally indica	ates inadequate	e asthma contr	ol.	
	The ste	epwise approa	ach for managir	ng asthma is o	utlined belov	V:
	Inter-		Dereistent	Acthman Daily M	adioation	
	Asthma		Persistent	Astrina: Daily Me	edication	
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred Medium deep	Preferred	Preferred
	needed	Low-dose ICS	ICS+LABA or	ICS+LABA	ICS+ LABA	ICS+LABA+
		Alternative	medium-dose		and	oral steroid
		Cromolyn,	ICS	Alternative Medium dese	consider	and consider
		receptor	Alternative	ICS+either a	mab for	for patients
		antagonists,	Low-dose	leukotriene	patients	who have
		nedocromil,	ICS+either a	receptor	who have	allergies
		or	recentor	theophylline	allergies	
		arcophymne	antagonists,	or zileuton		
			theophylline,			
		<u> </u>	or zileuton			
	Manageme	ont of exacerb	ations			
	Appror	priate intensific	cation of theran	w hy increasin	a inhaled SA	BAs and in
	some	cases adding	a short course	of oral system	ic corticoste	roids is
	recom	mended.		or or ar offeterin		
	Special por	pulations				
	For exe	ercise induced	l bronchospasr	n, pretreatmer	nt before exe	rcise with
	either a	a SABA or LAI	BA is recomme	nded. Leukotr	iene recepto	r antagonists
	may al	so attenuate e	exercise induce	d bronchospas	sm, and mas	st cell
	stabiliz	ers can be tak	ken shortly befo	ore exercise as	s an alternati	ve treatment
	for pre	vention; howe	ver, they are no	ot as effective	as SABAs. T	he addition
	of crom	nolyn to a SAE	BA is helpful in	some individua	als who have	exercise
	induce	d bronchospa:	sm.			
	Consid	leration of the	risk for specific	c complications	s must be giv	/en to
	patient	s who have as	sthma who are	undergoing su	irgery.	

Albuterol is the preferred SABA in pregnant women because of an excellent





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	 safety profile. ICSs are the preferred treatment for long-term control medication in
	pregnant women. Specifically, budesonide is the preferred ICS as more data is available on using budesonide in pregnant women than other ICSs
Global Initiative for	Treatment
Asthma:	Education should be an integral part of all interactions between health care
Global Strategy for	professionals and patients, and is relevant to asthma patients of all ages.
Asthma	• Measures to prevent the development of asthma, asthma symptoms, and
Management and	asthma exacerbations by avoiding or reducing exposure to risk factors
Prevention	should be implemented whenever possible.
(2011)' ²	 Controller medications are administered daily on a long-term basis and include inhaled and systemic glucocorticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers, LABAs in combination with inhaled glucocorticosteroids, sustained-released theophylline, cromones, and anti-immunoglobulin E (IgE). Reliever medications are administered on an as-needed basis to reverse bronchoconstriction and relieve symptoms and include rapid-acting inhaled ß₂-agonists, inhaled anticholinergics, short-acting theophylline, and SABAs.
	Controller medications
	• Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are currently the most effective anti- inflammatory medications for the treatment of persistent asthma for patients of all ages.
	 Inhaled glucocorticosteroids differ in potency and bioavailability, but few studies have been able to confirm the clinical relevance of these differences.
	• To reach clinical control, add-on therapy with another class of controller is preferred over increasing the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
	 Leukotriene modifiers are generally less effective than inhaled glucocorticosteroids therefore may be used as an alternative treatment in patients with mild persistent asthma.
	• Some patients with aspirin-sensitive asthma respond well to leukotriene modifiers.
	• Leukotriene modifiers used as add-on therapy may reduce the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids required by patients with moderate to severe asthma, and may improve asthma control in adult patients whose asthma is not controlled with low or high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
	Several studies have demonstrated that leukotriene modifiers are less effective than LABAs as add-on therapy.
	 LABAs should not be used as monotherapy in patients with asthma as these medications do not appear to influence asthma airway inflammation.
	• When a medium dose of an inhaled glucocorticosteroid fails to achieve control, the addition of a LABA is the preferred treatment.
	 Controlled studies have shown that delivering a LABA and an inhaled glucocorticosteroid in a combination inhaler is as effective as giving each drug separately. Fixed combination inhalers are more convenient, may increase compliance, and ensure that the LABA is always accompanied by a glucocorticosteroid.
	 Although the guideline indicates that combination inhalers containing formoterol and budesonide may be used for both rescue and maintenance, this use is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Theophylline as add-on therapy is less effective than LABAs but may provide benefit in patients who do not achieve control on inhaled
L	ין איטעיטב טבוובווג ווו אמנובווגס אווט עט ווטג מכווובעל כטוונוטו טוו וווומובע





Clinical Guidelines			Recommendations	5	
	glucoco	ticosteroids a	one.		
	Cromoly glucocol	n and nedocro ticosteroid.	omil are less effective th	nan a low dose o	f an inhaled
	Oral LAI broncho	BA therapy is dilation is nee	used only on rare occas ded.	sions when addit	ional
	Anti-IgE serum le	treatment with	n omalizumab is limited	to patients with	elevated
	Long-ter	m oral glucoc	orticosteroid therapy ma	ay be required fo	r severely
	 Other ar asthma. 	nti-allergic con	pounds have limited of	fect in the manage	gement of
	Reliever me	dications			
	Rapid-a of bronc	cting inhaled β hospasm duri	² -agonists are the med ng acute exacerbations	ications of choic and for the pretr	e for the relief eatment of
	 Rapid-a basis at 	the lowest do	β_2 -agonists should be used and frequency require	sed only on an a	s-needed
	 Although 	the guideline	s states that formoterol	, a LABA, is app	roved for
	be used inhaled	for this purposi glucocorticoste	se in patients on regula eroids, the use of this a	r controller thera gent as a rescue	py with inhaler is not
	 Ipratropium bromide, an inhaled anticholinergic, is a less effective reliever mediaation in eathma than ranid entirg inhaled 8 accepted 				
	 Short-acting theophylline may be considered for relief of asthma symptoms 				
	• Short-acting oral β_2 -agonists (tablets, solution, etc.) are appropriate for use				
	in patier	its who are un	able to use inhaled me	dication however	they are
	 Systemi acute ex 	c glucocortico acerbations.	steroids are important in	n the treatment c	of severe
	Assessment, treatment, and monitoring				
	• The goa	l of asthma tre	eatment is to achieve ar	nd maintain clinic	al control.
	I o aid in	i clinical mana	gement, a classification	of asthma by le	vel of control
	Treatment should be adjusted in a continuous cycle driven by the nationt's				
	 Treatment should be adjusted in a continuous cycle driven by the patient's asthma control status and treatment should be stepped up until control is 				
	achieve	d. When contr	ol is maintained for at le	east three month	s, treatment
	can be s	tepped down.			
	Increase	ed use, especi	ally daily use, of relieve	r medication is a	warning of
	deteriora	ation of asthma	a control and indicates	the need to reas	sess
	The mail	n. Nagement ann	roach based on control	is outlined below	v.
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5
		Asthr	na education and environmer	ntal control	
		Select one	His meeded rapid-acting β_2 -ag Select one	Add one or more	Add one or
				Medium- or high-	both
	Controller	Low-dose	Low-dose inhaled	dose inhaled	Oral Gluco-
	options	corticosteroid	+LABA	glucocortico- steroid + LABA	corticosteroid
		Leukotriene	Medium- or high-dose	Leukotriene	Anti-IgE





Clinical Guidelines			Recommendations	5	
		modifier	inhaled	modifier	treatment
			glucocorticosteroid		
			Low-dose inhaled		
		-	+leukotriene modifier	-	-
			Low-dose inhaled		
		-	glucocorticosteroid	-	-
			+sustained-release		
			theophynnie		
	Managemer	nt of exacerbat	ions		
	Repeate	ed administrati	on of rapid-acting inhale	ed β ₂ -agonists is	the best
	method	of achieving re	elief for mile to moderate	e exacerbations.	
	 Systemi 	c alucocortico	steroids should be cons	idered if the pati	ent does not
	immedia	ately respond t	o rapid-acting inhaled B	2-agonists or if t	he episode is
	severe			2 agemete et il t	
National Institute for	Omalizi	mab is recom	mended as an option fo	r the treatment o	of severe
Health and Clinical	persiste	nt allergic asth	ima as add-on therapy t	o optimized star	dard therapy.
Excellence:	only in a	dults and ado	lescents (12 years and	older) who have	been
Omalizumab for	identifie	d as having se	evere unstable disease.	,	
Severe Persistent	Optimiz	ed standard th	erapy includes high-dos	e ICS and LABA	A in addition
Allergic Asthma	to leuko	triene modifier	s, theophyllines, oral co	orticosteroids and	d β ₂ -agonists
(2010) ¹³	tablets a	and smoking c	essation where clinically	/ appropriate.	-
	 Omalizu 	imab add-on tl	nerapy should only be ir	nitiated if the pat	ient fulfils the
	following	g criteria of se	vere unstable allergic as	sthma:	
	0	Confirmation of	of IgE mediated allergy	to a perennial al	lergen by
		clinical history	and allergy skin testing	l.	
	0	Unstable dise	ase (either two or more	severe exacerba	ations of
		asthma requir	ing hospital admission v	vithin the previou	us year, or
		three or more	severe exacerbations o	f asthma within	the previous
		year, at least (one of which required a	amission to nosp	oital, and a
		nationt's usua	lich required treatment of	of monitoring in e	
	- Omoli z i	patient's usua	r regimen, in an accider	d and manifered	yumi).
			Lin both alloray and rose	oiratory modicing	a by a
	speciali	at center	i ili botti allergy allu tes	piratory medicine	5 11 a
	Omalizi	imah add_on ti	herany should be discor	tinued at 16 we	eks in
		who have not	shown an adequate res	nonse to theran	V Response
	to treatr	nent should be	e defined on the basis of	f a full clinical as	sessment
	compris	ina: dearee of	asthma control, quality	of life, control of	00001110111
	exacerb	ations, avoida	nce of unscheduled hea	althcare utilizatio	n: spirometry
	and pea	k expiratory flo	ow measures and a glob	oal evaluation of	treatment
	effective	eness, as asse	essed by the physician.		
	 Cost-eff 	ective treatme	nt with omalizumab is p	ossible for a nar	rowly defined
	severely	affected grou	p of asthma patients, at	an elevated risk	of asthma-
	related	nortality, if the	rapy was discontinued i	n non-responde	rs at 16
	weeks a	ind if vial wast	age could be minimized	to reduce costs	
National Institute for	In the U	nited Kingdom	, omalizumab is approv	ed as an add-on	therapy to
Health and Clinical	improve	control of pati	ents at six years and old	der with severe p	persistent
Excellence:	allergic	asthma despite	e daily high-dose ICS ar	nd LABA.	
Omalizumab for	Effective	eness of treatn	nent should be assesse	d at 16 weeks af	ter the start of
I reatment of	therapy	before admini	stering further injections	, and the decision	on to continue
Severe Persistent	omalizu	mab should be	e based on whether a m	arked improvem	ent in overall
Allergic Asthma in	asthma	control is seer	1		





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
Children Aged Six	Omalizumab is not recommended for the treatment of severe persistent
to 11 years	allergic asthma in children aged six to 11 years for the following reasons:
(2010)'*	 Omalizumab as an add-on to optimized standard care is more
	clinically effective than optimized standard care alone in terms of
	reducing clinically significant exacerbations for children aged six to
	11 years with severe persistent allergic asthma only if they have
	experienced three or more clinically significant exacerbations in the
	previous year.
	o The incremental cost-enectiveness ratio is substantially higher with
	Children ourrently receiving emplizional for the treatment of severe
	 Children currently receiving offail/currab for the entire the durient of severe porsistent allergic asthma should have the entire to continue treatment until
	it is considered appropriate to stop. This decision should be made jointly by
	the clinician and the child and/or the child's parents or caregivers
Allergic Rhinitis and	Diagnosis
its Impact on	The diagnosis of allergic rhinitis is based upon the concordance between
Asthma and the	typical history of allergic symptoms and diagnostic response.
Global Allergy and	• Typical symptoms of allergic rhinitis include rhinorrhea, sneezing, nasal
Asthma European	obstruction and pruritus.
Network:	• Diagnostic tests are based on the demonstration of allergen-specific IgE in
Guideline	the skin or blood.
Revisions	Many asymptomatic patients can have positive skin tests or detectable
(2010)	serum levels of IgE.
	<u>Ireatment</u>
	I ne treatment of allergic minitis should consider the severity and duration of the disease, the netionation preference, so well so the efficiency availability
	of the disease, the patient's preference, as well as the efficacy, availability
	• A stepwise approach depending on the severity and duration of rhightis is
	proposed.
	 Not all patients with moderate/severe allergic rhinitis are controlled despite optimal pharmacotherapy
	 Intranasal glucocorticoids are recommended over oral H1-antibistamines
	for the treatment of allergic rhinitis in adults and children. They are the most
	effective drugs for treating allergic rhinitis. In many patients with strong
	preferences for the oral route, an alternative choice may be reasonable.
	• Second-generation oral or intranasal H1-antihistamines are recommended
	for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and conjunctivitis in adults and children.
	First generation oral H1-antihistamines are not recommended when
	second-generation ones are available, due to safety concerns.
	Intranasal H1-antihistamines are recommended for the treatment of adults
	and children with seasonal allergic rhinitis, but data regarding their relative
	safety and efficacy is limited. Therefore, their use in persistent allergic
	rhinitis is not recommended.
	Intramuscular glucocorticoids and long-term use of oral glucocorticoids are
	Topical cromonos are recommended in the treatment of ellergic rhightic but
	 ropical cromones are recommended in the treatment of allergic minitis but they are only modestly effective.
	 Montelukast is recommended for adults and children with seasonal allergic
	rhinitis and in pre-school children with persistent allergic rhinitis
	Montelukast has limited efficacy in adults with persistent alleroic rhinitis
	Intranasal ipratropium is recommended for the treatment of rhinorrhea





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	 associated with allergic rhinitis. Intranasal decongestants may be used for a short period (<5 days) for patients with severe nasal obstruction. Nasal decongestants should not be used in pre-school aged children. Combination oral decongestants and oral H1-antihistamines may be used for the treatment of allergic rhinitis in adults, but should not be administered regularly due to adverse effects. For patients experiencing ocular symptoms associated with allergic rhinitis intraocular antihistamines or chromones may be considered.
Institute for Clinical	Diagnosis
Systems Improvement: Diagnosis and Treatment of Respiratory Illness in Children and Adults (2013) ³⁶	 Patients can present with any of the following symptoms: congestion, rhinorrhea, pruritus, sneezing, posterior nasal discharge, and sinus pressure/pain. A past medical history of facial trauma or surgery, asthma, rhinitis, atopic dermatitis, or thyroid disease may be suggestive of a rhinitis. In addition, a family history of atopy or other allergy associated conditions make allergic rhinitis more likely. The most common physical findings suggestive of rhinitis tend to be swollen nasal turbinates, rhinorrhea and pruritus however allergic conjunctivitis may also be present. Symptoms suggestive of allergic etiology include sneezing, itching of the nose, palate or eyes, and clear rhinorrhea. Nasal congestion is the most significant complaint in patients with perennial rhinitis. Diagnostic testing should be considered if the results would change management. Skin tests and radioallergosorbent tests identify the presence of IgE antibody to a specific allergen and are used to differentiate allergic from nonallergic rhinitis and to identify specific allergens causing allergic rhinitis. A nasal smear for eosinophils is a good predictor of a patient's response to treatment topical nasal corticosteroids. Peripheral blood eosinophil count total serum IgE level. Binkel method of
	 Frequencies of the second cost of the second seco





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
	Second-generation antihistamines are recommended because they are less
	sedating and cause less central nervous system impairment.
	 Leukotriene inhibitors may be as effective as second-generation
	antihistamines for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and less effective than
	intranasal corticosteroids.
	 Oral decongestants are effective in reducing nasal congestion. Oral
	decongestants can be a useful addition to antinistamines.
	 I opical decongestants, which have the potential to induce rebound congestion offer three days, are effective for the short term relief of page.
	congestion aller three days, are effective for the short-term relief of hasa
	Cromolyn is less effective than intranasal corticosteroids and is most
	effective when used prior to the onset of allergic symptoms.
	 Cromolyn is a good alternative for patients who are not candidates for
	corticosteroids.
	 Intranasal anticholinergics are effective in relieving anterior rhinorrhea in
	allergic and nonallergic rhinitis.
	Reserve immunotherapy for patients with significant allergic rhinitis in which
	avoidance activities and pharmacotherapy are insufficient to control
	symptoms.
	 If adequate relief is achieved appropriate follow-up should include further advection on available on a still live and up align from the store of th
	education on avoidance activities and medications.
	 If patients anticipate unavoidable exposure to known allergens they should begin the use of mediastions prior to exposure.
	 If adequate relief is not achieved within two to four weeks consider a trial of
	another medication, allergen skin testing by a gualified physician, a
	complete nasal examination, or a diagnosis of nonallergic rhinitis.
	 Treatment options for nonallergic rhinitis include azelastine nasal spray.
	intranasal corticosteroids, intranasal cromolyn, oral decongestants and
	antihistamines, topical antihistamines, and nasal strips.
American Academy	• Treatment should be based on the patient's age and severity of symptoms.
of Family	 Intranasal corticosteroids are the most effective treatment and should be
Physicians:	first-line therapy for mild to moderate disease.
I reatment of	Moderate to severe disease not responsive to intranasal corticosteroids
$(2010)^{37}$	should be treated with second-line therapies, including antihistamines,
(2010)	nonpharmacologic therapies (e.g., pasal irrigation)
	 Immunotherapy should be considered in patients with inadequate response
	to usual treatments.
	 Omalizumab has been shown to be effective in reducing nasal symptoms
	and improving quality of life scores in patients with allergic rhinitis. However,
	its high cost (average wholesale price of \$679 to \$3,395/month) and lack of
	FDA approval for home administration are the main limitations to its use.
European Academy	 Non-sedating histamine₁ antihistamines are recommended first-line.
of Allergology and	 If symptoms persist after two weeks of treatment with a histamine
	antinistamine, increasing the dose up to four times is recommended.
	 It symptoms persist after one to four weeks of a high-dose histamine,
Furopean	anunistamine, the audition of a leukotriene antagonist of a change in histomine, antihistomine is recommended. For the treatment of an
Network/European	exacerbation systemic steroids are recommended for three to seven days
Dermatology	 If symptoms persist after one to four weeks of histomine, antihistomine plus
Forum/World Allergy	leukotriene or the alternative histamine₄ antihistamine the addition of
Organization:	cyclosporine A, a histamine ₂ antihistamine, dapsone or omalizumab is





Clinical Guidelines	Recommendations
Management of	recommended. For the treatment of an exacerbation, systemic steroids are
Urticaria	recommended for three to seven days.
(2009)30	
British Association	 Non-specific aggravating factors such as overheating, stress, alcohol and
of Dermatologists:	drugs with the potential to worsen urticaria (e.g., aspirin, codeine) should be
Evaluation and	 All patients should be offered the choice of at least two non sedating
Management of	histamine, antihistamines because responses and tolerance vary between
Urticaria in Adults	individuals.
and Children (2007) ³⁹	 The off-license addition of a histamine₂ antihistamine may sometimes give better control of urticaria than a histamine₁ antihistamine taken along; however, in practice, it may be more helpful for dyspepsia that may accompany severe urticaria.
	• Anti-leukotrienes may be taken in addition to a histamine 1 antihistamine for poorly controlled urticaria but there is little evidence that they are useful as monotherapy.
	• Oral corticosteroids may shorten the duration of acute urticaria (e.g., prednisolone 50 mg daily for three days in adults); however, lower doses are often effective.
	 Parenteral hydrocortisone is often given as adjunct for severe laryngeal edema and anaphylaxis, although its action is delayed.
	• Short tapering courses of oral steroids over three to four weeks may be necessary for urticarial vasculitis and severe delayed pressure urticaria, but long-term oral corticosteroids should not been used in chronic urticaria except in select cases under specialist supervision.
	• Intramuscular epinephrine can be life-saving in anaphylaxis and in severe laryngeal angioedema but should be used with caution in hypertension and ischemic heart disease.
	• Cyclosporine is effective in approximately 66% of patients with severe autoimmune urticaria unresponsive to antihistamines; however, only approximately 25% of responders remained clear or much improved four to five months after initiation.

Conclusions

Immunoglobulin E (IgE) monoclonal antibodies inhibit the binding of IgE to IgE receptors. The mechanism of action of IgE monoclonal antibodies may have utility in the treatment of various allergic conditions. Omalizumab (Xolair[®]) is FDA-approved for the treatment of adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, with moderate to severe persistent asthma, who have a positive skin test or in vitro reactivity to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), as well as for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria refractory to histamine 1 antihistamine therapy.¹

The drug carries a black box warning due to the risk of anaphylaxis. Omalizumab is administered subcutaneously in a physician's office every two to four weeks in a dose that is determined by body weight and the levels of serum IgE for allergic asthma and 150 to 300 mg every four weeks for chronic idiopathic urticaria.¹

Current clinical evidence suggests that treatment with omalizumab is effective in reducing asthma exacerbations and increasing the number of patients who are able to reduce or withdraw their ICS.⁴⁻⁶ In addition, treatment with omalizumab has been shown to improve itch severity in patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria.^{15,16}





Although omalizumab therapy is generally safe, an interim analysis of a five-year long epidemiological study (EXCELS) showed an increased number of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular adverse events in patients receiving omalizumab for the treatment of allergic asthma compared to placebo.¹⁰ In clinical trials omalizumab was also associated with higher frequency of injection site reactions than placebo.⁸

Asthma guidelines recommend omalizumab therapy in patients with severe allergic asthma that is inadequately controlled with a combination of high-dose ICS and long-acting β_2 -agonist.^{11,12} National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines do not recommend omalizumab therapy in children aged six to 11 as it does not provide enough benefit to justify its high cost.¹⁴

Although not all consensus guidelines have been updated to address the place in therapy for omalizumab in the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria, the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization consensus guidelines recommend omalizumab as a treatment option in patients who have failed treatment with two different histamine₁ antihistamines at four-times the labelled dose and combination therapy with a histamine₁ antihistamine in a leukotriene antagonist.^{38,39}





References

- 1. Xolair[®] [package insert]. South San Francisco (CA). Genetech Inc.; 2014 Sep.
- 2. Holt PG, Macaubas C, Stumbles PA, Sly PD. The role of allergy in the development of asthma. Nature. 1999 Nov 25;402(6760 Suppl):B12-7.
- 3. Rambasek TE, Lang DM, Kavuru MS. Omalizumab: where does it fit into current asthma management? Cleve Clin J Med. 2004 Mar;71(3):251-61.
- 4. Busse W, Corren J, Lanier BQ, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, Cioppa GD, et al. Omalizumab, anti-IgE recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of severe allergic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001 Aug;108(2):184-90.
- 5. Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, Buhl R, O'Brien J, Fox H, et al. The anti-IgE antibody omalizumab reduces exacerbations and steroid requirement in allergic asthmatics. Eur Respir J. 2001 Aug;18(2):254-61.
- Holgate ST, Chuchalin AG, Hébert J, Lötvall J, Persson GB, Chung KF, et al. Efficacy and safety of a recombinant anti-immunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab) in severe allergic asthma. Clin Exp Allergy. 2004 Apr;34(4):632-8.
- 7. Holgate S, Bousquet J, Wenzel S, et al. Efficacy of omalizumab, an antiimmunoglobulin E antibody, in patients with allergic asthma at high risk of serious asthma-related morbidity and mortality. Curr Med Res Opin. 2001b;17:233-40.
- 8. Walker S, Monteil M, Phelan K, Lasserson TJ, Walters EH. Anti-IgE for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Apr 19;(2):CD003559.
- Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Efficacy and safety of subcutaneous omalizumab vs placebo as add on therapy to corticosteroids for children and adults with asthma: a systematic review. Chest. 2010 Aug 5.
- Early Communication about an Ongoing Safety Review of Omalizumab (marketed as Xolair) [webpage on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2009 [cited 2011 Jan 21]. Available from:

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/Drug SafetyInformationforHeathcareProfessionals/ucm172218.htm.

- 11. United States Department of Health and Human Services National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma [guideline on the Internet]. NHLBI 2007 [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/asthgdln.pdf.
- Bateman ED, Boulet LP, Cruz AA, FitzGerald M, Haahtela T, Levy ML, et al. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2012 [guideline on the internet].
 2011 Dec. [cited 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.ginasthma.com.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for severe persistent allergic asthma [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Aug [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/TA133Guidance.pdf.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Omalizumab for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma in children aged 6 to 11 years [guideline on the Internet]. London, UK: NICE 2010 Oct [cited 2010 Dec 26]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13256/51345/51345.pdf.
- 15. Maurer M, Rosen K, Hsieh HJ, Saini S, Grattan C, Gimenez-Arnau A, et al. Omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic or spontaneous urticaria. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:924-35.
- 16. Kaplan A, Ledford D, Ashby M, Canvin J, Zazzali JL, Conner E, et al. Omalizumab in patients with symptomatic chronic idiopathic/spontaneous urticaria despite standard combination therapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;132(1):101-9.
- 17. Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
- Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.





- Ädelroth E, Rak S, Haahtela T, Aasand G, Rosenhall L, Zetterstrom O, et al. Recombinant humanized mAb-E25, an anti-IgE mAb, in birch pollen-induced seasonal allergic rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2000 Aug;106(2):253-9.
- Nayak A, Casale T, Miller SD, Condemi J, McAlary M, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Tolerability of retreatment with omalizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal anti-IgE antibody, during a second ragweed pollen season in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2003 Sep-Oct;24(5):323-9.
- 21. Chervinsky P, Casale T, Townley R, Tripathy I, Hedgecock S, Fowler-Taylor A, et al. Omalizumab, an anti-IgE antibody, in the treatment of adults and adolescents with perennial allergic rhinitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):160-7.
- Casale TB, Condemi J, LaForce C, Nayak A, Rowe M, Watrous M, et al. Effect of omalizumab on symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001 Dec 19;286(23):2956-67.
- 23. Micromedex® Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Micromedex; 2014 [Accessed 2014 May 19]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 24. Lanier BQ, Corren J, Lumry W, Liu J, Fowler-Taylor A, Gupta N. Omalizumab is effective in the longterm control of severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003 Aug;91(2):154-9.
- 25. Buhl R, Solèr M, Matz J, Townley R, O'Brien J, Noga O, et al. Omalizumab provides long-term control in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. Eur Respir J. 2002 Jul;20(1):73-8.
- 26. Eisner MD, Zazzali JL, Miller MK, Bradley MS, Schatz M. Longitudinal changes in asthma control with omalizumab: 2-year interim data from the EXCELS study. Asthma. 2012;49(6):642-8.
- 27. Chen H, Eisner MD, Haselkorn T, Trzaskoma B. Concomitant asthma medications in moderate-tosevere allergic asthma treated with omalizumab. Respiratory Medicine. 2013;107:60-7.
- Busse WW, Massanari M, Kianifard F, Geba GP. Effect of omalizumab on the need for rescue systemic corticosteroid treatment in patients with moderate-to-severe persistent IgE-mediated allergic asthma: a pooled analysis. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2007;23(10):2379-86.
- 29. Milgrom H, Berger W, Nayak A, Gupta N, Pollard S, McAlary M, et al. Treatment of childhood asthma with anti-immunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab).Pediatrics. 2001 Aug;108(2):E36.
- 30. Schumann C, Kropf C, Wibmer T, Rüdiger S, Stoiber KM, Thielen A, Rottbauer W and Kroegel C. Omalizumab in patients with severe asthma: the XCLUSIVE study. Clin Respir J. 2012;6:215–227.
- 31. Niebauer K, Dewilde S, Fox-Rushby J, Revicki DA. Impact of omalizumab on quality-of-life outcomes in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2006;96:316-26.
- Chipps B, Buhl R, Beeh KM, Fox H, Thomas K, Reisner C. Improvement in quality of life with omalizumab in patients with severe allergic asthma. Current Med Research and Opinion. 2006;22(11):2201-8.
- 33. Normansell R, Walker S, Milan SJ, Walters EH, Nair P. Omalizumab for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 June 13;(2):CD003559.
- 34. Baseline IgE levels or weight outside range. Personal correspondence with Genentech Inc.
- 35. Brozek J, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani C, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:466-76.
- 36. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health care guideline: diagnosis and treatment of respiratory illness in children and adults. Bloomington, MN: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); January 2013 (fourth edition).
- 37. Sur DK, Scandale S. Treatment of allergic rhinitis. Am Fam Physician. 2010 Jun 15;81(12):1440-6.
- 38. Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Canonica GW, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: management of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1427-43.
- 39. Grattan CE, Humphreys F, British Association of Dermatologists Therapy Guidelines and Audit Subcommittee. Guidelines for evaluation and management of urticaria in adults and children. Br J Dermatol. 2007 Dec;157(6):1116-23.



