

## Therapeutic Class Overview Incretin Mimetics & Amylinomimetics

### INTRODUCTION

- Diabetes mellitus affects more than 30 million people in the United States (U.S.) (*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] 2017*).
- Diabetes mellitus is defined as a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia that result from defects in the secretion and action of insulin (*American Diabetes Association [ADA] Diabetes Basics 2018*).
- The classification of diabetes includes 4 clinical classes: 1) type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) which results from beta-cell ( $\beta$ -cell) destruction, usually leading to absolute insulin deficiency, 2) type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) which results from a progressive insulin secretory defect on the background of insulin resistance, 3) other specific types of diabetes due to other causes, eg, genetic defects in  $\beta$ -cell function, genetic defects in insulin action, diseases of the exocrine pancreas (such as cystic fibrosis), and drug- or chemical-induced (such as in the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or after organ transplantation), and 4) gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (diabetes diagnosed during pregnancy that is not clearly overt diabetes) (*ADA 2018*).
- Insulin is the standard treatment for T1DM. Pharmacologic options for T2DM include sulfonylureas (SFUs), biguanides, thiazolidinediones (TZDs), meglitinides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists, amylinomimetics, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, combination products, and insulin.
- The GLP-1 receptor agonists (albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide, exenatide extended-release [ER], liraglutide, lixisenatide, and **semaglutide**) were developed to mimic the effects of endogenous GLP-1 and are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved as adjunctive therapy to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with T2DM.
- Pramlintide is the only amylin analog, or amylinomimetic, in the class, and is FDA-approved as an adjunctive treatment with insulin in patients with T1DM or T2DM who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy. It is a synthetic analog of human amylin, a naturally occurring neuroendocrine hormone synthesized by pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells that contributes to glucose control during the post-prandial period.
- This review will focus on the GLP-1 receptor agonists and pramlintide and their respective FDA-approved indications for treatment of diabetes. Liraglutide (Saxenda) is also indicated as adjunctive therapy for chronic weight management; however, the use of liraglutide for this indication will not be included in this review.
- Medispan class: Endocrine and Metabolic Drugs; Incretin Mimetic Agents (GLP-1 Receptor Agonists) and Amylin Analogs

**Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review**

Drug	Generic Availability
Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	-
Bydureon (exenatide ER)	-
<b>Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)</b>	<b>Y</b>
Byetta (exenatide)	-
<b>Ozempic (semaglutide)</b>	<b>Y</b>
Symlin (pramlintide)	-
Tanzeum (albiglutide)*	-
Trulicity (dulaglutide)	-
Victoza (liraglutide)	-

\*On July 26, 2017, the manufacturer announced plans to discontinue the manufacturing and sale of Tanzeum by July 2018 due to business reasons (*Tanzeum Discontinuation FAQ 2017*).

(*DRUGS@FDA 2018, Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations 2018*)

**INDICATIONS**

**Table 2. FDA Approved Indications**

Indication	Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	Byetta (exenatide)	Bydureon (exenatide ER)	Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	Ozempic (semaglutide)	Symlin (pramlintide)	Tanzeum (albiglutide)	Trulicity (dulaglutide)	Victoza (liraglutide)
T1DM, as an adjunctive treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy.						✓			
T2DM, as an adjunctive treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy.						✓			
Adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with T2DM.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
Reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction [MI], or non-fatal stroke) in adults with T2DM and established cardiovascular disease									✓
Limitations of Use									
Not recommended as first-line therapy for patients inadequately controlled on diet and exercise because of the uncertain relevance of the rodent C-cell tumor findings to humans. Prescribe only to patients for whom the potential benefits are considered to outweigh the potential risk.			✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Has not been studied in patients with a history of pancreatitis. Consider other antidiabetic therapies in these patients.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Not indicated in treatment of patients with T1DM or for treatment of patients with diabetic ketoacidosis. Not a substitute for insulin in these patients.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
Has not been studied in patients with severe gastrointestinal (GI) disease, including severe gastroparesis. Not recommended in patients with pre-							✓	✓	

Data as of February 14, 2018 YP-U/SS-U/AVD

Indication	Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	Byetta (exenatide)	Bydureon (exenatide ER)	Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	Ozempic (semaglutide)	Symlin (pramlintide)	Tanzeum (albiglutide)	Trulicity (dulaglutide)	Victoza (liraglutide)
existing severe GI disease.									
Has not been studied in patients with gastroparesis. Not recommended in patients with gastroparesis.	✓								
Not studied in combination with prandial/short-acting insulin.	✓	✓					✓		✓
Use with insulin has not been studied and is not recommended.			✓	✓					

(Prescribing information: *Adlyxin 2016, Bydureon 2017, Bydureon BCise 2017, Byetta 2015, Ozempic 2017, Symlin 2016, Tanzeum 2017, Trulicity 2017, Victoza 2017*)

NOTE: Information on indications, mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, and safety has been obtained from the prescribing information for the individual products, except where noted otherwise.

## CLINICAL EFFICACY SUMMARY

### Albiglutide

- The approval of albiglutide was based on 8 pivotal trials involving over 5000 patients as a part of the HARMONY phase 3 program (*Tanzeum FDA Medical Review 2014, Tanzeum Prescribing Information 2017*). The majority of the trials were multicenter (MC), randomized, double-blind (DB), placebo-controlled (PC) or active control (AC) studies in adult patients with inadequately controlled T2DM (HbA1c 7% to 10%); however, 3 trials were open-label (OL). The primary outcome in each trial was change in HbA1c from baseline at 26 to 104 weeks.
  - HARMONY 1 demonstrated that albiglutide 30 mg once weekly was superior to placebo in patients taking concurrent pioglitazone with or without metformin at 52 weeks, with a mean reduction in HbA1c of 0.8% (*Reusch et al 2014*).
  - HARMONY 2 compared both albiglutide 30 mg and 50 mg once weekly to placebo in patients treated with diet and exercise alone and found that both were superior to placebo at 52 weeks. The least squares mean difference from placebo in HbA1c was -0.84% with the 30 mg dose and -1.04% with the 50 mg dose (*Nauck et al 2016*).
  - HARMONY 3 demonstrated that albiglutide 30 mg to 50 mg once weekly was superior to placebo, sitagliptin 100 mg once daily, and glimepiride 2 to 4 mg daily in patients taking concurrent metformin at 2 years, with a mean reduction in HbA1c of 0.6% (*Ahrén et al 2014*).
  - HARMONY 4 was an OL trial comparing albiglutide (30 mg to 50 mg once weekly) to protocol-titrated insulin glargine in patients taking concurrent metformin with or without an SFU. In this study, albiglutide demonstrated noninferiority to insulin glargine in HbA1c improvement at 52 weeks (*Weissman et al 2014*).
  - HARMONY 5 compared albiglutide (30 mg to 50 mg once weekly) to placebo and pioglitazone (30 mg to 45 mg per day) in patients taking concurrent metformin and glimepiride. At week 52, albiglutide did not meet the pre-specified noninferiority margin compared to pioglitazone; however, it was superior to placebo and had a mean reduction in HbA1c of 0.6% (*Home et al 2015*).
  - HARMONY 6, another OL trial, demonstrated that albiglutide 30 mg to 50 mg once weekly was noninferior to insulin lispro 3 times daily in patients taking concurrent pioglitazone with or without metformin at 26 weeks, with a mean reduction in HbA1c of 0.8% (*Rosenstock et al 2014a*).
  - HARMONY 7 was an OL study comparing albiglutide 50 mg once weekly to liraglutide 1.8 mg daily in patients taking concomitant metformin, TZD, SFU, or a combination of the medications. At week 32, the mean model adjusted change in HbA1c was -0.78% with albiglutide and -0.99% with liraglutide. Albiglutide failed to meet noninferiority ( $p = 0.085$ ) (*Pratley et al 2014*).

- HARMONY 8 demonstrated that albiglutide 30 mg to 50 mg was superior to sitagliptin 25 to 100 mg in patients with impaired renal function on concurrent agents or lifestyle treatment at 26 weeks, with a mean reduction in HbA1c of 0.8% compared to a reduction of 0.5% with sitagliptin (*Leiter et al 2014*).

### Dulaglutide

- The approval of dulaglutide was based on 6 pivotal trials enrolling over 3,000 patients as a part of the AWARD phase 3 program. Trials evaluated the use of dulaglutide 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg strengths. The primary outcome in each trial was the change in HbA1c from baseline to 26 through 52 weeks.
  - AWARD-1 demonstrated that once weekly dulaglutide resulted in significantly larger improvements in HbA1c at 26 weeks compared to placebo and exenatide in patients taking maximally tolerated doses of metformin and pioglitazone (*Wysham et al 2014*).
  - AWARD-2 was an OL study that demonstrated superiority of dulaglutide 1.5 mg once weekly and noninferiority of dulaglutide 0.75 mg once weekly compared to daily insulin glargine in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 52 (*Giorgino et al 2015*).
  - AWARD-3 was a DB study that demonstrated superiority of dulaglutide 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg once weekly to metformin in patients inadequately treated with diet and exercise with or without submaximal dosing of at least 1 oral antidiabetic drug (OAD). At 26 weeks, changes from baseline HbA1c were 0.78%, 0.71%, and 0.56% for dulaglutide 1.5 mg, dulaglutide 0.75 mg, and metformin, respectively (*Umpierrez et al 2014*).
  - AWARD-4 was an OL, 52-week, noninferiority study which found that dulaglutide once-weekly (both 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg strengths) in combination with insulin lispro resulted in significantly greater improvement in glycemic control than insulin glargine in combination with insulin lispro ( $p = 0.005$  and  $p = 0.015$  for dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg, respectively) (*Blonde et al 2015*).
  - AWARD-5 was a DB trial that compared placebo, once-weekly dulaglutide (0.75 mg and 1.5 mg), and sitagliptin 100 mg once daily in uncontrolled metformin-treated patients. At weeks 52 and 104, both dulaglutide strengths were superior to sitagliptin in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline ( $p < 0.001$  for all comparisons) (*Nauck et al 2014*, *Weinstock et al 2015*).
  - AWARD-6 was an OL trial which demonstrated that, in patients taking concurrent metformin, dulaglutide 1.5 mg once weekly was noninferior to liraglutide once daily in HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 26 (*Dungan et al 2014*).

### Exenatide

- The efficacy of exenatide as add-on therapy to metformin alone, an SFU alone, or metformin in combination with an SFU was evaluated in 3 PC, 30-week, randomized controlled trials (RCTs). In all trials, there were significant decreases in HbA1c with exenatide compared to placebo ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $p < 0.002$ , and  $p < 0.0001$ , respectively) (*Buse et al 2004*, *DeFronzo et al 2005*, *Kendall et al 2005*). Extensions of these 30-week trials demonstrated that the benefits of exenatide are sustained (*Blonde et al 2006*, *Buse et al 2007*, *Klonoff et al 2008*, *Ratner et al 2006*, *Riddle et al 2006*).
- A trial evaluating exenatide as add-on therapy in patients currently taking a TZD found that at week 16, exenatide significantly decreased HbA1c ( $p < 0.001$ ), fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ( $p < 0.001$ ), and body weight ( $p < 0.001$ ) compared to placebo (*Zinman et al 2007*).
- When exenatide was compared to glyburide as add-on therapy to metformin, exenatide significantly decreased body weight and body mass index (BMI) ( $p < 0.001$  for both), whereas the SFU caused significant increases in both ( $p < 0.05$  for both). Both treatments significantly decreased HbA1c, FPG, and postprandial plasma glucose (PPG) (exenatide;  $p < 0.001$  for all; glyburide;  $p < 0.001$  for all). Only exenatide significantly improved insulin resistance ( $p < 0.01$ ) and  $\beta$ -cell function ( $p < 0.05$ ) (*Derosa et al 2010*).
- The EUREXA study compared the efficacy of exenatide and glimepiride as add-on therapy to metformin. Patients receiving exenatide exhibited greater reductions in HbA1c from baseline (-0.36%), compared to those receiving glimepiride (-0.21%;  $p = 0.002$ ) (*Gallwitz et al 2012*).
- Several trials have compared exenatide to insulin therapy as add-on therapy to metformin and/or an SFU (*Bunck et al 2009*, *Bunck et al 2010*, *Davies et al 2009*, *Heine et al 2005*, *Nauck et al 2007*, *Secnik et al 2006*). Similar improvements in HbA1c between treatments were observed in 3 of the trials while mixed results were observed for decreases in FPG. Specifically, in 2 trials, insulin therapy was "superior" in decreasing FPG ( $p$  value not reported and  $p < 0.0001$ ), while in another trial there was no difference between the 2 treatments ( $p = 0.689$ ). Insulin therapy was associated with an increase in body weight compared to a decrease with exenatide (*Bunck et al 2009*, *Heine et al 2005*, *Nauck et al 2007*). Patient-reported health outcome measures demonstrated no differences between exenatide or insulin therapy; both achieved significant improvements from baseline. However, neither treatment improved Diabetes Treatment Flexibility Scores ( $p = 0.93$  for both) (*Secnik et al 2006*).

- Exenatide once weekly was also compared to daily insulin glargine in diabetic patients inadequately controlled with OADs. Following 26 weeks of therapy, exenatide was found to be statistically noninferior to insulin glargine for the change in HbA1c from baseline to endpoint (*Inagaki et al 2012*).

#### Exenatide ER

- Approval of exenatide ER in the management of T2DM was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the DURATION trials (1 through 5). Exenatide ER was added to existing antidiabetic regimens in 4 of the 5 trials (1, 2, 3, and 5). In contrast, DURATION-4 compared exenatide ER, metformin, pioglitazone, and sitagliptin all as monotherapy (*Bergenstal et al 2010, Blevins et al 2011, Diamant et al 2010, Drucker et al 2008, Russell-Jones et al 2012*).
  - Overall, exenatide ER as add-on therapy to existing antidiabetic regimens significantly decreased HbA1c compared to exenatide ( $p < 0.005$ ), sitagliptin ( $p < 0.0001$ ), pioglitazone ( $p = 0.0165$ ), and insulin therapy ( $p = 0.017$ ), with no increased risk of hypoglycemia. In terms of decreases in body weight, exenatide ER was superior compared to sitagliptin ( $p = 0.0002$ ) and pioglitazone ( $p < 0.0001$ ), and similar compared to exenatide ( $p = 0.89$ ) (*Bergenstal et al 2010, Blevins et al 2011, Drucker et al 2008*).
  - As expected, GI-related adverse events (AEs) were reported more commonly with the incretin-based therapies. When compared to exenatide, exenatide ER was associated with lower incidences of nausea (14.0% vs 35.0%) and vomiting (4.7% vs 8.9%), and higher incidences of diarrhea (9.3% vs 4.1%) and injection site-related AEs (13% vs 10%) (*Blevins et al 2011*).
  - In the DURATION-4 trial, the decrease in HbA1c achieved with exenatide ER monotherapy was superior compared to sitagliptin ( $p < 0.001$ ) and similar compared to metformin ( $p = 0.62$ ) and pioglitazone ( $p = 0.328$ ). Exenatide ER and metformin were similar in terms of associated decreases in body weight, with exenatide ER achieving superiority compared to sitagliptin and pioglitazone. Overall, exenatide ER was associated with more GI-related AEs, with the exception of diarrhea which occurred at the highest frequency in patients receiving metformin (*Diamant et al 2010*).
- In a post-hoc analysis of 4 clinical trials, patients were treated with weekly exenatide for 52 weeks. Patients had significant lowering of HbA1c, blood pressure and low density lipoprotein (LDL) levels without an increase in weight or hypoglycemia (*Bergenstal et al 2013*).
- The DURATION-6 trial compared HbA1c reductions between liraglutide once daily and exenatide once weekly in patients with T2DM previously treated with lifestyle modifications and oral agents. Both therapies resulted in improvements in glycemic control; however, greater reductions were noted with liraglutide (*Buse et al 2013*).
- Bydureon BCise is a new formulation of Bydureon that is administered via an autoinjector device. It was approved based on the results of two 28-week, OL, AC trials. In the DURATION-NEO-1 trial, Bydureon BCise 2 mg once weekly achieved a statistically significant HbA1c reduction vs Byetta 10 mcg twice daily ( $p < 0.05$ ) in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled with diet and exercise alone or with a stable regimen of metformin, an SFU, a TZD, or a combination of any 2 of these agents. In the DURATION-NEO-2 trial, Bydureon BCise 2 mg once weekly achieved a statistically significant HbA1c reduction vs placebo ( $p < 0.05$ ) in patients with T2DM on metformin. The difference vs sitagliptin was -0.28% (95% CI, -0.62% to -0.02%) (*Bydureon BCise Prescribing Information 2017, Gadde et al 2017, Wysham et al 2017*).

#### Liraglutide

- Approval of liraglutide in the management of T2DM was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the LEAD trials (1 through 6). The LEAD trials evaluated liraglutide monotherapy (LEAD-3); add-on therapy to an SFU (LEAD-1), metformin (LEAD-2), metformin plus a TZD (LEAD-4), metformin plus an SFU (LEAD-5); and monotherapy head-to-head with exenatide (LEAD-6).
  - In LEAD-1, liraglutide was compared to placebo or rosiglitazone as add-on therapy to an SFU. After 26 weeks, liraglutide (0.6, 1.2, and 1.8 mg per day) significantly decreased HbA1c compared to placebo ( $p < 0.0001$  for all), with only higher doses achieving superiority compared to rosiglitazone ( $p < 0.001$  for both) (*Marre et al 2009*).
  - In LEAD-2, liraglutide was compared to placebo and an SFU as add-on therapy to metformin. Liraglutide significantly decreased HbA1c compared to placebo; however, similar decreases were observed with liraglutide compared to the SFU. Liraglutide was associated with significant decreases in body weight compared to placebo ( $p < 0.01$ ) and the SFU ( $p < 0.001$ ) (*Nauck et al 2009*). Results of an 18-month OL extension trial were consistent with the DB study (*Nauck et al 2013*).
  - In LEAD-3, liraglutide was compared to an SFU as monotherapy, and liraglutide was superior in decreasing HbA1c ( $p = 0.0014$  and  $p < 0.0001$  for liraglutide 1.2 mg and 1.8 mg, respectively). In addition, increases in body weight were reported with the SFU, while liraglutide significantly decreased body weight ( $p = 0.027$ ) (*Garber et al 2009*). In a 1-

year extension trial, patients continuing liraglutide for a total of 2 years maintained significant improvements in HbA1c compared to the SFU (*Garber et al 2011*).

- In LEAD-4 and LEAD-5, liraglutide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to metformin plus an SFU and to a TZD. LEAD-5 also had an OL arm of insulin therapy. Results achieved with liraglutide in terms of decreases in HbA1c, body weight, and FPG compared to placebo were similar to those observed in the other LEAD trials (*Russell-Jones et al 2009; Zinman et al 2009*). When compared to insulin therapy, decreases in HbA1c ( $p = 0.0015$ ) and body weight ( $p < 0.001$ ) and improvements in  $\beta$ -cell function ( $p = 0.0019$ ) were significantly greater with liraglutide. It was noted that decreases in PPG were not different between the 2 treatments, and the likelihood of patients achieving FPG goals were also similar (*Russell-Jones et al 2009*).
- LEAD-6 was a head-to-head trial comparing liraglutide to exenatide as add-on therapy to existing antidiabetic treatment regimens. Liraglutide significantly decreased HbA1c compared to exenatide (1.12% vs 0.79%;  $p < 0.0001$ ), and a significantly greater proportion of patients receiving liraglutide achieved HbA1c goals of  $< 7\%$ . Significant decreases in FPG were also achieved with liraglutide ( $p < 0.0001$ ); however, exenatide significantly decreased PPG after breakfast and dinner ( $p < 0.0001$  and  $p = 0.0005$ ) (*Buse et al 2009*). A 14-week, extension trial revealed that patients who were switched from exenatide to liraglutide achieved additional glycemic control and cardiometabolic benefits (*Buse et al 2010*).

### Lixisenatide

- The approval of lixisenatide was based on several phase 3 trials as part of the GetGoal clinical trial program. Lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily was evaluated as monotherapy, in combination with OADs, and in combination with basal insulin (with or without OADs). Its efficacy was compared with placebo, exenatide, and insulin glulisine. The primary endpoint, the difference in change in HbA1c from baseline to trial end between the lixisenatide and comparator groups, was assessed at varying time points ranging between 12 and 26 weeks.
  - GetGoal-Mono found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily as monotherapy resulted in significantly larger improvements in HbA1c at 12 weeks compared to placebo in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled on diet and exercise ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Fonseca et al 2012*).
  - GetGoal-F1 was a DB study which found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily as add-on therapy to metformin was superior vs placebo in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24. The least squares mean change from baseline was  $-0.26\%$  for the placebo group vs  $-0.72\%$  for the lixisenatide group. The difference vs placebo was  $-0.46\%$  ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Bolli et al 2014*).
  - GetGoal-M-Asia demonstrated superiority of lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily as add-on therapy to metformin with or without an SFU compared to placebo in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24 (*Yu et al 2014*).
  - GetGoal-S was a 24-week, DB study which found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily in combination with an SFU with or without metformin resulted in significantly greater improvement in glycemic control than placebo; the difference from placebo in change in HbA1c was  $-0.58\%$  ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Rosenstock et al 2014b*).
  - GetGoal-P was a 24-week, DB study which found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily in combination with pioglitazone or without metformin resulted in significantly greater improvement in glycemic control than placebo; the difference from placebo in change in HbA1c was  $-0.48\%$  ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Pinget et al 2013*).
  - In GetGoal-Duo 1, lixisenatide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to basal insulin and metformin with or without a TZD. Treatment with lixisenatide resulted in a significant reduction in HbA1c at week 24 vs placebo (*Riddle et al 2013a*).
  - In GetGoal-L, lixisenatide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to basal insulin with or without metformin while in Get-Goal-L-Asia, lixisenatide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to basal insulin with or without an SFU. Both studies found that lixisenatide was superior to placebo in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24 (*Riddle et al 2013b, Seino et al 2012*).
  - GetGoal-Duo 2 was a 26-week, OL trial that compared lixisenatide to insulin glulisine once daily or 3 times daily for intensification of optimized insulin glargine  $\pm$  metformin in patients with T2DM uncontrolled on basal insulin  $\pm$  OADs (ie, an SFU and/or a DPP-4 inhibitor, and/or a glinide). Lixisenatide was found to be noninferior to both insulin glulisine regimens in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 26. However, lixisenatide provided less HbA1c reduction than insulin glulisine 3 times daily and the difference was statistically significant; the least squares mean difference of lixisenatide vs insulin glulisine 3 times daily was  $0.23$  ( $p = 0.0002$ ) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Rosenstock et al 2016*).
  - GetGoal-X was a 24-week, OL trial that evaluated lixisenatide vs exenatide twice daily as add-on therapy to metformin. Lixisenatide met the pre-specified noninferiority margin vs exenatide twice daily for the difference in

HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24. However, lixisenatide provided less HbA1c reduction than exenatide and the difference was statistically significant; the least squares mean difference vs exenatide was 0.17% ( $p = 0.0175$ ) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Rosenstock et al 2013*).

- A meta-analysis (MA) of 76-week data from 5 trials in the GetGoal clinical trial program (GetGoal-M, GetGoal-F1, GetGoal-S, GetGoal-P, and GetGoal-L) supported the sustained efficacy and tolerability of lixisenatide (*Broglio et al 2017*).

### Semaglutide

- The approval of semaglutide was based on several phase 3 trials as part of the SUSTAIN clinical trial program. Semaglutide was evaluated as monotherapy, in combination with OADs, and in combination with basal insulin. Its efficacy was compared with placebo, sitagliptin, exenatide ER, insulin glargine, and dulaglutide. The primary endpoint, the difference in change in HbA1c from baseline to trial end between the semaglutide and comparator groups, was assessed at varying time points ranging between 30 and 56 weeks.
  - SUSTAIN 1 was a 30-week, PC trial which found that semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg weekly significantly improved HbA1c vs placebo ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Sorli et al 2017*).
  - SUSTAIN 2 was a 56-week, OL trial that compared semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg weekly to sitagliptin 100 mg daily in patients on metformin and/or TZDs. Compared with sitagliptin, treatment with semaglutide resulted in statistically significant reductions in HbA1c from baseline to week 56. The mean change from baseline was -1.3% for semaglutide 0.5 mg, -1.5% for semaglutide 1 mg, and -0.7% for sitagliptin. The difference vs sitagliptin was -0.6% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) for semaglutide 0.5 mg and -0.8% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) for semaglutide 1 mg (*Ahrén et al 2017, Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
  - SUSTAIN 3 was a 56-week, OL trial that compared semaglutide 1 mg to exenatide ER 2 mg once weekly. At week 56, mean change from baseline in HbA1c was -1.4% in the semaglutide group vs -0.9% in the exenatide ER group (difference: -0.5%,  $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Ahmann et al 2018, Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
  - SUSTAIN 4 was a 30-week OL, AC trial in patients on metformin with or without an SFU that compared semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg to insulin glargine initiated at 10 units once daily. Compared with insulin glargine, treatment with semaglutide resulted in statistically significant reductions in HbA1c from baseline to week 30. The mean change from baseline was -1.2% for semaglutide 0.5 mg, -1.5% for semaglutide 1 mg, and -0.9% for insulin glargine. The difference vs insulin glargine was -0.3% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) for semaglutide 0.5 mg and -0.6% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) for semaglutide 1 mg (*Aroda et al 2017, Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
  - SUSTAIN 5 was a 30-week, DB, PC trial in patients inadequately controlled with basal insulin, with or without metformin, which found that semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg significantly reduced HbA1c vs placebo ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (*Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
  - SUSTAIN 7 was a 40-week, OL trial that compared semaglutide to dulaglutide once weekly in patients on metformin monotherapy. From a mean baseline HbA1c of 8.2%, semaglutide 0.5 mg achieved a statistically significant reduction of 1.5% vs a reduction of 1.1% with dulaglutide 0.75 mg at week 40, while semaglutide 1.0 mg achieved a statistically significant reduction of 1.8% vs a reduction of 1.4% with dulaglutide 1.5 mg (both  $p < 0.0001$  for noninferiority and superiority) (*Pratley et al 2018*).

### Cardiovascular (CV) outcomes

- Several RCTs designed to assess the impact of incretin-based therapy on CV outcomes are in progress, including trials for albiglutide (HARMONY Outcomes, results expected in March 2018) and dulaglutide (REWIND, results expected in July 2018) (*ClinicalTrials.gov [NCT01394952, NCT02465515] 2018*).
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (EXSCEL trial;  $N = 14,752$ ) was conducted to evaluate the long-term effects of exenatide ER vs placebo, as added to usual care, on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM with or without previous CV disease. A total of 73.1% of patients had previous CV disease, and the median follow-up was 3.2 years. A primary composite outcome event (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke) occurred in 11.4% of patients in the exenatide ER group vs 12.2% in the placebo group (hazard ratio [HR], 0.91; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.83 to 1.00). Thus, exenatide ER was found to be noninferior to placebo with respect to safety ( $p < 0.001$ ), but not superior to placebo with respect to efficacy ( $p = 0.06$ ). The risk of death from any cause was 6.9% vs 7.9% in the exenatide ER and placebo groups, respectively (HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.97); the difference was not statistically significant on the basis of the hierarchical testing plan. The rates of death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and hospitalization for heart failure did not differ significantly between groups (*Holman et al 2017*).
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (LEADER trial;  $N = 9340$ ) was conducted to evaluate the long-term effects of liraglutide vs placebo on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM and high CV risk. The median follow-up was 3.8 years. It was found that the primary composite outcome (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke) occurred in fewer patients in the liraglutide

group (13.0%) vs the placebo group (14.9%) (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.97;  $p < 0.001$  for noninferiority;  $p = 0.01$  for superiority). Fewer patients died from CV causes in the liraglutide group (4.7%) vs the placebo group (6.0%) (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.93;  $p = 0.007$ ). The rate of death from any cause was lower in the liraglutide group (8.2%) vs the placebo group (9.6%) (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.97;  $p = 0.02$ ). The rates of nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and hospitalization for heart failure were nonsignificantly lower in the liraglutide group than in the placebo group (*Marso et al 2016a*).

- A prespecified secondary analysis found that the composite renal outcome (new-onset persistent macroalbuminuria, persistent doubling of serum creatinine level, end-stage renal disease, and death due to renal disease) occurred in fewer patients in the liraglutide group vs the placebo group (5.7% vs 7.2%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.92;  $p = 0.003$ ) (*Mann et al 2017*).
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (ELIXA trial; N = 6068) evaluated the long-term effects of lixisenatide vs placebo on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM who had a recent acute coronary syndrome (ACS) event within 180 days of screening. The median follow-up was 25 months. It was found that the primary endpoint event (a composite of the first occurrence of any of the following: death from CV causes, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina) occurred in 13.4% of patients in the lixisenatide group and 13.2% in the placebo group (HR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.17), which demonstrated noninferiority of lixisenatide to placebo ( $p < 0.001$ ), but did not demonstrate superiority ( $p = 0.81$ ). The rates of the individual CV components of the primary endpoint were similar between the lixisenatide and placebo groups (*Pfeffer et al 2015*).
- *Marso et al 2016b* conducted a MC, DB, PC, RCT (SUSTAIN 6 trial; N = 3297) to assess the noninferiority of semaglutide as compared to placebo in terms of CV safety in patients with T2DM, 83.0% of whom had CV disease. Patients were randomized to semaglutide 0.5 mg or 1.0 mg once weekly or placebo. The median observation time was 2.1 years. The primary composite outcome was the first occurrence of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke. The noninferiority margin was 1.8 for the upper boundary of the 95% CI of the HR. A larger study is planned to validate the results (*Skydsgaard 2016*).
  - The primary composite outcome occurred in 6.6% of the semaglutide group vs 8.9% of the placebo group (HR: 0.74 [95%CI, 0.58 to 0.95];  $p < 0.001$  for noninferiority). Although a  $p$  value of 0.02 for superiority was calculated; testing for superiority was not prespecified. Nonfatal stroke occurred in 1.6% in the semaglutide group vs 2.7% in the placebo group (HR: 0.61 [95% CI, 0.38 to 0.99];  $p = 0.04$ ). Rates of nonfatal MI, CV death, and all-cause death were not statistically significantly different between groups.
  - Rates of new or worsening nephropathy were lower in the semaglutide group, but rates of retinopathy complications were significantly higher (3.0% for semaglutide vs 1.8% for placebo, HR: 1.76 [95% CI, 1.11 to 2.78];  $p = 0.02$ ).

### Meta-analyses

- Meta-analyses and Cochrane Reviews evaluating GLP-1 receptor agonists have found that they lead to decreases in HbA1c of ~1%, with greater decreases in body weight and systolic blood pressure compared to placebo and other antidiabetic agents (*Wang et al 2013, Shyangdan et al 2011, Sun et al 2015*).
- A systematic review and mixed-treatment comparison analysis of GLP-1 receptor agonists found that there were no differences in efficacy within the short-acting (exenatide or lixisenatide) or long-acting (albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide ER, liraglutide) groups. However, dulaglutide, liraglutide, and exenatide ER were superior to exenatide and lixisenatide at lowering HbA1c and FPG. There were no clinically meaningful differences between agents in weight loss or hypoglycemia. Albiglutide had the lowest risk of nausea and diarrhea, while exenatide ER had the lowest risk of vomiting (*Htike et al 2016*).
- Meta-analyses have revealed that incretin-based therapies are not associated with an increased risk of pancreatitis and appear to reduce all-cause mortality, CV mortality, and the incidence of MI compared to placebo or other antidiabetic agents. However, treatment with GLP-1 receptor agonists was associated with a significant increase in the incidence of cholelithiasis (*Monami et al 2017a, Monami et al 2017b*).
- A meta-analysis found that overall, GLP-1 receptor agonists did not appear to be associated with an increase in the incidence of retinopathy, and there was a reduction in the incidence of nephropathy vs comparators (*Dicembrini et al 2017*).

### Pramlintide

- The safety and efficacy of pramlintide in patients with T1DM have been established in PC, RCTs when administered in addition to existing insulin regimens. In a 52-week, DB, MC, PC study, pramlintide significantly reduced HbA1c from baseline compared to placebo (-0.39% vs -0.12%;  $p = 0.0071$ ) and was also associated with a significant weight loss compared to placebo ( $p < 0.001$ ) (*Whitehouse et al 2002*). In a second 52-week study, patients experienced a significant reduction in HbA1c when receiving pramlintide 60 mcg 3 times daily (-0.41 vs -0.18%;  $p = 0.012$ ) and pramlintide 60

mcg 4 times daily (-0.39 vs -0.18%;  $p = 0.013$ ) at 26 weeks. Treatment with pramlintide 3 or 4 times daily continued to maintain reductions in HbA1c at 52 weeks compared to treatment with placebo ( $p = 0.011$  and  $p = 0.001$  for the 3- and 4 times daily dosing, respectively) (Ratner et al 2004).

- A systematic review and meta-analysis of 10 randomized, PC studies ( $N = 3297$ ) evaluating the effect of pramlintide as adjunctive therapy to insulin in patients with T1DM found that, compared to placebo, pramlintide resulted in significant reductions in HbA1c ( $p < 0.001$ ), total daily insulin dose ( $p = 0.024$ ), mean mealtime insulin dose ( $p < 0.001$ ), body weight ( $p < 0.001$ ), and PPG ( $p = 0.002$ ) (Qiao et al 2017).
- A systematic review and meta-analysis of 8 PC, RCTs assessed the effect of pramlintide in patients with T2DM and in obese patients without diabetes. Four T2DM studies ( $N = 930$ ; 16 to 52 weeks duration) and 4 obesity studies ( $N = 686$ ; 6 to 24 weeks duration) were included. Of the T2DM studies, 3 studies used meal-time placebo as the comparator while 1 study used rapid-acting insulin as the comparator. When endpoint data from all T2DM studies were combined, pramlintide was associated with a small but significant reduction in HbA1c (mean difference: -0.33% [95% CI, -0.51 to -0.14];  $p = 0.0004$ ). In the meta-analysis of the T2DM studies, patients on pramlintide were 1.52 times more likely to reach the HbA1c goal  $\leq 7\%$  than patients in the control group; however, this difference was not significant ( $p = 0.18$ ). Pramlintide was associated with a significant change in body weight in patients with T2DM compared to the control group (-2.57 kg [95% CI, -3.44 to -1.70];  $p < 0.00001$ ) (Singh-Franco et al 2011).

## CLINICAL GUIDELINES

- According to current clinical guidelines, metformin remains the cornerstone of most T2DM treatment regimens. The incretin mimetics are recommended as a potential second-line treatment option to be added to metformin in patients not achieving glycemic goals. Clinical guidelines note a lower rate of hypoglycemia, established efficacy and safety profile when used in combination with metformin, demonstrated effectiveness in reducing PPG, and the potential for weight loss as advantages associated with the incretin mimetics compared to other antidiabetic agents. No one incretin mimetic is recommended or preferred over another in the general treatment algorithm. The ADA guidelines recommend that lifestyle management and metformin should be initiated in patients with T2DM and established atherosclerotic CV disease; subsequent addition of an agent proven to reduce MACE and CV mortality (currently empagliflozin and liraglutide) is given a grade A recommendation, while consideration of canagliflozin to reduce MACE is given a grade C recommendation. Current clinical guidelines do not support the use of amylinomimetics in the management of T2DM. Among T1DM patients, the addition of pramlintide to first-line insulin therapy may be considered to enhance glycemic control and to assist with weight management (ADA 2018; Garber et al 2018, Inzucchi et al 2015).

## SAFETY SUMMARY

- GLP-1 receptor agonists are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to any component of the products. With the exception of exenatide and lixisenatide, they are also contraindicated in those with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) or multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome, type 2 (MEN 2).
- All GLP-1 receptor agonists, except exenatide and lixisenatide, carry a boxed warning for risk of thyroid C-cell tumors. Other safety risks include pancreatitis (including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis), serious hypersensitivity reactions, immunogenicity, serious hypoglycemia when used in combination with SFUs or insulin, and renal impairment. Liraglutide also has a warning for acute gallbladder disease. Semaglutide carries a warning for diabetic retinopathy complications due to the results of the SUSTAIN 6 trial, which found a higher rate of events in patients treated with semaglutide vs placebo; the absolute risk was larger among patients with a history of diabetic retinopathy at baseline compared to those without. Common AEs with these drugs include: nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, headache, and injection site reactions.
- Pramlintide is contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to any component of the drug and in those with hypoglycemia unawareness and confirmed gastroparesis. It has a boxed warning for increased risk of hypoglycemia, particularly in patients with T1DM. Common AEs include nausea, headache, anorexia, and vomiting; the incidence of nausea tends to be higher at the beginning of treatment and decreases with time in most patients. Gradual titration of the dose minimizes the incidence and severity of nausea
- Albiglutide, exenatide, and pramlintide are Pregnancy Category C. Dulaglutide, exenatide ER, liraglutide, semaglutide, and lixisenatide are uncategorized in accordance with the FDA's Pregnancy and Lactation Labeling Rule (PLLR).
  - There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. These drugs should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. It is not known whether these drugs are excreted in human milk.

- Due to the long washout period for albiglutide, discontinuation of the drug at least 1 month before a planned pregnancy should be considered.

## DOSING AND ADMINISTRATION

**Table 3. Dosing and Administration**

Drug	Available Formulations	Route	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	Injection	SC	Once daily	Inject in the abdomen, thigh, or upper arm.  Administer within 1 hour before the first meal of the day, preferably the same meal each day.
Bydureon (exenatide ER)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  May be given any time of day, with or without food.  Administer immediately after the powder is suspended.
Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  May be given any time of day, with or without food.  Administer immediately after the autoinjector is prepared.
Byetta (exenatide)	Injection	SC	Twice daily	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  Inject within 60 minutes prior to the morning and evening meals (or before the 2 main meals of the day, approximately 6 hours or more apart).
Ozempic (semaglutide)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  May be given any time of day, with or without food.
Symlin (pramlintide)	Injection	SC	Prior to major meals	Inject in the thigh or abdomen.  Administer immediately prior to each major meal.  Reduce mealtime insulin doses by 50%. Adjust insulin doses to optimize glycemic control once the target dose of pramlintide is achieved and nausea (if experienced) has subsided. The dose should be decreased if significant nausea persists.
Tanzeum (albiglutide)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  May be given any time of day, with or without food.  Wait 15 minutes for the 30-mg pen and 30 minutes for the 50-mg pen after the lyophilized powder and diluent are mixed to ensure reconstitution.
Trulicity (dulaglutide)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  May be given any time of day, with or without food.
Victoza (liraglutide)	Injection	SC	Once daily	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm.  May be given any time of day, with or without food.

## CONCLUSION

- The GLP-1 receptor agonists exenatide, exenatide ER, albiglutide, dulaglutide, liraglutide, lixisenatide, and semaglutide are incretin-based antidiabetic therapies that are FDA-approved as adjunctive therapy to diet and exercise in adult patients with T2DM. Additionally, liraglutide is indicated to reduce the risk of major adverse CV events in patients with established CV disease. Pramlintide is the only agent within the amylinomimetic medication class and is FDA-approved as adjunctive therapy in patients with T1DM or T2DM who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy.
- The incretin mimetics are available as SC injections to be administered in the abdomen, thigh, or upper arm. Exenatide is administered twice daily (60 minutes prior to meals); liraglutide is administered once daily (independent of meals); and lixisenatide is administered once daily (1 hour prior to the first meal of the day). Exenatide ER, albiglutide, dulaglutide, and semaglutide are administered once weekly. Pramlintide is available as a SC injection to be administered immediately prior to each major meal.
- The incretin mimetics have been studied extensively in combination with, and in comparison to, a variety of antidiabetic therapies. The agents are significantly more effective than placebo in reducing HbA1c, FPG, PPG, and body weight. Efficacy data comparing treatment to an SFU, TZD, DPP-4 inhibitor or insulin is mixed, with the GLP-1 agonists achieving significantly greater or comparable benefits in glycemic outcomes.
- Several CV outcomes trials evaluating GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with T2DM and high CV risk have been published. The LEADER trial demonstrated a statistically significant CV risk reduction with liraglutide vs placebo (Marso et al 2016a), whereas the ELIXA trial did not demonstrate a statistically significant difference between lixisenatide vs placebo (Pfeffer et al 2015) and the EXSCEL trial did not demonstrate a statistically significant difference between exenatide ER vs placebo (Holman et al 2017). Although the risk of MACE was lower with semaglutide vs. placebo in the SUSTAIN 6 trial, a superiority analysis was not prespecified (Marso et al 2016b). A larger CV outcome study is planned.
- Overall, the AE profiles of the GLP-1 receptor agonists are similar. With the exception of lixisenatide and exenatide, all of the agents have a boxed warning regarding the risk of thyroid C-cell tumors. Other warnings include increased risks of pancreatitis (including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis), serious hypersensitivity reactions, immunogenicity, serious hypoglycemia when used in combination with SFUs or insulin, and renal impairment. Liraglutide also has a warning for acute gallbladder disease, while semaglutide has a warning for diabetic retinopathy complications.
- According to current clinical guidelines, metformin remains the cornerstone of most T2DM treatment regimens. The incretin mimetics are recommended as a potential second-line treatment option to be added to metformin in patients not achieving glycemic goals. Clinical guidelines note a lower rate of hypoglycemia, established efficacy and safety profile when used in combination with metformin, demonstrated effectiveness in reducing PPG, and the potential for weight loss as advantages associated with the incretin mimetics compared to other antidiabetic agents. No one incretin mimetic is recommended or preferred over another in the general treatment algorithm; however, the ADA guidelines recommend that liraglutide and the SGLT2 inhibitors, empagliflozin and canagliflozin, should be considered in patients with long-standing suboptimally controlled T2DM and established atherosclerotic CV disease. Current clinical guidelines do not support the use of amylinomimetics in the management of T2DM. For T1DM, the addition of pramlintide to first-line insulin therapy may be considered to enhance glycemic control and to assist with weight management (ADA 2018; Garber et al 2018, Inzucchi et al 2015).

## REFERENCES

- Adlyxin [package insert], Bridgewater, NJ: Sanofi-Aventis; July 2016.
- Ahmann AJ, Capehorn M, Charpentier G et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus exenatide ER in subjects with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 3): A 56-week, open-label, randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(2):258-266.
- Ahrén B, Johnson SL, Stewart M, et al. Harmony 3: 104-week randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled trial assessing the efficacy and safety of albiglutide compared with placebo, sitagliptin, and glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes taking metformin. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37:2141-2148.
- Ahrén B, Masmiqel L, Kumar H, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus once-daily sitagliptin as an add-on to metformin, thiazolidinediones, or both, in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 2): a 56-week, double-blind, phase 3a, randomized trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2017;5(5):341-354.
- American Diabetes Association. Diabetes basics. ADA Web Site. <http://www.diabetes.org/diabetes-basics>. Updated July 19, 2017. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes (2018). *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(suppl 1):S1-S156.

- Aroda VR, Bain SC, Cariou B, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus once-daily insulin glargine as add-on to metformin (with or without sulfonyleureas) in insulin-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 4): a randomized, open-label, parallel-group, multicenter, multinational, phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5(5):355-366.
- Bergenstal RM, Li Y, Porter TKB, et al. Exenatide once weekly improved glycaemic control, cardiometabolic risk factors and a composite index of an HbA1c < 7%, without weight gain or hypoglycaemia, over 52 weeks. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013;15(3): 264-271.
- Bergenstal RM, Wysham C, MacConell L, et al. Efficacy and safety of exenatide once weekly vs sitagliptin or pioglitazone as an adjunct to metformin for treatment of type 2 diabetes (DURATION-2): a randomized trial. *Lancet.* 2010;376:431-439.
- Blevins T, Pullman J, Malloy J, et al. DURATION-5: exenatide once weekly resulted in greater improvements in glycemic control compared to exenatide twice daily in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2011;96:1301-1310.
- Blonde L, Jendle J, Gross J, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide versus bedtime insulin glargine, both in combination with prandial insulin lispro, in patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-4): a randomised, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority study. *Lancet.* 2015;385(9982):2057-2066.
- Blonde L, Klein EJ, Han J, et al. Interim analysis of the effects of exenatide treatment on A1C, weight, and cardiovascular risk factors over 82 weeks in 314 overweight patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2006;8(4):436-447.
- Bolli GB, Munteanu M, Dotsenko S, et al. Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once daily vs placebo in people with Type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on metformin (GetGoal-F1). *Diabet Med.* 2014;31(2):176-184.
- Broglio F, Mannucci E, Napoli R, et al. Beneficial effect of lixisenatide after 76 weeks of treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis from the GetGoal programme. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017;19(2):248-256.
- Bunck MC, Corner A, Eliasson B, et al. One-year treatment with exenatide vs insulin glargine: effects on postprandial glycemia, lipid profiles, and oxidative stress. *Atherosclerosis.* 2010;212(1):223-229.
- Bunck MC, Diamant M, Corner A, et al. One-year treatment with exenatide improves  $\beta$ -cell function, compared to insulin glargine, in metformin-treated type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32:762-768.
- Buse JB, Henry RR, Han J, et al. Exenatide-113 Clinical Study Group. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in sulfonyleurea-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27(11):2628-2635.
- Buse JB, Klonoff DC, Nielsen LL, et al. Metabolic effects of 2 years of exenatide treatment on diabetes, obesity, and hepatic biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes: an interim analysis of data from the open-label, uncontrolled extension of 3 double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. *Clin Ther.* 2007;29(1):139-153.
- Buse JB, Nauck M, Forst T, et al. Exenatide once weekly versus liraglutide once daily in patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-6): a randomized, open-label study. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9861):117-124.
- Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al. Liraglutide once a day vs exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomized, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). *Lancet.* 2009;374:39-47.
- Buse JB, Sesti G, Schmidt WE, et al. Switching to once-daily liraglutide from twice-daily exenatide further improves glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes using oral agents. *Diabetes Care.* 2010;33:1,300-303.
- Bydureon [package insert], Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; October 2017.
- Bydureon BCise [package insert]. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; October 2017.
- Byetta [package insert], Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; February 2015.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National Diabetes Statistics Report; 2017. <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf>. Updated July 17, 2017. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Clinicaltrials.gov Web site (NCT01394952, NCT02465515). <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Davies MJ, Donnelly R, Barnett AH, et al. Exenatide compared to long-acting insulin to achieve glycemic control with minimal weight gain in patients with type 2 diabetes: results of the Helping Evaluate Exenatide in patients with diabetes compared to Long-Acting insulin (HEELA) study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2009;11(12):1153-1162.
- Dicembrini I, Nreu B, Scatena A, et al. Microvascular effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol.* 2017;54(10):933-941. doi: 10.1007/s00592-017-1031-9.
- DeFronzo RA, Ratner RE, Han J, et al. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28(5):1092-1100.
- Derosa G, Maffioli P, Salvadeo SAT, et al. Exenatide vs glibenclamide in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2010;12(3):233-240.
- Diamant M, Van Gaal L, Stranks S, et al. Once weekly exenatide compared to insulin glargine titrated to target in patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-3): an open-label randomized trial. *Lancet.* 2010;375:2234-2243.
- Drucker D, Buse JB, Taylor K, et al. Exenatide once weekly vs twice daily for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority study. *Lancet.* 2008;372:1240-1250.
- DRUGS@FDA.com [database on the internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm>. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Dungan KM, Povedano ST, Forst T, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide in metformin-treated patients with Type 2 diabetes (AWARD-6): a randomized, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2014;384(9951):1349-1357.
- FDA Drug Approval Package for Tanzeum (albiglutide) injection. Approval Letter. Food and Drug Administration Web site. [http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/nda/2014/125431Orig1s000TOC.cfm](http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2014/125431Orig1s000TOC.cfm). Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Fonseca VA, Alvarado-Ruiz R, Raccach D, et al; for the EFC6018 GetGoal-Mono Study Investigators. Efficacy and safety of the once-daily GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide in monotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients with type 2 diabetes (GetGoal-Mono). *Diabetes Care.* 2012;35(6):1225-1231.
- Gadde KM, Vetter ML, Iqbal N, Hardy E, Öhman P; DURATION-NEO-2 study investigators. Efficacy and safety of autoinjected exenatide once-weekly suspension versus sitagliptin or placebo with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: The DURATION-NEO-2 randomized clinical study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017;19(7):979-988.
- Gallwitz B, Guzman J, Dotta F, et al. Exenatide twice daily versus glimepiride for prevention of glycemic deterioration in patients with type 2 diabetes with metformin failure (EUREXA): an open-label randomized controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012; 379:2270-2278.
- Garber A, Henry R, Ratner R, et al. Liraglutide vs glimepiride monotherapy for type 2 diabetes (LEAD-3 Mono): a randomized, 52-weeks, phase III, double-blind, parallel-treatment trial. *Lancet.* 2009;373:473-481.

- Garber A, Henry RR, Ratner R, et al. Liraglutide, a once-daily human glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue, provides sustained improvements in glycemic control and weight for 2 years as monotherapy compared to glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2011;13(4):348-356.
- Garber AJ, Abrahamson MJ, Barzilay JI, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology comprehensive diabetes management algorithm – 2018 executive summary. *Endocr Pract.* 2018. Available at: <https://www.aace.com/sites/all/files/diabetes-algorithm-executive-summary.pdf>. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Giorgino F, Benroubi M, Sun JH, Zimmermann AG, Pechtner V. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly dulaglutide versus insulin glargine in patients with type 2 diabetes on metformin and glimepiride (AWARD-2). *Diabetes Care.* 2015;38(12):2241-2249.
- Heine RJ, Van Gaal LF, Johns D, et al; GWAA Study Group. Exenatide vs insulin glargine in patients with suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;143(8):559-569.
- Home P, Shamanna P, Stewart M, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of albiglutide vs placebo or pioglitazone over 1 year in people with type 2 diabetes currently taking metformin and glimepiride: HARMONY 5. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;17:179-187.
- Htike ZZ, Zaccardi F, Papamargaritis D, Webb DR, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Efficacy and Safety of Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: Systematic review and mixed-treatment comparison analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017;19(4):524-536.
- Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, et al; EXSCEL Study Group. Effects of once-weekly exenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(13):1228-1239. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1612917.
- Inagaki N, Atsumi Y, Oura T, et al. Efficacy and safety profile of exenatide once weekly compared with insulin once daily in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with oral antidiabetes drug(s): results from a 26-week, randomized, open-label, parallel-group, multicenter, noninferiority study. *Clin Ther.* 2012;34(9):1892-1908.
- Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes, 2015: A Patient-Centered Approach: Update to a Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care.* 2015;38(1):140-149.
- Kendall DM, Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, et al. Effects of exenatide (exenidin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin and a sulfonylurea. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28(5):1083-1091.
- Klonoff DC, Buse JB, Nielsen LL, et al. Exenatide effects on diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular risk factors and hepatic biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes treated for at least 3 years. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2008;24(1):275-286.
- Leiter LA, Carr MC, Stewart M, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist albiglutide versus sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes and renal impairment: a randomized phase III study. *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37:2723-2730.
- Mann JFE, Ørsted DD, Brown-Frandsen K, et al; LEADER Steering Committee and Investigators. Liraglutide and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(9):839-848.
- Marre M, Shaw J, Brandt M, et al. Liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analogue, added to a sulphonylurea over 26 weeks produces greater improvements in glycemic and weight control compared to adding rosiglitazone or placebo in subjects with Type 2 diabetes (LEAD-1 SU). *Diabet Med.* 2009;26:268-278.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al; for the SUSTAIN-6 Investigators. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016b;375(19):1834-1844.
- Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al; LEADER Steering Committee; LEADER Trial Investigators. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016a;375(4):311-322.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al; for the SUSTAIN-6 Investigators. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016b; 375(19):1834-1844.
- Monami M, Nreu B, Scatena A, et al. Safety issues with Glucagon-Like peptide-1 receptor agonists: Pancreatitis, pancreatic cancer, and cholelithiasis. data from randomised controlled trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017a;19(9):1233-1241.
- Monami M, Zannoni S, Pala L, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists on mortality and cardiovascular events: A comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017b;240:414-421.
- Nauck M, Frid A, Hermansen K, et al. Efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide, glimepiride, and placebo, all in combination with metformin, in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32:84-90.
- Nauck M, Frid A, Hermansen K, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide, glimepiride and placebo, all in combination with metformin in type 2 diabetes: 2-year results from the LEAD-2 study. *Diabetes Obes and Metab.* 2013;15(3):204-212.
- Nauck M, Weinstock RS, Umptier GE, et al. Efficacy and safety of dulaglutide versus sitagliptin after 52 weeks in Type 2 diabetes in a randomized controlled trial (AWARD-5). *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37:2149-2158.
- Nauck MA, Duran S, Kim D, et al. A comparison of twice-daily exenatide and biphasic insulin aspart in patients with type 2 diabetes who were suboptimally controlled with sulfonylurea and metformin: a non-inferiority study. *Diabetologia.* 2007;50(2):259-267.
- Nauck MA, Stewart MW, Perkins C, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist albiglutide (HARMONY 2): 52 week primary endpoint results from a randomised, placebo-controlled trial in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled with diet and exercise. *Diabetologia.* 2016;59(2):266-274.
- Novo Nordisk Press Release: Novo Nordisk receives positive 17-2 vote from FDA Advisory Committee that Victoza provides substantial evidence of cardiovascular risk reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes. June 20, 2017. <http://www.novonordisk.com/bin/getPDF.2114627.pdf>. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Orange Book: Approved drug products with therapeutic equivalence evaluations. Food and Drug Administration Web site. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm>. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Ozempic [package insert]. Plainsboro, NJ: Novo Nordisk Inc; December 2017.
- Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al; ELIXA Investigators. Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(23):2247-2257.
- Pinget M, Goldenberg R, Niemoeller E, Muehlen-Bartmer I, Guo H, Aronson R. Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once daily versus placebo in type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on pioglitazone (GetGoal-P). *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013;15(11):1000-1007.
- Pratley RE, Nauck MA, Barnett AH, et al; the HARMONY 7 Study Group. Once-weekly albiglutide, a GLP-1 receptor agonist, vs once-daily liraglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on oral agents. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014;2(4):289-297.

- Pratley RE, Aroda VR, Lingvay I, et al. Semaglutide versus dulaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 7): a randomized, open-label, phase 3b trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2018 Jan 31: S2213-8587(18)30024-X. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30024-X. [Epub ahead of print]
- Qiao YC, Ling W, Pan YH, et al. Efficacy and safety of pramlintide injection adjunct to insulin therapy in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget.* 2017 Mar 8. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16008.
- Ratner RE, Dickey R, Fineman M, et al. Amylin replacement with pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves long-term glycemic and weight control in Type 1 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year, randomized controlled trial. *Diabet Med.* 2004;21(11):1204-1212.
- Ratner RE, Maggs D, Nielson LL, et al. Long-term effects of exenatide therapy over 82 weeks on glycemic control and weight in over-weight metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2006;8(4):419-428.
- Reusch J, Stewart MW, Perkins CM, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist albiglutide (HARMONY 1):52-week primary endpoint results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, trial in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus not controlled on pioglitazone, with or without metformin. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014;16(12):1257-1264.
- Riddle MC, Aronson R, Home P, et al. Adding once-daily lixisenatide for type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by established basal insulin: a 24-week, randomized, placebo-controlled comparison (GetGoal-L). *Diabetes Care.* 2013b;36(9):2489-2496.
- Riddle MC, Forst T, Aronson R, et al. Adding once-daily lixisenatide for type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with newly initiated and continuously titrated basal insulin glargine: a 24-week, randomized, placebo-controlled study (GetGoal-Duo 1). *Diabetes Care.* 2013a;36(9):2497-2503.
- Riddle MC, Henry RR, Poon TH, et al. Exenatide elicits sustained glycemic control and progressive reduction of body weight in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by sulfonylureas with or without metformin. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2006;22:483-491.
- Rosenstock J, Fonseca VA, Gross JL, et al. Advancing basal insulin replacement in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with insulin glargine plus oral agents: a comparison of adding albiglutide, a weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist, versus thrice-daily prandial insulin lispro. *Diabetes Care.* 2014a; 37:2317-2325.
- Rosenstock J, Guerci B, Hanefeld M, et al.; GetGoal Duo-2 Trial Investigators. Prandial options to advance basal Insulin glargine therapy: testing lixisenatide plus basal insulin versus insulin glulisine either as basal-plus or basal-bolus in type 2 diabetes: The GetGoal Duo-2 trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2016;39(8):1318-1328.
- Rosenstock J, Hanefeld M, Shamanna P, et al. Beneficial effects of once-daily lixisenatide on overall and postprandial glycemic levels without significant excess of hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on a sulfonylurea with or without metformin (GetGoal-S). *J Diabetes Complications.* 2014b;28(3):386-392.
- Rosenstock J, Raccach D, Korányi L, Maffei L, Boka G, Miossec P, Gerich JE. Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once daily versus exenatide twice daily in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a 24-week, randomized, open-label, active-controlled study (GetGoal-X). *Diabetes Care.* 2013;36(10):2945-2951.
- Russell-Jones D, Cuddihy RM, Hanefeld M, et al. Efficacy and safety of exenatide once weekly vs metformin, pioglitazone, and sitagliptin used as monotherapy in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-4). *Diabetes Care.* 2012;35:252-258.
- Russell-Jones D, Vaag A, Schmitz O, et al. Liraglutide vs insulin glargine and placebo in combination with metformin and sulfonylurea therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus (LEAD-5 met+SU): a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetologia.* 2009;52:2046-2055.
- Secnik Boye K, Matza LS, Oglesby A, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in a trial of exenatide and insulin glargine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2006;4:80.
- Seino Y, Min KW, Niemoeller E, Takami A; EFC10887 GETGOAL-L Asia Study Investigators. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the once-daily GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on basal insulin with or without a sulfonylurea (GetGoal-L-Asia). *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2012;14(10):910-917.
- Shyangdan DS, Royle P, Clar C, et al. Glucagon-like peptide analogues for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD006423. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006423.pub2.
- Singh-Franco D, Perez A, Harrington C. The effect of pramlintide acetate on glycemic control and weight in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and in obese patients without diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2011;13(2):169-180.
- Skydsgaard N. Novo plans larger study after encouraging semaglutide results. Reuters. <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-diabetes-novo-nordisk-idUSKCN11M0F6>. September 16, 2016. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Sorli C, Harashima SI, Tsoukas GM, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide monotherapy versus placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 1): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multinational, multicentre phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017 Apr;5(4):251-260. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30013-X.
- Sun F, Chai S, Li L, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists on weight loss in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Res.* 2015;2015:157201.
- Symlin [package insert], Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; April 2016.
- Tanzeum Discontinuation Consumer FAQ. <https://www.tanzeum.com/pdfs/consumer-faq.pdf>. July 2017. Accessed February 14, 2018.
- Tanzeum [package insert], Wilmington, DE: GlaxoSmithKline LLC; December 2017.
- Trulicity [package insert], Indianapolis, IN: Eli Lilly and Company; August 2017.
- Umpierrez G, Povedano ST, Manghi FP, et al. Efficacy and safety of dulaglutide monotherapy versus metformin in a randomized controlled trial (AWARD-3). *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37:2168-2176.
- Victoza [package insert], Princeton, NJ: Novo Nordisk Inc.; August 2017.
- Wang B, Zhong J, Lin H, et al. Blood pressure-lowering effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists exenatide and liraglutide: a meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013;15(8):737-749.
- Weinstock RS, Guerci B, Umpierrez G, et al. Safety and efficacy of once-weekly dulaglutide versus sitagliptin after 2 years in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-5): a randomized, phase III study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;17:849-858.
- Weissman PN, Carr MC, Ye J, et al. HARMONY 4: randomized clinical trial comparing once-weekly albiglutide and insulin glargine in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin with or without sulfonylurea. *Diabetologia.* 2014;57:2475-2484.
- Whitehouse F, Kruger DF, Fineman M, et al. A randomized study and open-label extension evaluating the long-term efficacy of pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2002;25(4):724-730.
- Wysham C, Blevins T, Arakaki R, et al. Efficacy and safety of dulaglutide added onto pioglitazone and metformin versus exenatide in Type 2 diabetes in a randomized controlled trial (AWARD-1). *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37:2159-2167.

- Wysham CH, Rosenstock J, Vetter ML, Dong F, Öhman P, Iqbal N. Efficacy and tolerability of the new autoinjected suspension of exenatide once weekly versus exenatide twice daily in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017 Jul 7. doi: 10.1111/dom.13056. [Epub ahead of print]
- Yu Pan C, Han P, Liu X, et al. Lixisenatide treatment improves glycaemic control in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin with or without sulfonylurea: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 24-week trial (GetGoal-M-Asia). *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2014;30(8):726-735.
- Zinman B, Gerich J, Buse JB, et al. Efficacy and safety of the human glucagon-like peptide-1 analog liraglutide in combination with metformin and thiazolidinedione in patients with type 2 diabetes (LEAD-4 Met+TZD). *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32(7):1224-1230.
- Zinman B, Hoogwerf BJ, Duran Garcia S, et al. The effect of adding exenatide to a thiazolidinedione in suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;146:477-485.

Publication Date: March 19, 2018