Proton Pump Inhibitors Review

02/12/2009

**Copyright** <sup>©</sup> 2004 - 2009 by Provider Synergies, L.L.C. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, digital scanning, or via any information storage and retrieval system without the express written consent of Provider Synergies, L.L.C.

All requests for permission should be mailed to:

Attention: Copyright Administrator Intellectual Property Department Provider Synergies, L.L.C. 5181 Natorp Blvd., Suite 205 Mason, Ohio 45040

The materials contained herein represent the opinions of the collective authors and editors and should not be construed to be the official representation of any professional organization or group, any state Pharmacy and Therapeutics committee, any state Medicaid Agency, or any other clinical committee. This material is not intended to be relied upon as medical advice for specific medical cases and nothing contained herein should be relied upon by any patient, medical professional or layperson seeking information about a specific course of treatment for a specific medical condition. All readers of this material are responsible for independently obtaining medical advice and guidance from their own physician and/or other medical professional in regard to the best course of treatment for their specific medical condition. This publication, inclusive of all forms contained herein, is intended to be educational in nature and is intended to be used for informational purposes only. Comments and suggestions may be sent to Editor@providersynergies.com.



## **Proton Pump Inhibitors Review**

| Drug  | Manufacturer                    | Duode     | nal Ulcer   | Pyrosis H. pylori GER<br>(Heartburn) eradication |   | GERD | ERD Erosive Esophagitis |             | Pathological<br>hypersecretory | Gastric<br>ulcers | NSAID-induced                     |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|---|------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
|   |                                 | Treatment | Maintenance | (neartburn)                                      | eradication                                       |      | Treatment               | Maintenance | conditions                     | uicers            | gastric ulcers                    |
| dexlansoprazole<br>(Kapidex™)                             | Takeda                          |           |             |  |   | х    | х                       | x           |                                |                   |                                   |
| esomeprazole<br>Nexium <sup>®</sup> )                     | Astra-<br>Zeneca                |           |             |  | X<br>with amoxicillin<br>+<br>clarithromycin      | х    | x                       | х           | x                              |                   | X<br>(risk reduction)             |
| lansoprazole<br>(Prevacid <sup>®</sup> )                  | ТАР                             | х         | х           |  | X<br>with amoxicillin<br>+/-<br>clarithromycin    | х    | x                       | x           | х                              | x                 | X<br>(risk reduction,<br>healing) |
| omeprazole (Prilosec <sup>®</sup> )                       | generic                         | х         |             |  | X<br>with<br>clarithromycin<br>+/-<br>amoxicillin | х    | x                       | x           | х                              | ×                 |                                   |
| omeprazole OTC<br>(Prilosec OTC <sup>®</sup> )            | generic,<br>Procter &<br>Gamble |           |             | х  |   |      |                         |             |                                |                   |                                   |
| omeprazole OTC  | Dexcel                          |           |             | х  |   |      |                         |             |                                |                   |                                   |
| omeprazole/ sodium<br>bicarbonate (Zegerid <sup>®</sup> ) | Santarus                        | х         |             |  |   | х    | х                       | х           |                                | х                 |                                   |
| pantoprazole<br>(Protonix <sup>®</sup> )                  | generic,<br>Wyeth               |           |             |  |   |      | x                       | x           | Х                              |                   |                                   |
| rabeprazole (Aciphex <sup>®</sup> )                       | Eisai                           | x         |             |  | X<br>with amoxicillin<br>+<br>clarithromycin      | х    | x                       | x           | х                              |                   |                                   |

## FDA-Approved Indications (adults)<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6,7</sup>

Omeprazole/sodium bicarbonate (Zegerid) 40/1,680 mg is indicated for the reduction of risk of upper GI bleeding in critically ill patients. ٠

Esomeprazole (Nexium) is indicated for the short-term treatment of GERD in children one to 17 years old.

Lansoprazole (Prevacid) is indicated for the short-term treatment of GERD in children older than one, and short term treatment of erosive esophagitis in children one to 11 years old.

Omeprazole (Prilosec) is indicated for the treatment of GERD and other acid related disorders in children one to 16 years.

Rabeprazole (Aciphex) is indicated for the short-term treatment of GERD in children 12 years of age and older.

## Overview

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) demonstrate gastric acid suppression superior to histamine-2 receptor antagonists (H<sub>2</sub>RAs). PPIs achieve a more rapid and sustained increase in gastric pH and are not associated with the rapid tachyphylaxis seen with H<sub>2</sub>RAs, thereby, offering improved treatment of various acid-peptic disorders, including gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease (PUD), and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-induced gastropathy. PPIs have minimal adverse effects, few significant drug interactions, and are generally considered safe for long-term treatment.<sup>8</sup>

PPIs are recommended as first-line therapy for the treatment of severe GERD-related symptoms or erosive esophagitis (EE).  $H_2RAs$  can be used in patients with mild symptoms or verified nonerosive disease. PPIs are more effective than  $H_2RAs$  in providing symptomatic relief (83 versus 60 percent) and healing (78 versus 50 percent) of esophagitis. Because GERD is a chronic condition, continuous therapy to control symptoms and prevent complications is appropriate.<sup>9</sup>

PPIs are used in conjunction with various antimicrobials for the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*, the most common cause of PUD. Antisecretory therapy with either  $H_2RAs$  or PPIs accelerates ulcer healing and provides rapid symptomatic improvement. However, failure to eradicate *H. pylori* results in a 60 to 80 percent relapse rate after one year in the absence of continual maintenance antisecretory therapy.<sup>10</sup> The rates of relapse following successful eradication of *H. pylori* range from 0.5 percent to up to 20 percent.<sup>11,12</sup>

NSAID use, the second-most common cause of PUD, is largely responsible for the current epidemic of upper gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding and perforation in the elderly. Continued NSAID use may delay healing of ulcers.<sup>13</sup> PPIs are as effective as misoprostol at reducing NSAID-induced ulcer formation and are better tolerated.<sup>14,15</sup>

According to the 2008 guidelines of the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) Institute, there were several strongly recommended options based on good evidence that may improve important health outcomes in the treatment of patients with esophageal GERD syndromes. One of these options is that healing esophagitis, symptomatic relief and maintaining healing of esophagitis is more effective with PPIs than H<sub>2</sub>RAs. Long-term use of PPIs for the treatment of patients with esophagitis is reasonable as long as the dose is titrated down to the lowest effective dose based on symptom control. Lastly, antireflux surgery should remain an option if a patient is intolerant of acid suppressive therapy.<sup>16</sup>

#### Pharmacology

All PPIs are substituted benzimidazole derivatives that reduce gastric acid secretion by specifically inhibiting the proton pump ( $H^+/K^+$ -ATPase) at the secretory surface of the gastric parietal cell.<sup>17,18,19</sup>

PPIs are prodrugs, which require activation in order to inhibit gastric acid secretion. After oral administration, PPIs are absorbed into systemic circulation and ultimately enter actively secreting parietal cells. At highly acidic pH, the agents are activated by conversion to a sulfenamide moiety that binds to the luminal surface of H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, thereby irreversibly inhibiting the gastric proton pump.<sup>20,21</sup> A profound, long-lasting antisecretory effect is produced, capable of maintaining the gastric pH above four, even during postprandial acid surges.<sup>22</sup>

## **Pharmacokinetics**

| Drug   | Bioavailability<br>(%) | t <sub>1/2</sub><br>(hours) | T <sub>max</sub><br>(hours) | Metabolism                           | Elimination<br>(%)         |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| dexlansoprazole<br>(Kapidex) <sup>23</sup>       | 47-60                  | 1-2                         | 1-2<br>then<br>4-5          |                                      | Urine: 50.7<br>Feces: 47.6 |
| esomeprazole<br>(Nexium) <sup>24</sup>           | 64-90                  | 1.0-1.5                     | 1.5                         |                                      | Urine: 80<br>Feces: 20     |
| lansoprazole                                     | 80                     | < 2                         | 1.7                         |                                      | Urine: 33                  |
| (Prevacid) <sup>25</sup>                         |                        |                             |                             | Hepatic by CYP 3A4, 2C19 to inactive | Feces: 67                  |
| omeprazole                                       | 30-40                  | 0.5-1                       | 0.5-3.5                     | metabolites                          | Urine: 77                  |
| (Prilosec) <sup>26</sup>                         |                        |                             |                             |                                      | Feces: 23                  |
| omeprazole/                                      | 30-40                  | 1                           | 0.5                         | Metabolism of active drug            | Urine: 77                  |
| sodium<br>bicarbonate<br>(Zegerid) <sup>27</sup> |                        |                             |                             | is nearly 100 percent                | Feces: 23                  |
| pantoprazole                                     | 77                     | 1                           | 2.5                         |                                      | Urine: 71                  |
| (Protonix) <sup>28</sup>                         |                        |                             |                             |                                      | Feces: 18                  |
| rabeprazole                                      | 52                     | 1-2                         | 2-5                         |                                      | Urine: 90                  |
| (Aciphex) <sup>29</sup>                          |                        |                             |                             |                                      | Feces: 10                  |

PPIs are degraded by gastric acid. Drug formulations must therefore withstand degradation to deliver active drug to the stomach for absorption. Pharmacokinetic studies indicate plasma concentrations vary considerably from individual to individual, and there is poor correlation between maximal plasma concentration and degree of gastric acid suppression.<sup>30,31</sup> Although PPIs have short plasma elimination half-lives, duration of gastric acid inhibition is prolonged due to irreversible binding to the proton pump.<sup>32</sup> With continued daily dosing, bioavailability increases for esomeprazole and omeprazole.<sup>33,34</sup>

Genetic expression of CYP2C19 varies from person to person. As a result, a small subset of patients (13 to 23 percent of Asians, two to six percent of Caucasians) experience two to four times higher than usual plasma concentrations when treated with PPIs extensively metabolized by CYP2C19.<sup>35</sup>

Some claim that a dose of 40 mg of the S-enantiomer of omeprazole (esomeprazole) results in 10 to 15 percent higher healing rates in GERD patients, compared to 20 mg omeprazole racemate. The same difference in healing rate is found when the two doses of omeprazole racemate are compared to each other. Moreover, as with the other PPIs, pharmacokinetic differences between the enantiomers seem to be of little, if any, clinical importance in the patient.<sup>36</sup>

# Contraindications/Warnings<sup>37,38,39,40,41,42,43</sup>

PPIs are contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to any component of the formulation.

A special precaution related to the phenylalanine component in lansoprazole ODT (Prevacid SoluTab) for patients with phenylketonuria is listed. There is 2.5 mg of phenylalanine in the 15 mg tablet and 5.1 mg in the 30 mg tablet.

Symptomatic response to therapy with PPIs does not preclude the presence of gastric malignancy.

## **Drug Interactions**<sup>44,45,46,47,48,49,50</sup>

All PPIs have the potential to cause pH-dependent drug interactions. The agents can cause a significant decrease in the absorption of weak bases, such as ketoconazole or itraconazole. Omeprazole (Prilosec, Prilosec OTC, Zegerid) inhibits CYP2C19, potentially leading to interactions with diazepam, phenytoin, and warfarin.

Effects of esomeprazole (Nexium) on CYP2C19 have not been shown to be clinically relevant. Lansoprazole (Prevacid) weakly induces the metabolism of theophylline. In contrast, rabeprazole (Aciphex) and pantoprazole (Protonix) do not interact with the CYP450 system significantly.

Atazanavir (Reyetaz<sup>®</sup>) and nelfinavir (Viracept<sup>®</sup>), HIV protease inhibitors, require the presence of gastric acid for absorption; therefore, PPIs reduce gastric acid and systemic absorption of atazanavir and nelfinavir. PPIs should not be coadministered with these agents. In addition, omeprazole and esomeprazole may increase the plasma levels of saquinavir (Invirase<sup>®</sup>). Dose reduction of saquinavir should be considered from the safety perspective for individual patients.

Concomitant administration of omeprazole and tacrolimus may increase the serum levels of tacrolimus.

Concurrent use of PPIs and warfarin may result in increased INR and prothrombin time. Increases in INR and prothrombin time may lead to abnormal bleeding and even death. Monitor the INR in patients treated with PPIs and warfarin concomitantly.

The FDA in January 2009 issued an early communication regarding an ongoing safety review of clopidogrel (Plavix<sup>®</sup>) and the effect of genetic factors and other drugs including the PPIs.<sup>51</sup> Until further information is available from these studies, the FDA recommends that health care providers should continue to prescribe clopidogrel because it prevents blood clots that can lead to a heart attack or stroke. In addition, health care providers should re-evaluate the necessity for starting or continuing treatment with PPIs in patients taking clopidogrel (risk versus benefit). This information has not been substantiated and has conflicting information as noted in the FDA notice. It states "one class of drugs commonly used with clopidogrel is proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Some reports suggest that use of certain PPIs may make clopidogrel less effective by inhibiting the enzyme that converts clopidogrel to the active form of the drug. Other reports do not suggest this effect."<sup>52,53,54,55</sup>

Combined administration consisting of rabeprazole or esomeprazole with amoxicillin, and clarithromycin resulted in increases in plasma concentrations of rabeprazole and 14-

hydroxyclarithromycin.

Voriconazole (Vfend<sup>®</sup>), a combined inhibitor of CYP2C19 and CYP3A4, may increase plasma levels of omeprazole and esomeprazole.

| Drug  | Abdominal<br>pain | Diarrhea  | Headache   | Nausea    |
|---|-------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| dexlansoprazole<br>(Kapidex) <sup>57</sup>                  | 3.5 – 4.0         | 4.7 – 5.1 | < 2.0      | 2.8 - 3.3 |
| esomeprazole<br>(Nexium) <sup>58</sup>                      | 3.8               | 4.3       | 3.8 - 5.5  | > 1.0     |
| lansoprazole<br>(Prevacid) <sup>59</sup>                    | 2.1               | 1.4 - 7.4 | 2.5 - 13.0 | 1.3 - 3.0 |
| omeprazole<br>(Prilosec) <sup>60</sup>                      | 5.2               | 3.7       | 6.9        | 4.0       |
| omeprazole/sodium<br>bicarbonate<br>(Zegerid) <sup>61</sup> | 0.4 - 5.2         | 1.9 - 3.7 | 2.4 - 6.9  | 0.9 - 4.0 |
| pantoprazole<br>(Protonix) <sup>62</sup>                    | 1 - 4             | 2 - 6     | 2 - 9      | 2         |
| rabeprazole<br>(Aciphex) <sup>63</sup>                      | ≥2                | ≥ 2       | 2.4        | < 2       |

### Adverse Effects<sup>56</sup>

Adverse effects are reported as a percentage. Adverse effects data are obtained from package inserts and are not meant to be comparative. nr = not reported

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) completed a comprehensive, scientific review of the known safety data for omeprazole and esomeprazole on December 10, 2007. The difference in the frequency of heart attacks and other heart-related problems seen in an earlier analysis of the two small long-term studies does not indicate the presence of a true effect. Therefore, the FDA concluded that the long-term use of these drugs is not likely to be associated with an increased risk of heart problems.<sup>64</sup>

## Special Populations<sup>65,66,67,68,69,70,71</sup>

#### <u>Pediatrics</u>

Safety and effectiveness of lansoprazole (Prevacid) have been established for the short-term treatment of GERD and short-term treatment of erosive esophagitis in children aged one to 17 years. Esomeprazole (Nexium) is indicated for short-term treatment of GERD (ages one to 17 years) and the healing of erosive esophagitis (ages one to 11 years). Omeprazole (Prilosec) is indicated for children ages one to 16 years for the short-term treatment of GERD and the maintenance of healing of erosive esophagitis. Rabeprazole (Aciphex) is indicated for the short-term treatment of GERD in patients 12 years of age and older.

#### Pregnancy & Lactation

Omeprazole (Prilosec, Zegerid) is Pregnancy Category C. Other agents in the class are rated Pregnancy Category B.

Omeprazole (Prilosec, Zegerid) and pantoprazole (Protonix) have been shown to be secreted into human breast milk. It is not known if other agents in this class are found in human breast milk. The clinician should assist the mother in making a decision to either discontinue nursing or discontinue the PPI, taking into account the potential risk to the infant and the benefit of the drug to the mother.<sup>72</sup>

In addition, sodium bicarbonate, which is a component in Zegerid Powder for Oral Suspension, should be used with caution in nursing mothers.

#### Other considerations - renal, hepatic, race, etc.

The clearance of PPIs may be reduced in patients with advanced age and those with mild to moderate liver disease.<sup>73,74,75</sup> The decrease in clearance, however, does not necessitate a dose reduction. Pharmacokinetic studies in patients with severe liver disease indicate there is a substantial increase in the area under the concentration-time curve and a prolongation of the plasma elimination half-life for every PPI.<sup>76,77</sup> The half-life does not reflect the duration of suppression of gastric acid secretion caused by PPIs.

Consideration should be given to reducing PPI dosage in patients with severe hepatic disease. Doses of dexlansoprazole (Kapidex) 30 mg should be considered for patients with moderate hepatic disease, however no studies have been conduct with dexlansoprazole in patients with severe hepatic impairment. Doses of esomeprazole (Nexium) should not exceed 20 mg in those with severe hepatic disease. Doses of pantoprazole (Protonix ) greater than 40 mg per day have not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment.

Dose reduction is not required in patients with renal impairment due to significant metabolism of PPIs by the liver.

Genetic expression of CYP2C19 varies from person to person. As a result, a small subset of patients (13 to 23 percent of Asians, two to six percent of Caucasians) experience two to four times higher than usual plasma concentrations when treated with PPIs extensively metabolized by CYP2C19.

In pharmacokinetic studies of single 20 mg omeprazole doses, an increase in area under the curve (AUC) of approximately four-fold was noted in Asian subjects compared with Caucasians. Dose reduction, particularly where maintenance of healing of erosive esophagitis is indicated, for Asian subjects should be considered.

## Dosages

| Drug                         | Adults  | Pediatrics   | Availability   |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| dexlansoprazole<br>(Kapidex) | Erosive esophagitis (healing) – 60 mg once daily for up to eight weeks  |  | 30, 60 mg delayed-<br>release capsules   |  |
|                              | Erosive esophagitis (maintenance of healing) – 30 mg once daily (Controlled studies did not extend beyond six months.)                            |  |  |  |
|                              | Symptomatic non-erosive GERD – 30 mg once daily for four weeks  |  |  |  |
| esomeprazole<br>(Nexium)     | <i>H. pylori</i> eradication - 40 mg daily + clarithromycin 500 mg and amoxicillin 1 g twice daily for 10 days                                    | Erosive Esophagitis<br>(treatment) - Ages one to 11<br>years: Weight-based dosing<br>< 20 kg: 10 mg daily for 8<br>weeks<br>≥ 20 kg: 10 to 20 mg daily | 20, 40 mg delayed-<br>release capsules<br>10, 20, 40 mg delayed-<br>release powder for oral<br>suspension*<br>Nexium Delayed-<br>Release Powder for Oral<br>Suspension should be<br>administered in water. |  |
|                              | GERD - 20 or 40 mg daily for four weeks. An additional four weeks may be considered if needed.  |  |  |  |
|                              | Erosive esophagitis (treatment) - 20 or 40 mg<br>daily for four to eight weeks. An additional four to<br>eight weeks may be considered if needed. | for eight weeks<br>GERD – Ages one to 11<br>years:   |  |  |
|                              | Erosive esophagitis (maintenance) - 20 mg daily.<br>(Controlled studies did not extend beyond six<br>months.)                                     | 10 mg daily for up to eight weeks<br>GERD - Ages 12 to 17  |  |  |
|                              | Pathological hypersecretory conditions -<br>40 mg twice   | years:<br>20 to 40 mg daily for up to<br>eight weeks   |  |  |
|                              | Reduction of risk for NSAID-associated gastric ulcers - 20 or 40 mg daily for up to six months.   |  |  |  |

## Dosages (Continued)

| Drug                       | Adults  | Pediatrics                                    | Availability                   |
|----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
|                            | Duodenal ulcer (treatment) -<br>15 mg daily for four weeks  | GERD and Erosive<br>esophagitis (treatment) - | 15 mg delayed-release capsules |
| lansoprazole<br>(Prevacid) |   |   |                                |
|                            | weeks<br>Healing of NSAID-associated gastric ulcers in<br>patients who require a NSAID – 30 mg daily for up<br>to eight weeks |   |                                |

## Dosages (Continued)

| Drug  | Adults   | Pediatrics   | Availability   |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | Duodenal ulcer (Treatment) -<br>20 mg daily for up to four to eight weeks  | GERD and Erosive<br>Esophagitis (maintenance)  | <ul> <li>10, 20, 40 mg delayed-<br/>release capsules</li> <li>2.5, 10 mg packets for<br/>oral suspension</li> <li>Prilosec for Delayed-<br/>Release Oral<br/>Suspension should be<br/>administered in water.</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li><i>H. pylori</i> eradication - 20 mg twice daily</li> <li>+ clarithromycin 500 mg and amoxicillin 1 g twice daily for 10 days</li> <li>-OR-</li> <li>40 mg daily + clarithromycin 500 mg three times a day for 14 days</li> <li>An additional 14-18 days of omeprazole 20 mg daily is recommended for ulcer healing and symptom relief</li> </ul> | Ages one to 16 years old:<br>Weight based dosing<br>5 kg to < 10kg: 5mg once<br>daily<br>10kg to < 20 kg: 10 mg<br>daily<br>≥ 20 kg: 20 mg daily |  |
| omeprazole<br>(Prilosec)                          | Gastric ulcer - 40 mg daily for four to eight weeks  |  |  |
|   | GERD- 20 mg daily for up to four weeks   |  |  |
|   | Erosive esophagitis (treatment) -<br>20 mg daily for four to eight weeks   |  |  |
|   | Erosive esophagitis (maintenance) -<br>20 mg daily   |  |  |
|   | Pathological hypersecretory conditions -<br>60 mg daily (Doses up to 120 mg three times a<br>day has been used. Some patients with Zollinger-<br>Ellison syndrome have been treated continuously<br>with Prilosec for more than five years.)   |  |  |
| omeprazole OTC<br>(Prilosec OTC)                  | Heartburn - 20 mg daily for 14 days  |  | 20 mg delayed-release tablet   |
|   | Duodenal ulcer (treatment) - 20 mg daily for four<br>weeks. An additional four weeks may be<br>considered if needed.   |  | 20 and 40 mg<br>immediate-release<br>capsules (Each capsule<br>contains 1,100 mg<br>sodium bicarbonate)<br>20 and 40 mg<br>immediate-release<br>(Each packet contains<br>1,680 mg sodium<br>bicarbonate)<br>Contents of packet<br>should be taken in 1-2<br>tablespoons of water.<br>Do not use other liquids<br>or foods. |
|   | Gastric ulcer - 40 mg daily for four to eight weeks  |  |  |
|   | GERD - 20 mg daily for up to four weeks  |  |  |
| omeprazole/<br>sodium<br>bicarbonate<br>(Zegerid) | Erosive esophagitis (treatment) -<br>20 mg daily for four to eight weeks   |  |  |
|   | Erosive esophagitis (maintenance) -<br>20 mg daily   |  |  |
|   | Reduction of risk of upper GI bleeding in critically<br>ill patients (40 mg oral suspension only) - 40 mg<br>initially, followed by 40 mg six to eight hours later<br>and 40 mg daily thereafter for 14 days   |  |  |

## Dosages (Continued)

| Drug                       | Adults   | Pediatrics  | Availability  |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|
|                            | Erosive esophagitis (treatment) due to GERD - 40   |   | 20, 40 mg delayed-<br>release tablets   |
|                            | Erosive esophagitis (maintenance) due to GERD -<br>40 mg daily   |   | 40 mg delayed-release<br>packets for oral<br>suspension   |
| pantoprazole<br>(Protonix) | Pathological hypersecretory conditions -<br>40 mg twice daily (Dosages up to 240 mg daily<br>have been used. Some patients have been<br>treated continuously for more than two years.)   |   | Protonix For Delayed-<br>Release Oral<br>Suspension should only<br>be administered in apple<br>juice or applesauce, not<br>in water or other liquids<br>or foods. See package<br>insert for complete<br>administration directions |
| rabeprazole<br>(Aciphex)   | Duodenal ulcer (treatment) - 20 mg daily for up to four weeks. A few patients may require additional therapy to achieve healing.   | GERD - Ages 12 years and<br>older: 20 mg daily for up to<br>eight weeks | 20 mg delayed-release tablets   |
|                            | <i>H. pylori</i> eradication - 20 mg twice daily<br>+ clarithromycin 500 mg and amoxicillin 1 g twice<br>daily for seven days  |   |   |
|                            | GERD - 20 mg daily for four weeks. An additional four weeks may be considered if needed.   |   |   |
|                            | Erosive esophagitis (treatment) -<br>20 mg daily for four to eight weeks   |   |   |
|                            | Erosive esophagitis (maintenance) -<br>20 mg daily   |   |   |
|                            | Pathological hypersecretory conditions -<br>60 mg daily (Doses up to 100 mg daily and 60 mg<br>twice daily have been used. Some patients with<br>Zollinger-Ellison syndrome have been treated<br>continuously for up to one year.) |   |   |

## **Clinical Trials**

#### Search Strategy

Articles were identified through searches performed on PubMed and review of information sent by manufacturers. Search strategy included the use of all drugs in this class. Randomized, controlled, comparative trials are considered the most relevant in this category. Studies included for analysis in the review were published in English, performed with human participants and randomly allocated participants to comparison groups. In addition, studies must contain clearly stated, predetermined outcome measure(s) of known or probable clinical importance, use data analysis techniques consistent with the study question and include follow-up (endpoint assessment) of at least 80 percent of participants entering the investigation. Despite some inherent bias found in all studies including those sponsored and/or funded by pharmaceutical manufacturers, the studies in this therapeutic class review were determined to have results or conclusions that do not suggest systematic error in their experimental study design. While the potential influence of manufacturer sponsorship/funding must be considered, the studies in this review have also been evaluated for validity and importance.

For purposes of this review, data were further screened based on the following characteristics: open-label design, duration of therapy of less than three days, primary outcome studied not of clinical relevance, use of formulations not included in this review, unapproved dosing regimens, and results measured by survey.

#### **Duodenal Ulcer**

#### lansoprazole (Prevacid) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

In a double-blind, randomized study, 279 patients with active duodenal ulcers were treated with either 30 mg lansoprazole or 20 mg omeprazole daily.<sup>78</sup> No differences in healing rates between the groups either after two weeks (86.2 percent for lansoprazole and 82.1 percent for omeprazole) or after four weeks (97.1 percent and 96.2 percent, respectively) were observed. No patient ceased treatment secondary to adverse effects.

A randomized, multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group study compared the efficacy of lansoprazole with omeprazole in duodenal ulcer healing and prevention of relapse.<sup>79</sup> A total of 251 patients with duodenal ulcer were treated with either lansoprazole 30 mg (n=167) or omeprazole 40 mg (n=84) daily. Patients with healed ulcers were then randomly allocated to 12 months of maintenance therapy with lansoprazole 15 mg (n=74), lansoprazole 30 mg (n=71), or omeprazole 20 mg (n=73) daily. Healing rates at four weeks were 93.9 percent with lansoprazole and 97.5 percent with omeprazole, with no significant differences between groups. Endoscopic relapse rates after six months were 4.5 percent with lansoprazole 15 mg, zero percent, zero percent, and 3.5 percent, respectively, at 12 months. There were no significant differences between groups. The incidence of adverse events during acute treatment was six percent and 7.1 percent in the lansoprazole and omeprazole groups, respectively. During maintenance therapy, adverse events occurred in 12.2 percent of patients treated with lansoprazole 15 mg, 5.6 percent with lansoprazole 30 mg, and 11 percent with omeprazole 20 mg.

#### pantoprazole (Protonix) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

In a randomized, double-blind study, 270 patients with one or two duodenal ulcers received pantoprazole 40 mg or omeprazole 20 mg once daily for two or four weeks.<sup>80</sup> The primary measure of efficacy was healing rate of duodenal ulcers. Complete healing of ulcers was observed in 71 percent of the pantoprazole group and in 65 percent of the omeprazole group after two weeks of treatment. Cumulative healing rates after four weeks were 95 percent and 89 percent, respectively. There were no significant differences between treatment groups with respect to either healing rates or freedom from ulcer pain at two weeks. Both treatments were well tolerated. The study concluded that pantoprazole 40 mg daily and omeprazole 20 mg daily are equally effective in inducing ulcer healing.

In a randomized, double-blind, multicenter study, pantoprazole and omeprazole were compared in patients with active duodenal ulcers.<sup>81</sup> Two hundred and seventy-six patients received either pantoprazole 40 mg or omeprazole 20 mg once daily for two or four weeks, based on progress of ulcer healing. Rates of complete ulcer healing after two weeks were 71 percent in pantoprazole patients and 74 percent in omeprazole patients. After four weeks, rates were 96 percent and 91 percent, respectively. These differences were not significant. There was no

significant difference in ulcer pain prior to treatment, and 85 percent of the pantoprazole group and 86 percent on omeprazole were pain-free after two weeks (not significant). Treatments were equally well tolerated.

#### rabeprazole (Aciphex) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

A randomized, double-blind, multicenter study compared the efficacy and tolerability of rabeprazole and omeprazole in patients with active duodenal ulcers.<sup>82</sup> Patients with active duodenal ulcer received rabeprazole 20 mg (n=102) or omeprazole 20 mg (n=103) once daily for two or four weeks with ulcer healing monitored by endoscopy. After two weeks, complete ulcer healing after two weeks was documented in 69 percent of patients given rabeprazole and in 62 percent of patients given omeprazole (p=0.083). After four weeks, healing rates were 98 percent in the rabeprazole group and 93 percent in the omeprazole group (p=NS). Rabeprazole-treated patients had significantly greater improvement in daytime pain symptom relief than those treated with omeprazole at the conclusion of the study (p=0.038). Both drugs were well tolerated over the four-week treatment period. The study concluded that rabeprazole produced healing rates equivalent to omeprazole at weeks two and four.

#### Erosive Esophagitis

The Los Angeles (LA) classification is typically used to grade the severity of esophagitis. Grade A indicates that there are one or more isolated mucosal breaks less than or equal to five millimeters long.<sup>83</sup> Grade B esophagitis has one or more isolated mucosal breaks greater than five millimeters in length. Grade C involves one or more mucosal breaks bridging the tops of folds in the esophagus but involving less than 75 percent of the circumference. Grade D esophagitis is one or more mucosal breaks bridging the tops of folds and involving greater than 75 percent of the esophageal circumference.

#### esomeprazole (Nexium) versus lansoprazole (Prevacid)

A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial compared esomeprazole with lansoprazole for healing of erosive esophagitis and resolution of heartburn.<sup>84</sup> The trial enrolled 5,241 adult patients with endoscopically documented erosive esophagitis, graded by severity at baseline. Patients received 40 mg of esomeprazole (n=2,624) or 30 mg of lansoprazole (n=2,617) once daily before breakfast for up to eight weeks. The primary efficacy endpoint was healing of erosive esophagitis at week eight. Secondary assessments included proportion of patients healed at week four, resolution of investigator-recorded heartburn, time to first and time to sustained resolution of patient diary-recorded heartburn, and proportion of heartburn-free days and nights. Esomeprazole 40 mg demonstrated significantly higher healing rates (92.6 percent) than lansoprazole 30 mg (88.8 percent) at week eight (95% CI, 7.5-90.0 percent; p=0.0001). A significant difference in healing rates favoring esomeprazole was also observed at week four. The difference in healing rates between esomeprazole and lansoprazole increased as baseline severity of erosive esophagitis increased. Sustained resolution of heartburn occurred faster and in more patients treated with esomeprazole. Sustained resolution of nocturnal heartburn also occurred faster with esomeprazole. Both treatments were well tolerated. Esomeprazole 40 mg is at least as effective as lansoprazole 30 mg in healing erosive esophagitis and resolving heartburn. Healing rates are consistently high with esomeprazole regardless of baseline disease severity.

To compare healing rates with esomeprazole versus lansoprazole in patients with moderate to severe erosive esophagitis, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial enrolled

999 patients with endoscopically confirmed moderate or severe erosive esophagitis.<sup>85</sup> Patients received esomeprazole 40 mg (n=498) or lansoprazole 30 mg (n=501) once daily for up to eight weeks. The primary end point was erosive esophagitis healing through week eight. Secondary assessments included investigator-assessed resolution of symptoms and safety and tolerability. Estimated healing rates at week eight were 82.4 percent with esomeprazole and 77.5 percent with lansoprazole. Heartburn resolved at week four in 72 percent and 64 percent of patients who received esomeprazole and lansoprazole, respectively (p=0.005). Control of other GERD symptoms was similar between treatments groups, and both treatments were well tolerated.

A double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, multicenter, maintenance trial (n=1,026) enrolled patients previously treated for erosive esophagitis with no endoscopic evidence of ongoing disease and who were symptom-free (no heartburn or acid regurgitation symptoms) during the week prior to initiating maintenance therapy. Patients with Los Angeles grades C and D erosive esophagitis at baseline were randomized to treatment with either esomeprazole 40 mg or lansoprazole 30 mg once daily. Patients with Los Angeles grades A and B erosive esophagitis at baseline received esomeprazole 40 mg.<sup>86</sup> Following initial treatment, patients were randomized to maintenance once-daily therapy with esomeprazole 20 mg or lansoprazole 15 mg for up to six months. Esophago-gastroduodenoscopies were completed at months three and six, and investigators assessed symptom severity at months one, three, and six. Estimated endoscopic/symptomatic remission rate during a period of six months was significantly higher (p=0.0007) for patients who received esomeprazole 15 mg (75.9 percent). There were no significant differences between treatments for reflux symptoms. Both treatments were well tolerated.

#### esomeprazole (Nexium) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

The study was designed to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of esomeprazole relative to omeprazole in healing erosive esophagitis and resolving accompanying symptoms of GERD.<sup>87</sup> Esomeprazole 40 mg once daily was compared with omeprazole 20 mg once daily in 2,425 patients with erosive esophagitis (*H. pylori*-negative by serology) in an eight-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group study. The primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of patients with healed esophagitis at eight weeks. Secondary endpoints were the proportion of patients healed at week four, resolution of heartburn at week four, time to first resolution and sustained resolution of heartburn, and proportion of heartburn-free days and nights. Significantly more patients were healed with esomeprazole versus omeprazole at week eight (93.7 percent versus 84.2 percent, respectively; p<0.001). Healing rates at week four were 81.7 percent and 68.7 percent, respectively. Secondary outcome measures favored esomeprazole.

To compare esomeprazole with omeprazole for healing erosive esophagitis, 1,148 patients with endoscopically confirmed erosive esophagitis were randomized to daily esomeprazole 40 mg or omeprazole 20 mg for eight weeks in a multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group trial.<sup>88</sup> The primary outcome was the proportion of patients with healed erosive esophagitis at week eight. At week eight, estimated healing rates were 92.2 percent (95% CI, 89.9-94.5 percent) with esomeprazole and 89.8 percent (95% CI, 87.2-92.4 percent) with omeprazole. The treatments showed comparable tolerability profiles.

A similarly designed multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group eight-week compared low-dose esomeprazole 20 mg daily with omeprazole 20 mg daily in 1,176 patients with confirmed erosive esophagitis (*H. pylori*-negative by serology) and found no significant difference in healing rates.<sup>89</sup> The primary outcome of the study was the proportion of patients with healed EE through

week eight. Secondary outcomes included diary and investigator assessments of heartburn symptoms. Cumulative life-table healing rates at week eight were similarly high for esomeprazole 20 mg (90.6 percent; 95% CI, 88.1-93) and omeprazole 20 mg (88.3 percent; 95% CI, 85.5-91.0). Both treatments were comparable for other secondary measures and had similar tolerability profiles.

Esomeprazole 20 mg and 40 mg and omeprazole 20 mg, each given once daily, were evaluated in the healing and symptom resolution of endoscopically confirmed reflux esophagitis in 1,960 patients in a randomized, double-blinded trial.<sup>90</sup> The primary efficacy variable was the proportion of patients healed at week eight. Secondary variables included healing and heartburn resolution at week 4, time to first resolution and sustained resolution of heartburn, and per cent of heartburn-free days and nights. Significantly more patients were healed at week eight with esomeprazole 40 mg (94.1 percent) and 20 mg (89.9 percent) compared to omeprazole 20 mg (86.9 percent) (each p<0.05). Esomeprazole 40 mg was also significantly more effective than omeprazole for healing at week four and for all secondary variables evaluating heartburn resolution. Tolerability was similar in all groups.

#### esomeprazole (Nexium) versus pantoprazole (Protonix)

In the eight-week EXPO study, the efficacy of esomeprazole 40 mg versus pantoprazole 40 mg for healing erosive esophagitis in 3,151 patients with a history of symptomatic GERD (six months or more) and heartburn on at least four of the seven days preceding enrollment was examined.<sup>91</sup> Endoscopies were performed to grade erosive esophagitis severity using the Los Angeles (LA) classification system at baseline, four weeks, and eight weeks (if unhealed at four weeks). Heartburn severity was recorded by patients on diary cards. The primary end point was healing of erosive esophagitis by week eight of treatment. Esomeprazole 40 mg healed a significantly greater proportion of erosive esophagitis patients than pantoprazole 40 mg at both four weeks (esomeprazole 81 percent, pantoprazole 75 percent, p<0.001) and eight weeks (esomeprazole 96 percent, pantoprazole 92 percent, p<0.001). The median time to reach sustained heartburn resolution was six days in patients receiving esomeprazole and eight days with pantoprazole (p<0.001).

In the six-month maintenance phase of the EXPO study, patients (n=2,766) with symptoms of GERD and endoscopically confirmed erosive esophagitis at baseline were randomized to treatment with either esomeprazole 20 mg or pantoprazole 20 mg for up to eight weeks. The study was double-blinded.<sup>92</sup> Patients free of moderate/severe heartburn and acid regurgitation and with healed erosive esophagitis at four to eight weeks continued the assigned treatment regimen into a six-month maintenance therapy phase of the study. Following six months of treatment, the proportion of patients with endoscopic and symptomatic remission was significantly greater for those receiving esomeprazole 20 mg than pantoprazole 20 mg (87 versus 74.9 percent; p<0.0001). Esomeprazole produced a higher proportion of patients free of moderate/severe GERD symptoms and fewer discontinuations due to ongoing symptoms than pantoprazole (92.2 versus 88.5 percent, respectively; p<0.001).

#### Gastric Ulcer

#### rabeprazole (Aciphex) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

A randomized, double-blind, multicenter study compared rabeprazole and omeprazole in patients with active gastric ulcers.<sup>93</sup> Two hundred and twenty-seven patients were randomized to receive either rabeprazole 20 mg (n=113) or omeprazole 20 mg (n=114) once daily for three

or six weeks, with healing monitored by endoscopy. After three weeks, complete healing was documented in 58 percent of rabeprazole patients and 61 percent of omeprazole patients (p=NS). After six weeks, healing rates were identical in both groups at 91 percent. Differences in symptom relief significantly favored rabeprazole at week three for daytime pain improvement (p=0.023), at week six for pain frequency (p=0.006) and complete resolution of night pain (p=0.022). Both drugs were well-tolerated over the six-week treatment course.

#### pantoprazole (Protonix) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

A randomized, double-blind study in 219 patients with benign gastric ulcers compared pantoprazole 40 mg (n=146) and omeprazole 20 mg (n=73) once daily.<sup>94</sup> Treatment was administered for four weeks and extended another four weeks if the ulcer had not healed. After four weeks, complete ulcer healing was seen in 88 percent of pantoprazole patients and 77 percent of patients treated with omeprazole (p<0.05). At eight weeks, the corresponding values were 97 percent and 96 percent, respectively (p=NS). Ten percent of patients in each group reported adverse events.

#### GERD

The Savary-Miller classification is used to grade the severity of GERD. Grade I GERD has one or more erosions in one mucosal fold of the esophagus. Grade II has one or more erosions in several mucosal folds (erosions may merge). In Grade III GERD, erosions surround the circumference of the esophagus. Ulcers, strictures, and/or esophageal shortening occur in Grade IV. Grade V is known as Barrett's Esophagus and involves intestinal metaplasia, where the morphology of the esophageal lining is transformed to resemble intestinal mucosa.

#### lansoprazole (Prevacid) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

A double-blind, randomized clinical trial comparing 20 mg omeprazole and 30 mg lansoprazole, given daily, evaluated efficacy in 229 patients with reflux esophagitis.<sup>95</sup> The treatment period was four or eight weeks, and main efficacy outcomes were healing of endoscopic changes, relief of reflux symptoms, and occurrence of adverse events. No significant difference in terms of healing was found, either after four or eight weeks of treatment. Patients receiving lansoprazole experienced a greater improvement in heartburn after four weeks (p=0.03), and there was a similar trend for acid regurgitation.

In a double-blind, multicenter study, 1,284 patients with endoscopically diagnosed erosive reflux esophagitis were randomized to received lansoprazole 15 or 30 mg, omeprazole 20 mg, or placebo once daily for eight weeks.<sup>96</sup> Healing was evaluated endoscopically. Healing rates at two, four, six, and eight weeks were 65.3, 83.3, 89.4, and 90 percent, respectively, for lansoprazole 30 mg; 56.3, 74.6, 80.3, and 78.8 percent for lansoprazole 15 mg; 60.9, 82, 89.7, and 90.7 percent for omeprazole 20 mg; and 23.9, 32.8, 36.6, and 40 percent for placebo. Healing rates for lansoprazole 30 mg were significantly higher than lansoprazole 15 mg at all time points (p<0.05). Healing rates for omeprazole 20 mg were significantly higher than with lansoprazole 15 mg at four, six, and eight weeks, and were similar to those with lansoprazole 30 mg. Based on patient diaries, lansoprazole 30 mg produced better symptomatic relief than lansoprazole 15 mg or omeprazole 20 mg, primarily early in the treatment course.

A double-blind, randomized, multicenter study compared the efficacy and safety of lansoprazole 30 mg and omeprazole 40 mg daily in the treatment of moderate (Savary-Miller grade II) as well as severe (Savary-Miller grade III/IVa) reflux esophagitis. The trial enrolled 211 patients.<sup>97</sup>

Healing was assessed by endoscopy after four weeks and, if necessary, eight weeks. Symptom relief was determined by symptom assessments at the same time points. There were no significant differences in healing after four weeks (87.5 percent for lansoprazole, 80.6 percent for omeprazole; 95% CI, -4.0; +17.8) or overall healing (96.1 percent for lansoprazole, 93.1 percent for omeprazole; 95% CI, -4.2; +10.2) between the two groups. Relief of reflux-related symptoms at four and eight weeks did not differ significantly between the treatment groups. No difference in the incidence of adverse events was observed between the groups.

#### lansoprazole (Prevacid) versus pantoprazole (Protonix)

The efficacy of pantoprazole 40 mg or lansoprazole 30 mg daily on endoscopic healing and symptom relief in Savary-Miller grade II-III reflux esophagitis patients was compared after four and eight weeks of administration.<sup>98</sup> Four hundred and sixty-one patients were included in the prospective, randomized, multicenter double-blind study. The difference in healing rates at four and eight weeks were not statistically significant. Healing rates at four weeks were 81 and 80 percent in the pantoprazole and lansoprazole groups, respectively, and 90 and 86 percent at eight weeks, respectively. The heartburn relief rates at day 14 were 88 percent and 86 percent in the pantoprazole groups, respectively.

#### pantoprazole (Protonix) versus esomeprazole (Nexium)

In a multicenter, randomized, double-blind study, 227 patients with GERD grades B or C (Los Angeles classification) received 40 mg pantoprazole or 40 mg esomeprazole daily.<sup>99</sup> Endoscopically verified healing was assessed at the first and final visit (after four, six, eight, or 10 weeks of treatment). Overall healing in the treatment groups was 95 percent (pantoprazole) and 90 percent (esomeprazole); rates were not statistically significantly different. Pantoprazole and esomeprazole demonstrated comparable safety and tolerability.

The efficacy of pantoprazole 20 mg and esomeprazole 20 mg on-demand for long-term management of patients with mild GERD (Los Angeles classification grades A or B) was evaluated in a biphasic clinical trial.<sup>100</sup> During the acute phase (initial 28 days), 236 patients received pantoprazole 20 mg once daily. Patients without heartburn (n=199) during the final three days of the acute phase were eligible to enter the long-term phase of six months on-demand treatment with esomeprazole. Antacids were provided as rescue medication during this phase. Based on patient diary, the mean intensity of heartburn symptoms was significantly lower for on-demand pantoprazole (p=0.012). Mean symptom intensities of acid eructation and pain on swallowing, both separately and as a combined symptom score, and mean duration of the symptoms during on-demand treatment, were compared between the two treatment groups. The combined symptom score of the three symptoms heartburn, acid eructation, and pain on swallowing was numerically lower in the pantoprazole group compared with the esomeprazole group (1.72 versus 1.99, respectively). Tablet intake was comparable in both groups. Pantoprazole 20 mg and esomeprazole 20 mg on-demand are comparable and effective treatment strategies for the long-term treatment of non-erosive and mild GERD.

#### pantoprazole (Protonix) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

To compare pantoprazole 40 mg once daily with omeprazole 20 mg once daily in the treatment of reflux esophagitis (grades II and III), a double-blind, randomized, multicenter study evaluated 286 patients.<sup>101</sup> Patients underwent endoscopy upon study entrance and again after four weeks, and continued to receive an additional four weeks of treatment if esophagitis was not resolved. After four weeks of treatment, complete healing occurred in 74 percent of patients in

the pantoprazole group and 78 percent patients in the omeprazole group. At eight weeks, the respective healing rates were 90 percent and 94 percent. Differences between the treatment groups were not significant. Improvement in the principal symptoms of reflux esophagitis was also similar between the treatment groups. Fifty-nine percent of patients treated with pantoprazole and 69 percent of patients treated with omeprazole showed improvement at two weeks and 83 percent and 86 percent, respectively, at four weeks, were free from symptoms. Both treatments were well tolerated.

#### rabeprazole (Aciphex) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

In a randomized, double-blind, multicenter study, the efficacy and safety of rabeprazole and omeprazole were compared in patients with erosive or ulcerative GERD.<sup>102</sup> Patients received rabeprazole 20 mg once daily (n=100) or omeprazole 20 mg (n=102) once daily for four or eight weeks, with healing verified by endoscopy. GERD healing rates evaluated at weeks four and eight were equivalent. Four-week healing rates for rabeprazole and omeprazole were 81 percent and 81 percent, respectively, and 92 percent and 94 percent at eight weeks. Both drugs were well tolerated over the eight week treatment period.

The efficacy and tolerability of rabeprazole and omeprazole in preventing relapse of healed erosive GERD was evaluated in a multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group study conducted in 243 patients.<sup>103</sup> Patients were randomized to receive rabeprazole 10 or 20 mg or omeprazole 20 mg once daily. Endoscopy was performed at weeks 13, 26, 39, and 52, or when symptoms suggested recurrence. Rabeprazole 10 mg and 20 mg were equivalent to omeprazole 20 mg for all outcome parameters. At week 52, relapse rates in the intent-to-treat population were five percent, four percent, and five percent for rabeprazole 10 mg and 20 mg and omeprazole 20 mg, respectively. All treatments were well tolerated.

A study of patients' preferences showed patients were equally satisfied with two of the PPIs, and most patients would be willing to switch drugs within the class. A double-blind, doubledummy, crossover trial randomized 240 patients to receive daily treatment for four weeks each with omeprazole 20 mg and rabeprazole 20 mg.<sup>104</sup> At the end of eight weeks, patients compared the two medications using seven criteria. Results showed the majority of patients could be switched to another PPI, predictably without noticeable difference in ongoing primary symptom control. Based on the variables assessed, approximately one-third to one-half of patients were able to express a preference for one of the treatments. For "absence of unwanted side effects" and "presence of positive side effects", a statistically significant difference in favor of rabeprazole was detected (p=0.0467 and p=0.0188, respectively). In the primary outcome variable, total treatment preference score, however, no statistically significant difference between the two PPIs was detected (p=0.0754). There was no difference in tolerability between rabeprazole and omeprazole, with slightly more than one-half of patients in each group reporting at least one adverse event. Patients indicated the most important drug characteristics for treating this condition were rapid and lasting control of pain. Most (83.6 percent) patients already controlled on a PPI indicated they would be willing to try an alternative medication within the drug class.

#### H. pylori eradication

#### rabeprazole (Aciphex) versus omeprazole (Prilosec)

In a prospective, controlled, double-blind trial, 803 patients with confirmed *H. pylori* presence were randomized to receive rabeprazole 20 mg twice daily for three, seven, or ten days or

omeprazole 20 mg twice daily for ten days.<sup>105</sup> In addition, all patients received concurrent amoxicillin 1 gm and clarithromycin 500 mg twice daily. H. pylori eradication rates were significantly lower for the three-day rabeprazole regimen (27 percent) than in the seven (77 percent) or ten day (78 percent) courses of rabeprazole or the ten-day course of omeprazole (73 percent). There was no significant difference between the seven or ten day courses of treatment.

A double-blind, randomized study was designed to determine whether rabeprazole- and omeprazole-based triple therapy regimens are therapeutically equivalent in the eradication of H. *pylori.*<sup>106</sup> Three hundred forty-five patients with current or previously active peptic ulcer and a positive H. pylori urease test were randomly assigned to receive rabeprazole 20 mg or omeprazole 20 mg with either amoxicillin 1 gm or metronidazole 400 mg twice daily. In addition, all patients received clarithromycin 500 mg twice daily. Eradication rates were 77 and 75 percent with rabeprazole and omeprazole, respectively (p=NS). In patients receiving amoxicillin and clarithromycin, rabeprazole produced a higher, but not statistically significant, eradication rate than omeprazole (94 versus 84 percent). In patients receiving clarithromycin and metronidazole, rabeprazole produced a lower, but not statistically significant, eradication rate than omeprazole (79 versus 86 percent). Ulcer healing rates were higher than 90 percent with *H. pylori* eradication. All regimens were well tolerated.

### Summary

There are differences among the PPIs in FDA-approved indications and dosage forms.

Lansoprazole (Prevacid) has a unique indication for long-term maintenance therapy of healed duodenal ulcers. Esomeprazole (Nexium) and lansoprazole (Prevacid) are indicated for the reduction of risk of NSAID-associated gastric ulcers. All PPIs are indicated for GERD except Esomeprazole (Nexium), lansoprazole (Prevacid), omeprazole OTC (Prilosec OTC). omeprazole (Prilosec), and rabeprazole (Aciphex) are indicated for short-term management of esophagitis and/or GERD in children. Omeprazole (Prilosec), lansoprazole (Prevacid), esomeprazole (Nexium), omeprazole/sodium bicarbonate (Zegerid), and pantoprazole (Protonix) are available as formulations intended for use as suspensions. Dexlansoprazole (Kapidex) is the newest PPI and is indicated for both treatment and maintenance of healing erosive esophagitis and treatment of symptomatic non-erosive GERD.

Except for dexlansoprazole (Kapidex), pantoprazole (Protonix), omeprazole/sodium bicarbonate (Zegerid), and omeprazole OTC (Prilosec OTC), each of the PPIs is indicated, in combination with clarithromycin and/or amoxicillin, for eradication of H. pylori. Rabeprazole (Aciphex) is indicated for a seven-day course of treatment while esomeprazole (Nexium), lansoprazole (Prevacid), and omeprazole (Prilosec) require 10 to 14 days of treatment.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Kapidex [package insert]. Deerfield, IL; Takeda; January 2009.
- <sup>2</sup> Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.
- <sup>3</sup> Prevacid [package insert]. Lake Forest, IL; TAP; November 2008.
   <sup>4</sup> Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.
- <sup>5</sup> Zegerid [package insert]. San Diego, CA; Santarus, Inc.; January 2008.
- <sup>6</sup> Protonix [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA; Wyeth-Ayerst; November 2007.
- Aciphex [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai, Inc.; June 2008.

<sup>©</sup> 2004 – 2009 Provider Synergies, L.L.C. Page 18 February 2009 A Coventry Health Care Company All Rights Reserved. Restricted Access – Proprietary and/or Confidential. Do not disseminate or copy without approval.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Brett S. Science review: The use of proton pump inhibitors for gastric acid suppression in critical illness. Crit Care. 2005; 9(1): 45-50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Devault KR, Castell DO. Updated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2005; 100:190-200.

<sup>10</sup> Penston JG, Wormsley KG. Review article: maintenance treatment with H2-receptor antagonists for peptic ulcer disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1992; 6:3-29.

Miehlke S, Graham DY. Antimicrobial therapy of peptic ulcer. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 1997; 8:171-178.

<sup>12</sup> Laine L, et al. Has the impact of Helicobacter pylori therapy on ulcer recurrence in the United States been overstated? A metaanalysis of rigorously designed trials. Am J Gastroenterol. 1998; 93(9):1409-15.

Lancaster-Smith MJ, Jaderberg ME, Jackson DA. Ranitidine in the treatment of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug associated gastric and duodenal ulcers. Gut. 1991; 32:252-255.

Graham DY, Agrawal NM, Campbell DR. Ulcer prevention in long-term users of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: results of a double-blind, randomized, multicenter, active- and placebo-controlled study of misoprostol vs. lansoprazole. Arch Intern Med. 2002; 162:169-75.

<sup>15</sup> Hawkey CJ, Karrasch JA, Szczepanski L, et al. Omeprazole compared with misoprostol for ulcers associated with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. N Engl J Med. 1998; 339:727-34.

http://www.gastro.org/user-assets/Documents/02 Clinical Practice/medical position statments/gerd mps.pdf. Accessed February 11, 2009.

Richardson P, Hawkey CJ, Stack WA. Proton pump inhibitors: pharmacology and rationale for use in gastrointestinal disorders. Drugs. 1998; 56:307-335.

<sup>18</sup> Spencer CM, Faulds D. Esomeprazole. Drugs. 2000; 60:321-331.

<sup>19</sup> Anderson T, Hassan-Alin M, Hasselgren G, et al. Pharmacokinetic studies with esomeprazole, the (S)-isomer of omeprazole. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2001; 40:411-426.

<sup>20</sup> Richardson P, Hawkey CJ, Stack WA. Proton pump inhibitors: pharmacology and rationale for use in gastrointestinal disorders. Drugs. 1998; 56:307-335.

Sachs G, Shin JM, Munson K, et al. Review article: the control of gastric acid and Helicobacter pylori eradication. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2000; 14:1383-1401.

<sup>22</sup> Fennerty MB, Castell D, Fendrick AM, et al. The diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease in a managed care environment. Suggested disease management guidelines. Arch Intern Med. 1996; 156:477-484.

Kapidex [package insert]. Deerfield, IL; Takeda; January 2009.

<sup>24</sup> Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.

<sup>25</sup> Prevacid [package insert]. Lake Forest, IL; TAP; November 2008.

<sup>26</sup> Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.

<sup>27</sup> Zegerid [package insert]. San Diego, CA; Santarus, Inc.; January 2008.
 <sup>28</sup> Protonix [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA; Wyeth-Ayerst; November 2007.

<sup>29</sup> Aciphex [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai, Inc.; June 2008.

<sup>30</sup> Stedman CA, Barclay ML. Review article: comparison of the pharmacokinetics, acid suppression and efficacy of proton pump inhibitors. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2000; 14:963-978.

Lew EA. Pharmacokinetic concerns in the selection of anti-ulcer therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; (Suppl 3):11-16.

<sup>32</sup> Lew EA. Pharmacokinetic concerns in the selection of anti-ulcer therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; (Suppl 3):11-16.

<sup>33</sup> Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.

<sup>34</sup> Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.

<sup>35</sup> Ishizaki T, Horai Y. Review article: cytochrome P450 and the metabolism of proton pump inhibitors - emphasis on rabeprazole. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; 1(Suppl 3);27-36.

Kromer W. Relative efficacies of gastric proton-pump inhibitors on a milligram basis: desired and undesired SH reactions. Impact of chirality. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl. 2001; 234:3-9.

Kapidex [package insert]. Deerfield, IL; Takeda; January 2009.

<sup>38</sup> Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.

<sup>39</sup> Prevacid [package insert]. Lake Forest, IL; TAP; November 2008.

<sup>40</sup> Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.

41 Zegerid [package insert]. San Diego, CA; Santarus, Inc.; January 2008.

<sup>42</sup> Protonix [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA; Wyeth-Ayerst; November 2007.

<sup>43</sup> Aciphex [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai, Inc.; June 2008.
 <sup>44</sup> Kapidex [package insert]. Deerfield, IL; Takeda; January 2009.
 <sup>45</sup> Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.

<sup>46</sup> Prevacid [package insert]. Lake Forest, IL; TAP; November 2008.

Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.

<sup>48</sup> Zegerid [package insert]. San Diego, CA; Santarus, Inc.; January 2008.
 <sup>49</sup> Protonix [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA; Wyeth-Ayerst; November 2007.

<sup>50</sup> Aciphex [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai, Inc.; June 2008.

<sup>51</sup> Available at: <u>http://www.fda.gov/cder/drug/early\_comm/clopidogrel\_bisulfate.htm</u>. Accessed February 11, 2009.

<sup>52</sup> Gilard M, et al. Influence of omeprazole on the antiplatelet action of clopidogrel associated with aspirin: the randomized, doubleblind OCLA (Omeprazole Clopidogrel Aspirin) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51:256-60.

Gilard M, et al. Influence of omeprazole on the antiplatelet action of clopidogrel associated to aspirin. J Thromb Haemost. 2006; 4:2508-9.

Small DS, et al. Effects of the proton pump inhibitor lansoprazole on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of prasugrel and clopidogrel. J Clin Pharmacol. 2008; 48: 475-484

Siller-Matula JM, et al. Effects of pantoprazole and esomeprazole on platelet inhibition by clopidogrel. Am Heart J. 2009; 157:148e1-148e5.

<sup>56</sup> Bardhan KD, Van Rensburg C. Comparable clinical efficacy and tolerability of 20 mg pantoprazole and 20 mg omeprazole in patients with grade I reflux oesophagitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2001; 15:1585-91.

<sup>©</sup> 2004 – 2009 Provider Synergies, L.L.C. Page 19 February 2009 A Coventry Health Care Company All Rights Reserved. Restricted Access – Proprietary and/or Confidential. Do not disseminate or copy without approval.

- <sup>57</sup> Kapidex [package insert]. Deerfield, IL; Takeda; January 2009.
- <sup>58</sup> Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.
- <sup>59</sup> Prevacid [package insert]. Lake Forest, IL; TAP; November 2008.
   <sup>60</sup> Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.
- <sup>61</sup> Zegerid [package insert]. San Diego, CA; Santarus, Inc.; January 2008.
- <sup>62</sup> Protonix [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA; Wyeth-Ayerst; November 2007.
- <sup>63</sup> Aciphex [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai, Inc.; June 2008.
- http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/NEWS/2007/New01754.html. Accessed February 11, 2009.
- 65 Kapidex [package insert]. Deerfield, IL; Takeda; January 2009.
- 66 Nexium [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; February 2008.
- <sup>67</sup> Prevacid [package insert]. Lake Forest, IL; TAP; November 2008.
   <sup>68</sup> Prilosec [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; December 2008.
- <sup>69</sup> Zegerid [package insert]. San Diego, CA; Santarus, Inc.; January 2008.
- <sup>70</sup> Protonix [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA; Wyeth-Ayerst; November 2007.

 <sup>71</sup> Aciphex [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai, Inc.; June 2008.
 <sup>72</sup> Broussard CN, Richter JE. Treating gastro-esophageal reflux disease during pregnancy and lactation: what are the safest therapy options? Drug Saf. 1998; 4:325-337.

Stedman ČA, Barclay ML. Review article: comparison of the pharmacokinetics, acid suppression and efficacy of proton pump inhibitors. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2000; 14:963-978.

<sup>74</sup> Lew EA. Pharmacokinetic concerns in the selection of anti-ulcer therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; (Suppl 3):11-16.

- <sup>75</sup> Berardi RR. A critical evaluation of proton pump inhibitors in the treatment of gastro-esophageal reflux disease. Am J Manag Care. 2000; 1(Suppl 9):S491-S505.
- Stedman CA, Barclay ML. Review article: comparison of the pharmacokinetics, acid suppression and efficacy of proton pump inhibitors. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2000; 14:963-978.

Lew EA. Pharmacokinetic concerns in the selection of anti-ulcer therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; (Suppl 3):11-16.

<sup>78</sup> Ekstrom P, Carling L, Unge P, et al. Lansoprazole versus omeprazole in active duodenal ulcer. A double-blind, randomized, comparative study. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1995; 30(3):210-215. <sup>79</sup> Dobrilla G, Piazzi L, Fiocca R. Lansoprazole versus omeprazole for duodenal ulcer healing and prevention of relapse: a

randomized, multicenter, double-masked trial. Clin Ther. 1999; 21(8):1321-1332.

Beker JA, Bianchi Porro G, Bigard MA, et al. Double-blind comparison of pantoprazole and omeprazole for the treatment of acute duodenal ulcer. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 1995; 7(5):407-410.

Rehner M, Rohner HG, Schepp W. Comparison of pantoprazole versus omeprazole in the treatment of acute duodenal ulceration--a multicenter study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1995; 9(4):411-416.

Dekkers CP, Beker JA, Thjodleifsson B, et al. Comparison of rabeprazole 20 mg versus omeprazole 20 mg in the treatment of active duodenal ulcer: a European multicenter study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; 13(2):179-186. <sup>83</sup> Lund ell L, Dent J, Bennett J, et al. Endoscopic assessment of esophagitis: clinical and functional correlates and further validation

of Los Angeles classification. Gut 1999; 45:172-80.

Castell DO, Kahrilas PJ, et al. Esomeprazole (40 mg) compared with lansoprazole (30 mg) in the treatment of EE. Am J Gastroenterol. 2002; 97:575-83.

Fennerty MB, Johanson JF, Hwang C, et al. Efficacy of esomeprazole 40 mg vs. lansoprazole 30 mg for healing moderate to severe erosive oesophagitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2005; 21(4):455-463.

Devault KR, Johanson JF, Johnson DA et al. Maintenance of healed erosive esophagitis: a randomized six-month comparison of esomeprazole twenty milligrams with lansoprazole fifteen milligrams. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006; 4(7):852-859.

Richter JE, Kahrilas PJ, Johanson J, et al. Efficacy and safety of esomeprazole compared with omeprazole in GERD patients with EE: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Gastroenterol. 2001; 96:656-65.

Schmitt C, Lightdale CJ, Hwang C, et al. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, 8-week comparative trial of standard doses of esomeprazole (40 mg) and omeprazole (20 mg) for the treatment of erosive esophagitis. Dig Dis Sci. 2006; 51(5):844-850. <sup>89</sup> Lightdale CJ, Schmitt C, Hwang C, et al. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, 8-week comparative trial of low-dose

esomeprazole (20 mg) and standard-dose omeprazole (20 mg) in patients with erosive esophagitis. Dig Dis Sci. 2006; 51(5):852-857.

<sup>90</sup> Kahrilas PJ, Falk GW, Johnson DA, et al. Esomeprazole improves healing and symptom resolution as compared with omeprazole in reflux oesophagitis patients: A randomized controlled trial. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2000; 14:1249-1258.

<sup>1</sup>Labenz J, Armstrong D, Lauritsen K, et al. A randomized comparative study of esomeprazole 40 mg versus pantoprazole 40 mg for healing erosive oesophagitis: the EXPO study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2005;21:739-746. <sup>92</sup> Labenz J, Armstrong D, Lauritsen K, et al. Esomeprazole 20 mg vs. pantoprazole 20 mg for maintenance therapy of healed

erosive oesophagitis: results from the EXPO study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2005; 22(9):803-811.

Dekkers CP, Beker JA, Thjodleifsson B, et al. Comparison of rabeprazole 20 mg vs. omeprazole 20 mg in the treatment of active gastric ulcer--a European multicenter study. The European Rabeprazole Study Group. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1998; 12(8):789-95. <sup>94</sup>

Witzel L, Gutz H, Huttemann W, et al. Pantoprazole versus omeprazole in the treatment of acute gastric ulcers. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1995; 9(1):19-24.

<sup>95</sup> Hatlebakk JG, Berstad A, Carling L, et al. Lansoprazole versus omeprazole in short-term treatment of reflux oesophagitis. Results of a Scandinavian multicenter trial. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1993; 28(3):224-8.

Castell DO, Richter JE, Robinson M, et al. Efficacy and safety of lansoprazole in the treatment of erosive reflux esophagitis. The Lansoprazole Group. Am J Gastroenterol. 1996; 91(9):1749-1757.

Mulder CJ, Dekker W, Gerretsen M. Lansoprazole 30 mg versus omeprazole 40 mg in the treatment of reflux oesophagitis grade II, III and IVa (a Dutch multicenter trial). Dutch Study Group. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 1996; 8(11):1101-1106.

<sup>98</sup> Dupas JL, Houcke P, Samoyeau R. Pantoprazole versus lansoprazole in French patients with reflux esophagitis. Gastroenterol Clin Biol. 2001; 25(3):245-250.

<sup>99</sup> Gillessen A, Beil W, Modlin IM, et al. 40 mg pantoprazole and 40 mg esomeprazole are equivalent in the healing of esophageal lesions and relief from gastroesophageal reflux disease-related symptoms. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2004; 38(4):332-340.

<sup>100</sup> Scholten T, Teutsch I, Bohuschke M, Gatz G. Pantoprazole on-demand effectively treats symptoms in patients with GERD. Clin Drug Invest. 2007; 27(4):287-96. <sup>101</sup> Mossner J, Holscher AH, Herz R, et al., A double-blind study of pantoprazole and omoprazole in the treatment of reflexiv

<sup>101</sup> Mossner J, Holscher AH, Herz R, et al. A double-blind study of pantoprazole and omeprazole in the treatment of reflux oesophagitis: a multicenter trial. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1995; 9(3):321-326.
 <sup>102</sup> Dekkers CP, Beker JA, Thjodleifsson B, et al. Double-blind comparison [correction of Double-blind, placebo-controlled

<sup>102</sup> Dekkers CP, Beker JA, Thjodleifsson B, et al. Double-blind comparison [correction of Double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison] of rabeprazole 20 mg vs. omeprazole 20 mg in the treatment of erosive or ulcerative gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. The European Rabeprazole Study Group. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1999; 13(1):49-57.
 <sup>103</sup> Thjodleifsson B, Beker JA, Dekkers C, et al. Rabeprazole versus omeprazole in preventing relapse of erosive or ulcerative

<sup>103</sup> Thjodleifsson B, Beker JA, Dekkers C, et al. Rabeprazole versus omeprazole in preventing relapse of erosive or ulcerative gastroesophageal reflux disease: a double-blind, multicenter, European trial. The European Rabeprazole Study Group. Dig Dis Sci. 2000; 45(5):845-853.
 <sup>104</sup> Johnson M, Guilford S, Libretto SE. Patients have treatment preferences: a multicenter, double-blind, crossover study comparing

<sup>104</sup> Johnson M, Guilford S, Libretto SE. Patients have treatment preferences: a multicenter, double-blind, crossover study comparing rabeprazole and omeprazole. Curr Med Res Opin. 2002; 18:303-10.
 <sup>105</sup> Vakil N, Lanza F, Schwartz H, et al. Seven-day therapy for Helicobacter pylori in the United States. Aliment Pharmacol Ther.

<sup>105</sup> Vakil N, Lanza F, Schwartz H, et al. Seven-day therapy for Helicobacter pylori in the United States. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2004; 20(1):99-107.
 <sup>106</sup> Hawkey CJ, Atherton JC, Treichel HC, et al. Safety and efficacy of 7-day rabeprazole- and omeprazole-based triple therapy

<sup>106</sup> Hawkey CJ, Atherton JC, Treichel HC, et al. Safety and efficacy of 7-day rabeprazole- and omeprazole-based triple therapy regimens for the eradication of Helicobacter pylori in patients with documented peptic ulcer disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2003; 17:1065-74.